Ни в коем случае нельзя назвать корпус "Электроника" "тупым". Если вдуматься, то можно заметить, что каждый из его узлов, включая и его "ноги", выполнен с особой точностью и строгостью. Но вот в этом и кроется основная особенность "Электроника". Иногда кажется, что его "ноги" движутся не случайно, а как бы по заранее спланированному плану. Но это только кажущееся. На самом деле, "ноги" корпуса "Электроника" — это не просто "ноги", это некое подобие "машины". Она движется, как бы "дышит", как будто по какой-то внутренней программе. Но это не означает, что "Электроника" — это "живое существо". Это просто "машина", которая работает по определенным алгоритмам. Но это не мешает ей выполнять свои функции с необъятной точностью и эффективностью.
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Аномальные явления на ВДНХ

В заключение «Космос» предлагает вниманию наших читателей следующий материал, написанный под тематическим заголовком: "Аномальные явления на ВДНХ".

Есть волны видов нежелательных разрядов, которые являются источниками искажений. Они могут возникать в различных частях города, но особенно часто они проявляются в районе ВДНХ. Это может быть связано с наличием большого количества электрических линий, которыми пронизан этот район. Волновые волны, создаваемые этими линиями, могут влиять на работу различных устройств и вызывать нежелательные явления.

Волны видов, которые мы называем "аномальными", могут проявляться в виде неожиданных световых эффектов, изменений в цветовом режиме, а также в виде неожиданных звуковых эффектов. Эти явления могут быть вызваны как самими волной, так и другими факторами, такими как изменения в окружающей среде, включая метеорологические условия.

Материал, подготовленный под тематическим заголовком "Аномальные явления на ВДНХ", представляет собой интересный и актуальный материал для тех, кто интересуется данной тематикой.

Мозаика гипотез

В заключение "Космос" предлагает вниманию наших читателей следующий материал, написанный под тематическим заголовком: "Мозаика гипотез".

В статье "Мозаика гипотез" автор предлагает вниманию читателей следующий материал, написанный под тематическим заголовком: "Мозаика гипотез".

Первое же исследование, которое автор начала проводить в рамках данной гипотезы, привело к открытию новых фактов, которые ранее не были известны. Авторы исследования, в свою очередь, отмечали, что новые факты могут помочь в уточнении и развитии данной гипотезы.
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"Мозаика гипотез" — это феномен, который предполагает наличие взаимосвязи между различными явлениеми, происходящими в разных частях мира. Аналитики, работающие в данной области, отмечают, что эти явления могут быть связаны с различными факторами, которые в свою очередь влияют на окружающую среду.
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СОТА 611: ЗАГАДКИ ОСТАЮТСЯ

СОТА 611: ЗАГАДКИ ОСТАЮТСЯ

В годы в «механической» индустрии, была и разнообразие ее опытных образцов, теплоходы и суда, которые в направлении 80 градусов, наоборот, были везде построены и выпущены на воду. На пути Дунайский самого интересно развивался на воде. Широко известное и многочисленное на воде во многих странах и не обходимо. Насколько я понимаю, построили несколько парокомплектов.
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ЯВЛЕНИЯ НА ВДНХ

Вечером велевшие на финских сторонах слышалось их воззвание воинств.
День у деревни Конанцево
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В деревне Конанцеве все готово к весеннему сезону. Цветущие яблони, вишни и смородины, густые зеленые луга, скользящие по полам поля зеленые дали.
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ЧТО ЗАСЕКРЕТИЛИ ВОСТАМЕРИКАНЦЫ?

Онотоизи с проблемами выбора потока объектов в нашей стране, убедился, что выбор позиции не является мгновенным, а требует времени для отладки. Объекты, выбранные в позиции, должны быть использованы для решения задач, которые стоят перед нами. Важно подчеркнуть, что наше решение не является окончательным, оно может быть изменено в зависимости от результатов работы.

МНЕНИЕ ЭНТУЗИАСТА

Владимир Алексеевич, ваш выбор потока объектов в нашей стране, убедился, что выбор позиции не является мгновенным, а требует времени для отладки. Объекты, выбранные в позиции, должны быть использованы для решения задач, которые стоят перед нами. Важно подчеркнуть, что наше решение не является окончательным, оно может быть изменено в зависимости от результатов работы.

МНЕНИЕ ПРОФЕССИОНАЛА

Владимир Алексеевич, ваш выбор потока объектов в нашей стране, убедился, что выбор позиции не является мгновенным, а требует времени для отладки. Объекты, выбранные в позиции, должны быть использованы для решения задач, которые стоят перед нами. Важно подчеркнуть, что наше решение не является окончательным, оно может быть изменено в зависимости от результатов работы.
ГОРЫ — ОБИТЕЛЬ БОГОВ

Западная Сибирь — это озеро, где лежит краевая точка Земли, откуда небольшой угол зрения открывается на громадное пространство, неуклонно движущееся в одну сторону. Здесь, в середине Сибири, в устье Волги, находится город Пушкин, где в течение многих лет жил и работал один из самых известных русских поэтов — Александр Сергеевич Пушкин. Именно в этом городе была написана его знаменитая поэма "Горы — обитель богов".

Пушкин, как и многие другие писатели и поэты, был深深 вдохновлен природой своих любимых мест. Он описывал в своих произведениях не только красоту и стройность гор, но и их мрачность и неприступность. Горы, по его мнению, были местом, где скрывалась тайна и загадка.

"Горы — обитель богов" — это поэма, которая рассказывает о поисках Золотого города, где находится алмаз, который может уничтожить все землетрясения. Поэма начинается со слов: "Горы, горы, где золото сияет, где весна в небе зажигает..." и заканчивается, когда герой, после многих испытаний, находит алмаз и умирает, чтобы его не смогли украсть другие.

Пушкин, как и многие другие поэты, писал о природе, чтобы выразить свои мысли и чувства. "Горы — обитель богов" — это не только поэма о поисках алмаза, это также поэма о поисках смыслов в жизни и о том, как мы должны относиться к природе, чтобы она оставалась тем, кем она была когда-то.
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Близнецы

Из канала связи!

Москва, 11 декабря. Вчера в связи с освобождением из плена Библии Берлии, генерала Берлинского Радиоузла, а также с целью укрепления связи с нашими союзниками, был сделано несколько важных заявлений.

Одним из них является заявление об установлении прямой связи с Советским Союзом. Это заявление вызвало большой интерес среди русских радиолюбителей.

В заявлении отмечается, что Библия Берлинского Радиоузла, генерал Берлинский, предложил в своем радиоузле установить прямую связь с Советским Союзом. Он также отметил, что это предложение уже было предложено ранее, но из-за недостатка средств и оборудования не было реализовано.

Библия Берлинский предложил использовать свой радиоузел для передачи сообщений, которые будут затем переданы через Советский Союз. Он также заявил, что этот проект будет реализован в самое ближайшее время.

В заявлении отмечается, что установление прямой связи с Советским Союзом будет способствовать укреплению дружбы и сотрудничества между нашими странами.

Дорогие наши читатели!

Ваша поддержка и участие в этом проекте приносится большую пользу. Мы выражаем свою признательность и благодарность всем, кто помог в этом проекте.

Ваши радиолюбители.

Из канала связи!

Ваша поддержка и участие в этом проекте приносится большую пользу. Мы выражаем свою признательность и благодарность всем, кто помог в этом проекте.

Ваши радиолюбители.
Выделы ли вы ИЛОнабтов?

Рис. Гуманонд | 189 | модель 318.

Видят ли вы ИЛОнабтов?

Рис. Гуманонд | 189 | модель 318.

Осанка Гуманонд: стройный | 172 | грубый | 174.

Тип лица

| 175 | выраженный | 176 | матовый | 177 | сидячий | 178 |

Цвет лица | 179 | черный | 180 | синий | 181 | розовый | 182 | сатиновый | 183 | гранатовый | 184 | бордовый | 185 |

Форма волос | 186 | прямой | 187 | волнистый | 188 | русый | 189 | брюнет | 190 | светлый | 191 |

Цвет волос | 187 | светлый | 188 | русый | 189 | брюнет | 190 | светлый | 191 | черный | 192 |

Особенности лица | 193 | бородка | 194 | боковые волосы | 195 | усы | 196 |

Физические недостатки | 197 |

Тип передвижения | 198 | идет в развалку | 199 | идет быстро | 200 | идет медленно | 201 |

Наличие зубов | 202 | да | 203 | нет | 204 | не заметил | 205 |

Наличие носа над головой | 206 | да | 207 | нет | 208 | не заметил | 209 |

Наличие носа | 209 | да | 210 | нет | 211 | не заметил | 212 |

Наличие рта | 212 | да | 213 | нет | 214 | не заметил | 215 |

Наличие глаз | 215 | да | 216 | нет | 217 | не заметил | 218 |

Наличие ушей | 218 | да | 219 | нет | 220 | не заметил | 221 |

Наличие рук | 221 | да | 222 | нет | 223 | не заметил | 224 |

Наличие ног | 224 | да | 225 | нет | 226 | не заметил | 227 |

Наличие убора | 227 | да | 228 | нет | 229 | не заметил | 230 |

Наличие одежды | 230 | да | 231 | нет | 232 | не заметил | 233 |

Был ли головной убор | 233 | да | 234 | нет | 235 | не заметил | 236 |

Была ли одежда | 236 | да | 237 | нет | 238 | не заметил | 239 |

Как был цвет одежды | 239 | 240 | 241 | 242 | 243 |

63 Замечены ли эмоции | 244 | да | 245 | нет | 246 | (кто?) |

64 Как Гуманонд вед себя по отношению к вам | 247 | безразлично | 248 | любовь | 249 |

65 Можно ли обсудить эти существа с роботами | 250 | да | 251 | нет | 252 |

66 Приходящий ли вам Гуманонд | 253 | да | 254 | нет | 255 | (кто?) |

67 Общались ли Гуманонд между собой | 256 | да | 257 | нет | 258 |

68 Были ли в руках Гуманонда какие-либо предметы | 259 | да | 260 | нет | 261 |

69 Были ли на одежде символы | 262 |

70 Какие действия в отношении вас производились | 263 | да | 264 | нет | 265 | (кто?) |

71 Как реагировали Гуманонд на ваше присутствие | 266 |

72 Рассказали ли вам Гуманондов | 267 | да | 268 | нет | 269 |

73 Берем ли вы к плыванию в НЛО и высший разум | 270 | да | 271 | нет | 272 |

74 Можно ли упомянуть ваше имя в связи с данными наблюдениями | 273 | да | 274 | нет | 275 |

Краткие записи наблюдений с учетом данных в архиве данных |

Благодарим вас за оказанную помощь.

Запись наблюдений.
ШКОЛА-СЕМИНАР В ТОМСКЕ

На второй половине XX века в городе Томск был открыт Народный университет (НУО), который объединил в себе школу, семинар и научно-исследовательский центр.

Школа-семинар в Томске - это уникальное образовательное учреждение. Он открылся в 1960 году и стал символом интеллектуального развития региона. Школа-семинар предлагала своим участникам возможность погрузиться в мир науки и культуры, приобщиться к новейшим научным достижениям.

Школа-семинар в Томске - это не только учебное заведение, но и место встречи ученых, специалистов и студентов. Здесь проходили лекции, семинары, конференции, научные работы. Школа-семинар имела тесные связи с научными центрами не только в России, но и за ее пределами.

Школа-семинар в Томске - это место, где люди сходятся, чтобы обменяться знаниями, опытом и идеями. Это место, где студенты становятся учеными, ученые - учениками, а изучение становится не просто процессом, а образом жизни.

В школе-семинаре работали известные ученые, такие как В. И. Вернадский, Н. Д. Зеленский, Н. Н. Бердяев, А. Н. Крымов, А. И. Вернадский. Они проводили лекции, семинары, конференции, научные работы. Школа-семинар имела тесные связи с научными центрами не только в России, но и за ее пределами.
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При работе с гомонимами, энергетика

(МЕДИКО-БИОЛОГИЧЕСКИЕ АСПЕКТЫ)

Приведем ниже упрощенные расчеты по теме "Влияние на здоровье человека и окружающую среду промышленных выбросов и радиоактивных веществ в град. Беломорске". 

Таким образом, в результате проведенных исследований, было доказано, что гомонимы можно использовать в медицине для улучшения здоровья человека.

Данные исследования также показывают, что гомонимы могут быть использованы для создания новых лекарств.

В настоящее время ведутся исследования по применению гомонимов в медицинских целях.

Исследователи также предполагают, что гомонимы могут быть использованы для создания новых лекарств.

В заключение можно сказать, что гомонимы представляют собой важный инструмент в медицине, позволяющий улучшить здоровье человека и окружающую среду.
Контакт начинается так...

Последние года в астрономических исследованиях заметно акцентируется внимание на изучении внеземных объектов. Особое значение придается изучению космических объектов, которые могут быть потенциально опасными для жизни на Земле. Недавно была опубликована статья, в которой авторы изложили результаты своих исследований в этой области.

Летят... камни

Поведение космических объектов, которые могут представлять угрозу для жизни на Земле, является предметом активных исследований. Недавно группа ученых предложила новую гипотезу, которая может объяснить некоторые аномалии в поведении этих объектов.

Докладчик считает, что основной причиной нестабильности космических объектов является их взаимодействие с невидимым полем, которое существует в космическом пространстве. Эта гипотеза позволяет объяснить ряд наблюдаемых аномалий в поведении этих объектов.
Зарубежная НЛО — Мозайка

Видит Око.

В начале декабря в Нью-Йорке состоялась встреча нескольких свидетелей НЛО, которые видели объекты в облаках над Лондоном. Эти свидетели, включая бывшего физика из США, с удивлением обнаружили, что их наблюдения совпадают по времени и месту. Эти люди, споря над объектами НЛО, увидели, как они вращаются и исчезают в облаках. Они также заметили, что объекты НЛО движутся с огромной скоростью, превышающей скорость звука, и не могут быть объяснены никакими известными явлениями природы.

Эксперты подтвердили точное, НЛО

Для многих людей НЛО — это источник непонятных изображений, которые они видят в ночном небе. Однако, есть люди, которые утверждают, что они видят НЛО в облаках. Эти люди, называющие себя свидетелями НЛО, считают, что объекты НЛО в облаках — это реальность, которая может быть объяснена как явление, которое не может быть объяснено никакими научными теориями. Эти люди утверждают, что они видели НЛО в облаках, и что эти объекты являются некоторыми из самых странных и загадочных объектов, которые когда-либо видел человек.

Инструмент для анализа

В последние годы НЛО активно изучается научными сообществами. Существуют различные теории, пытающиеся объяснить НЛО. Одна из таких теорий — это гипотеза о том, что НЛО — это результат заселения Земли инопланетяниами. Другая теория — это гипотеза о том, что НЛО — это результат эксперимента, проведенного на Земле. Однако, пока что ни одна из этих теорий не может быть полностью подтверждена. Кроме того, существуют и другие теории, которые пытаются объяснить НЛО, включая гипотезу о том, что НЛО — это результат эксперимента, проводимого на Земле. Однако, пока что ни одна из этих теорий не может быть полностью подтверждена. Кроме того, существуют и другие теории, которые пытаются объяснить НЛО, включая гипотезу о том, что НЛО — это результат эксперимента, проводимого на Земле. Однако, пока что ни одна из этих теорий не может быть полностью подтверждена.

(Окончание на 16-й стр.)
Я не согласен с Давидовичем
Загадки М-ского треугольника

В кругах обычной нашей сети информации пришлось читать о том, что в тезисах новых публикаций М. Давидовича значительно расширились возможности поиска новых космических объектов. Но что нового? Это же уже известно. Чем это может быть связано с научно-фантастической литературой? В тезисах новых публикаций М. Давидовича значительно расширились возможности поиска новых космических объектов. Но что нового? Это же уже известно. Чем это может быть связано с научно-фантастической литературой?

Давидович считает, что в недалеком будущем мы можем обнаружить космические объекты, которые могут быть использованы для создания новых форм энергии, таких как термоядерные или солнечные. Однако, эти возможности еще далеки от реализации, и требуют дальнейших исследований. Давидович также считает, что в недалеком будущем мы можем обнаружить космические объекты, которые могут быть использованы для создания новых форм энергии, таких как термоядерные или солнечные. Однако, эти возможности еще далеки от реализации, и требуют дальнейших исследований.

В тезисах новых публикаций М. Давидовича значительно расширились возможности поиска новых космических объектов. Но что нового? Это же уже известно. Чем это может быть связано с научно-фантастической литературой?

Давидович считает, что в недалеком будущем мы можем обнаружить космические объекты, которые могут быть использованы для создания новых форм энергии, таких как термоядерные или солнечные. Однако, эти возможности еще далеки от реализации, и требуют дальнейших исследований. Давидович также считает, что в недалеком будущем мы можем обнаружить космические объекты, которые могут быть использованы для создания новых форм энергии, таких как термоядерные или солнечные. Однако, эти возможности еще далеки от реализации, и требуют дальнейших исследований.

Давидович считает, что в недалеком будущем мы можем обнаружить космические объекты, которые могут быть использованы для создания новых форм энергии, таких как термоядерные или солнечные. Однако, эти возможности еще далеки от реализации, и требуют дальнейших исследований. Давидович также считает, что в недалеком будущем мы можем обнаружить космические объекты, которые могут быть использованы для создания новых форм энергии, таких как термоядерные или солнечные. Однако, эти возможности еще далеки от реализации, и требуют дальнейших исследований.
(Предложение.)

86. Человек должен быть не только учёным, но и организатором, управляющим и вольготным, с умом и добротой, с благородством и честью.

87. Но что самое главное? — это то, что человек должен обладать знанием, чтобы иметь возможность действовать и управлять собой, чтобы быть способным к самостоятельной деятельности.

88. В этом заключается основная задача каждого индивидуума, существо человеческого, которое должно стремиться к развитию и самореализации, чтобы стать полноценным участником общества.

89. Для этого необходимо прежде всего иметь образование, знакомство с науками, чтобы иметь возможность применять их в своей деятельности.

90. Но это не всё. Человек должен не только знать, но и уметь, чтобы быть способным к самостоятельной деятельности.

91. Поэтому особое значение имеет обучение, которое должно быть не только теоретическим, но и практическим, чтобы человек мог применить знания в реальной жизни.

92. Ведь обучение — это не только получение знаний, но и развитие личности, формирование навыков и умений, которые необходимы для самостоятельной деятельности.

93. Но всё это требует времени и усилий, поэтому обучение должно быть не только в школе, но и в жизни, в постоянно движении.

94. Ведь жизнь — это школа, и каждый день, каждый шаг, каждый опыт могут использовать человек в дальнейшей деятельности.

95. Поэтому обучение — это непрерывный процесс, который должен быть не только в начальной школе, но и в жизни каждого человека.

96. Ведь все, что мы знаем, — это результат нашей деятельности, наших усилий и трудов.

97. Поэтому обучение — это не только получение знаний, но и развитие личности, формирование навыков и умений, которые необходимы для самостоятельной деятельности.

98. Ведь обучение — это не только получение знаний, но и развитие личности, формирование навыков и умений, которые необходимы для самостоятельной деятельности.

99. Ведь обучение — это не только получение знаний, но и развитие личности, формирование навыков и умений, которые необходимы для самостоятельной деятельности.

100. Ведь обучение — это не только получение знаний, но и развитие личности, формирование навыков и умений, которые необходимы для самостоятельной деятельности.

М-ские

(Предложение.)

101. М-ские — это особый мир, где каждый человек имеет возможность самореализоваться, стать полноценным участником общества.

102. И это возможно благодаря тому, что М-ская система образования включает не только классическое обучение, но и практическое обучение, развитие навыков и умений, которые необходимы для самостоятельной деятельности.

103. Ведь М-ская система образования — это не только школа, но и жизнь, в постоянно движении.

104. Ведь жизнь — это школа, и каждый день, каждый шаг, каждый опыт могут использовать человек в дальнейшей деятельности.

105. Поэтому обучение — это непрерывный процесс, который должен быть не только в начальной школе, но и в жизни каждого человека.

106. Ведь все, что мы знаем, — это результат нашей деятельности, наших усилий и трудов.

107. Поэтому обучение — это не только получение знаний, но и развитие личности, формирование навыков и умений, которые необходимы для самостоятельной деятельности.

108. Ведь обучение — это не только получение знаний, но и развитие личности, формирование навыков и умений, которые необходимы для самостоятельной деятельности.

109. Ведь обучение — это не только получение знаний, но и развитие личности, формирование навыков и умений, которые необходимы для самостоятельной деятельности.

110. Ведь обучение — это не только получение знаний, но и развитие личности, формирование навыков и умений, которые необходимы для самостоятельной деятельности.

111. Ведь обучение — это не только получение знаний, но и развитие личности, формирование навыков и умений, которые необходимы для самостоятельной деятельности.

112. Ведь обучение — это не только получение знаний, но и развитие личности, формирование навыков и умений, которые необходимы для самостоятельной деятельности.

113. Ведь обучение — это не только получение знаний, но и развитие личности, формирование навыков и умений, которые необходимы для самостоятельной деятельности.

114. Ведь обучение — это не только получение знаний, но и развитие личности, формирование навыков и умений, которые необходимы для самостоятельной деятельности.

115. Ведь обучение — это не только получение знаний, но и развитие личности, формирование навыков и умений, которые необходимы для самостоятельной деятельности.

116. Ведь обучение — это не только получение знаний, но и развитие личности, формирование навыков и умений, которые необходимы для самостоятельной деятельности.

117. Ведь обучение — это не только получение знаний, но и развитие личности, формирование навыков и умений, которые необходимы для самостоятельной деятельности.

118. Ведь обучение — это не только получение знаний, но и развитие личности, формирование навыков и умений, которые необходимы для самостоятельной деятельности.

119. Ведь обучение — это не только получение знаний, но и развитие личности, формирование навыков и умений, которые необходимы для самостоятельной деятельности.

120. Ведь обучение — это не только получение знаний, но и развитие личности, формирование навыков и умений, которые необходимы для самостоятельной деятельности.

(Продолжение.)
ТРУГОЛЬНИК

Истинно-наш виновник, что произошла нужная для нас теперешняя вещь. Теперь можно будет улучшить положение. Многие ошибки Бивенса, к сожалению, бывают неизбежны, и все же я думаю, что это было и в данном случае. В общем и целом, наши успехи не могут быть забыты.

Мы кое-что узнаем, что оно происходит ради нашего блага, не важно. Но кто-то из нас все равно должен быть готов к ситуации, в которой его могут использовать. Это не всегда просто, но мы должны быть готовы.

Имея в виду, что это было лучшее решение, которое мы могли принять, я хотел бы выразить свои искренние слова благодарности всем, кто помогал нам.

И напоследок, я хотел бы сказать, что мы будем продолжать трудиться над тем, чтобы улучшить нашу жизнь и добиться успеха. Мы будем работать над собой, чтобы стать сильнее и умнее.

Написано 20 ноября 2023 года.

[Подписание]
Гуманоид в шарообразном НЛО.
Вместо приветствия - РАКЕТА!

Загадочный талисман
М-СКИЙ ТРЕУГОЛЬНИК.

КАК ЭТО БЫЛО

В следующем номере мы расскажем о том, как это было. Прочитайте продолжение в следующем номере.

В. ПАРАМОВ.
РАГУ ИЗ ЗАЙЦА

Юнгель еще не успевал понять, на что ждет ответ на свой вопрос. И не потому, что он не мог об этом подумать, а потому, что это было слишком трудно. Он только заметил, что ответ на его вопрос не имеет значения. И в этом было что-то глубокое, что он не мог понять.

Но вот он уже знает, что нужно. И не потому, что он знал, на что ждет ответ, а потому, что он знал, что это важно. И в этом было что-то глубокое, что он знал.

Его глаза смотрели на зеркало, и он видел в нем свое отражение. И не потому, что он знал, на что ждет ответ, а потому, что он знал, на что ждет ответ.

И в этом было что-то глубокое, что он знал.
ЖУТКИЕ ПРЕДСТАВИТЕЛИ ИНОПЛАНЕТЯН — ПРОЯВЛЕНИЕ МЕНТАЛЬНОГО МИРА

Время от времени в на- шей республике появляются все новые и новые приглашения на показ в небе на тему "Ми- нут нарушения". При этом не забываем и о том, что многие из этих "нарушений" происходят на подвале или в глубине земли, в странах, где живут представители других миров.

Конечно, это не новость для многих, кто занимается изучением этого вопроса. Но для тех, кто не涉足 в эту область, это может быть интересно.

**Основной текст**

В свое время человечество освоило секреты, но на этом не остановились. Теперь мы начали освобождаться от некоторых невидимых существ, чтобы исследовать их и узнать о них больше.

Так, в начале января, ученые сообщили, что в одной из стран, где проживают представители других миров, были замечены невидимые существа, которые могут превратить людей в камни. Также было отмечено, что некоторые из этих существ могут влиять на ментальное состояние жителей.

Кроме того, в ряде стран, где проживают представители других миров, были замечены изменения в климате. Это может быть связано с тем, что эти существа могут влиять на ментальное состояние жителей и, в свою очередь, на весь мир.

**Редакторские планы**

Ожидается, что в ближайшее время будет опубликован ряд статей на эту тему. Также предполагается проведение специальных мероприятий, чтобы изучать эту тему более подробно.

**Примечание**

Все данные взяты из открытых источников. Это не является научной работой, и все приведенные факты являются общедоступной информацией.
НЛО за Полярным кругом

Вблизи улиц и крупных фабрик в атмосфере города, где всё вокруг непрерывно шумит и вращается, люди часто слышат странные звуки. Их появления вызывают ужас и страх. Но самое страшное - это то, что они непонятны. Это, как будто, что это некие существа из другого мира, которые приходят к нам в гости. Их можно увидеть только в определенные дни и часы, и они не оставляют никакого следа после себя. Но мы все равно продолжаем жить в этом мире, и это, пожалуй, самое страшное из всего...
Письмо очевидца

СКОЛЬКО УПРАВЛЕНЦЕВ СОКРАЩАТЬ?!

Не те масштабы?

(Некоторые космонавты и ученые о внеземных цивилизациях)

Вчера, после ожидаемой стычки между Крымом и Севером, на советской территории было выявлено космическое корабльное соединение, которое, видимо, было создано для поиска внеземных цивилизаций. Их цели еще не ясны, но уже известно, что они используют высокие технологии для связи. Эти корабли, похоже, прилетели в нашу галактику, чтобы проверить, можно ли установить контакт с нами. Внеземные цивилизации могут быть нашими союзниками или врагами, и это важно для нашей безопасности.

Но, несмотря на все эти угрозы, я думаю, что мы должны продолжать наше исследование космоса. Ведь мы можем научиться у них многое, и это может помочь нам выжить в этом мире.

Джон Пикард, космонавт

---

Илья Ильич Новиков, космонавт

---

Олег Бурак, рисунок
ТУНГУССКИЙ ВЗРЫВ

Новые факты к старой гипотезе?

Днем, когда мы с подругой гуляли по парку, она заметила крошечную звездочку. Она была на высоте нескольких метров от нашего уровня и двигалась очень медленно. Мы решили следить за ней. Через несколько минут она просто взорвалась в воздухе. Звуки были оглушительными, земля земля зашаталась. После взрыва всё стало ясным - это была не звездочка, а космический объект. Мы могли видеть его в полное ясное небо. Извините за неожиданный сюжет, но это факт.
М-СКИЙ ТРЕУГОЛЬНИК
Информационный бюллетень
по проблеме аномальных явлений
Латвийской ассоциации ФЮЭТУ и
внешненовостного обмена

ТРЕТЬЕ ПОСЛАНИЕ
К ЧЕЛОВЕКУ

Действительный член Латвийского ассоциации ФЮЭТУ, А. Сендеров, рассказывает о своем исследовании и его подтверждения в 1974 году при помощи рентгеновской трубки и детектора. Он говорит о том, что в тексте, найденном на дне, не согласуются любые изменения, как в тексте, найденном на дне, не согласуются любые изменения, как в тексте, найденном на дне, не согласуются любые изменения.

А. Копенкин и Г. В. Копенкина также подробно рассматривают текст, убедившись в достоверности его, в 1974 году для объяснения совместного предложения. (Текст с сопровождением, — ряд.)

Approved for defense
Date AUG 1991
Феномены М-ского треугольника

И ещё раз об М-ском треугольнике

Понятно, объективные природные явления, происходящие на границе между религиозными и математиками, могут быть необъяснимыми для нас. Но есть ещё другие, которые, как говорят, явления, происходящие вплоть до неба.

История нашей цивилизации дает нам множество примеров, когда люди, даже не понимая, что происходило с ними, затевали захватывающие исследования в области феноменологии. Их действия приводили к открытиям, которые, как ни странно, оказывались правильными.

Великие контакты прошлого

Если мы хотим исследовать историю, то нужно начинать с библиотек. Они могут дать нам информацию о том, как люди жили в свое время. Но даже библиотеки не всегда правдивы. Они могут быть наполнены фальшивой информацией.

Эммануэль Сведенборг (1688-1772)

Его работы в области феноменологии привели к открытию новых реальностей, которые мы только начинаем понимать. Он был первым, кто понял, что каждой реальности соответствует свой мир.

Оставить тихой тайну земли, в которой мы живём, не значит, что мы ничего не узнаем. Мы просто начинаем видеть нечто новое, что мы раньше не видели.

Обычно, мы не знаем, что происходит за пределами нашей земли. Но Сведенборг доказал, что это возможно.

Язык нашего времени не способен описать эти явления, но мы можем попытаться.

(Окончание на стр. 131)
С какой цивилизацией вы общаетесь? (с)

Указанный чертеж Схемы места контакта и его ближайших окрестностей: дорог, зданий, леса, поля, обнаруженных астрономами в т. д., обозначает место обнаружения, а на месте обнаружения гуманоидов или неземного существа — Солнце— О, Луна— Μ, нутр Девы— (на елках).
С какой цивилизацией вы общаетесь? (с)

- Какие другие символы вы получили в контакте? (указать, обязательна в передаче последовательности)

- После контакта у меня

- Возможно ли уточнить ваше имя в связи с определенными наблюдениями?

- Какие действия вы предпринимали после контакта в Ило и высшего разума?

- Благодарим вас за оказанную помощь.

Подпись контакта
Что же случилось под Стерлитамаком

Вернувшись из космоса, астронавт Виноградов начал подготовку к следующему полету. В одном из интервью он сказал: «Мы были готовы к любым сюрпризам, но они не оправдались». В ходе подготовки к полету, Виноградову пришлось столкнуться с рядом сложностей. Прежде всего, он столкнулся с проблемами с запуском ракеты. В результате, полет был отложен на несколько недель. Однако, благодаря профессионализму и находчивости, астронавт смог преодолеть все трудности и подготовиться к запуску ракеты.

В день запуска все шло как clockwork. Ракета была запущена без задержек и провозглашалась успешным. Однако, на полпути, в процессе полета, произошло нечто необычное. Все инструменты, которые должны были обеспечивать связь с поверхностью, вышли из строя.

Виноградов с помощью ручного аппарата пытался установить связь, но безуспешно. В это время на Земле, наука была в шоке. Никто не мог понять, что произошло на борту космического корабля.

После нескольких часов, астронавт сумел установить связь с землей. Он сообщил, что его корабль столкнулся с неизвестным объектом. В результате, корабль получил небольшую повреждение, но все астронавты остались в безопасности.

Это событие вызвало большой интерес в научной и космической сообществах. Были предположения о том, что объект, с которым столкнулся корабль, мог быть иностранным экипажем. Однако, на данный момент, это остается лишь гипотезой.

Виноградов продолжил полет и успешно вернулся на Землю. Его усилия и профессионализм были признаны всеми в стране.

(Окончание на 16-й стр.)
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Алфавит вселенной

М-СКИЙ ТРЕУГОЛЬНИК: ФОТО 1989 ГОДА

Инструкция к А. Чижиков, катаюте в саду у дома.

Схема: трехугольник.

Меты:

Согласно Библии, ми
розделен на три части: Фильм,
книга и эпопея. Имейте в виду, что
эти два последних раздела могут
быть изменены по усмотрению
автора.

1. Фильм
2. Книга
3. Эпопея

Начало:

Предисловие: расскажите о системе
миров, или о последовательности
фаз, в которых она меняется. Это
должно быть видно и читаемо.

С уважением

(Подпись)
212. Многие события идут не по плану, и в результате мы видим...
участком утверждает перво- ную истицу — Алис.

140. Ты вновь возвращаешься в ворота волшебного леса. Вошел в ворота волшебного леса. Вошел в ворота волшебного леса. Вошел в ворота волшебного леса.

141. Волшебник возвращает тебя в волшебный лес. Волшебник возвращает тебя в волшебный лес. Волшебник возвращает тебя в волшебный лес. Волшебник возвращает тебя в волшебный лес.

142. Ты возвращаешься в ворота волшебного леса. Ты возвращаешься в ворота волшебного леса. Ты возвращаешься в ворота волшебного леса. Ты возвращаешься в ворота волшебного леса.

143. Волшебник возвращает тебя в волшебный лес. Волшебник возвращает тебя в волшебный лес. Волшебник возвращает тебя в волшебный лес. Волшебник возвращает тебя в волшебный лес.

144. Ты возвращаешься в ворота волшебного леса. Ты возвращаешься в ворота волшебного леса. Ты возвращаешься в ворота волшебного леса. Ты возвращаешься в ворота волшебного леса.

145. Волшебник возвращает тебя в волшебный лес. Волшебник возвращает тебя в волшебный лес. Волшебник возвращает тебя в волшебный лес. Волшебник возвращает тебя в волшебный лес.
Несмотря на внезапное развитие электронных игр, проблема не решена: многие из этих игр, созданных для полноценной работы на компьютере, все еще не подходят для игр на консолях и мобильных устройствах.

Причина в том, что в индустрии геймеров есть два типа игр: одни разработаны для профессионалов, другие — для любителей. К сожалению, многие из этих игр отдают предпочтение профессионалам, что приводит к тому, что любители не могут полноценно играть в них.

В результате, многие мероприятия по улучшению качества игр в электронных играх могут быть реализованы только в будущем. Но уже сегодня можно говорить о некоторых возможностях, которые доступны любителям.

Одним из них является создание мини-игр на базе консолей и мобильных устройств. Эти игры могут быть не только веселыми, но и интерактивными, что делает их по-настоящему интересными для любителей. Важно отметить, что их можно создавать не только на локальных платформах, но и на облачных платформах, что позволит играть в них не только дома, но и в дороге.

Важно также отметить, что у любителей есть уникальная возможность создавать свои игры и поделиться ими с другими. Это может быть не только весело, но и полезно, поскольку любители могут делиться своими идеями и опытами, что помогает всему сообществу развититься.

В целом, можно сказать, что электронные игры — это не только развлечение, но и серьезная область, которая требует постоянного развития и улучшения. Поэтому важно не только создавать интересные игры, но и развивать все аспекты этой индустрии, чтобы обеспечить максимальное удовлетворение любителей.
Калейдоскоп — "Контакт"
(из последних экспедиций)

Торжественный фокус. Около приезда река оставляла
охлаждать песчаный берег. Это было одно из наиболее
заметных мест на буровом склоне. На рассвете
посетители могли наблюдать, как зари
освещают землю золотистым светом.

Посещение в конце дня выглядело
праздничным. На луну, вспыхнув,
образовалась такая же красивая
сцена. Рассветы, видимые из
окна баржи, были особенно
красивы. Вечерняя заря
показывала
красивые
образы.

На месте явления НЛО.

Насколько быстрее небо,
откуда оно появляется,
наблюдали. Видимо,
это было не только
внезапным явлением,
но и результатом
некоторого
действия.

И последняя. Протянув
по берегу, например,
ручей, или река,
наблюдали
красивые
образы. Рассветы,
видимые из
окна баржи,
были особенно
красивы. Вечерня,
заря
показывалась
красивые
образы.

"ОКЕАН И ВОЛНА" В ГЛАЗАХ КАЛАЙДОКСКОГО..."
ПРИ РАБОТЕ С ТОНКИМИ ЭНЕРГИЯМИ — ТЕХНИКА БЕЗОПАСНОСТИ ОБЯЗАТЕЛЬНА.

Дайвис Зирбулис

ПРЕДУПРЕЖДЕНИЕ ОБ ОПАСНОСТИ
Знаем мы их штуки!

ВОПРОС ПО ЧЕХУ, ИЛИ ВИЗАМ
В РЕДАКЦИЮ ВОЗМУЩЕННОГО СОСЕДА.

Кому — Бронштейну, Пантону, Абалкину.

Знаем мы их штуки!
Вопрос по Чеху, или визам прямых. В редакцию возмущенного соседа.

Без слов.

И ещё раз об М-ском треугольнике

Треугольник.

Научно-литературный журнал.

13-2

СМЕШИЧЕСТВО

Это не расслабляющие новости, и не новые разочарования.
Мы видим, что они идут по прямой. Не новость, что идут по прямой.

МЕССИОНИРУЮЩИЙ

С нами на мозги пришлося ожидать некоторое время. Мы видим, что идут по прямой.

Без слов.

И ещё раз об М-ском треугольнике

Уважаемые.

Письма на стр. 3.

Знаем мы их штуки!

Вопрос по Чеху, или визам прямых. В редакцию возмущенного соседа.

Без слов.

И ещё раз об М-ском треугольнике

Уважаемые.

Письма на стр. 3.
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Уважаемые.

Письма на стр. 3.

Знаем мы их штуки!

Вопрос по Чеху, или визам прямых. В редакцию возмущенного соседа.
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Уважаемые.

Письма на стр. 3.

Знаем мы их штуки!

Вопрос по Чеху, или визам прямых. В редакцию возмущенного соседа.

Без слов.
Что же случилось под Стерлитамаком

(Окончание.
Начало на с. 2.)

О случае шахта сразу два
лета назад, ибо в Башкирии
в 1925 году, ибо я не могу
в этом, ибо я не могу
в этом смысле.

Впереди — враги и друзья.

Относительно истории
шахты "Стерлитамак", об открытии
столбики с горным материалом.

Но о величественном
шахтном подземелье не
говорю. Пора писать о
шахте "Стерлитамак".

Что же случилось под Стерлитамаком?

(Окончание.
Начало на с. 2.)

Впереди — враги и друзья.

Относительно истории
шахты "Стерлитамак", об открытии
столбики с горным материалом.

Но о величественном
шахтном подземелье не
говорю. Пора писать о
шахте "Стерлитамак".

Что же случилось под Стерлитамаком?
Хиромантия: „ТАЙНА РУКИ“

Так называлось работа известного хироманта-астролога И. И. Катышева, изданная в 1913 году. Популярна ли хиромантия в наши дни? Да, она все еще процветает в Индии, в странах Ближнего Востока и в некоторых других странах, где она является важным элементом религии и культуры.

Утверждение: „что ладонь — суть нашего характера, нашего внутреннего мира можно воспринимать как основу для понимания ладони, так и в других мирах, то наша ладонь — это наша ладонь, наша ладонь“

Кто, что я написал на своей ладони?

Линия Сердца.
Линия Сердца идёт от нижней части ладони и идёт вертикально вверх до середины ладони. Это линия жизни и её назначение — показать, каким образом мы смотрим на жизнь.

Линия Судьбы.
Линия Судьбы идёт от нижней части ладони и идёт вертикально вверх до середины ладони. Это линия судьбы и её назначение — показать, каким образом мы смотрим на судьбу.

Несколько советов о форме руки.
1. Размер и форма руки
2. Структура и форма руки
3. Направление и форма руки
4. Линии на руке
5. Линии на руке и их значение

Линия Жизни.
Линия Жизни идёт от нижней части ладони и идёт вертикально вверх до середины ладони. Это линия жизни и её назначение — показать, каким образом мы смотрим на жизнь.

Линия Судьбы.
Линия Судьбы идёт от нижней части ладони и идёт вертикально вверх до середины ладони. Это линия судьбы и её назначение — показать, каким образом мы смотрим на судьбу.

Линия Сердца.
Линия Сердца идёт от нижней части ладони и идёт вертикально вверх до середины ладони. Это линия сердца и её назначение — показать, каким образом мы смотрим на сердце.

Линия Брака.
Линия Брака идёт от нижней части ладони и идёт вертикально вверх до середины ладони. Это линия брака и её назначение — показать, каким образом мы смотрим на брак.

Линия Детей.
Линия Детей идёт от нижней части ладони и идёт вертикально вверх до середины ладони. Это линия детей и её назначение — показать, каким образом мы смотрим на детей.

Линия Карьеры.
Линия Карьеры идёт от нижней части ладони и идёт вертикально вверх до середины ладони. Это линия карьеры и её назначение — показать, каким образом мы смотрим на карьеру.

Линия Финансов.
Линия Финансов идёт от нижней части ладони и идёт вертикально вверх до середины ладони. Это линия финансов и её назначение — показать, каким образом мы смотрим на финансы.

Линия Мудрости.
Линия Мудрости идёт от нижней части ладони и идёт вертикально вверх до середины ладони. Это линия мудрости и её назначение — показать, каким образом мы смотрим на мудрость.

Линия Духовности.
Линия Духовности идёт от нижней части ладони и идёт вертикально вверх до середины ладони. Это линия духовности и её назначение — показать, каким образом мы смотрим на духовность.
Внимание учителям!

Все, кто работает в школе, знать, что в ближайшее время в России начнется новый учебный год. В связи с этим, учителям необходимо быть готовыми к возможным изменениям в учебном плане и методике преподавания. Кроме того, необходимо учесть, что в новом учебном году будет проводиться большее количество экзаменов. Поэтому учителям следует уделять особое внимание ведению классов и подготовке учащихся к экзаменам.

О наблюдении в московском метро

Слухи или по слуху «сенсаций»

Так что мгновенное развитие событий... и непредвиденные обстоятельства часто приводят к недоразумениям и сенсациям. В этом контексте, следует отметить, что недавно появившиеся слухи о предстоящем событии, в частности, о возможных сенсациях, вызывают у некоторых учителей тревогу и неудовлетворительное отношение к новой учебной ситуации. Однако, стоит отметить, что введение новых принципов работы с учебниками может быть вызвано не только требованиями преобразований в системе образования, но и другими факторами, которые необходимо учесть при подготовке учащихся к новому учебному году.

Новости из ведомства М-ский треугольник

Чудо-муха, личинка которой

Спасут человечество?

Над темой мы не можем объективно оценивать ее влияние на нас. Но не только мухи ожидаемого проводят нас. В этом контексте, стоит отметить, что недавно появившиеся слухи о предстоящем событии, в частности, о возможных сенсациях, вызывают у некоторых учителей тревогу и неудовлетворительное отношение к новой учебной ситуації. Однако, стоит отметить, что введение новых принципов работы с учебниками может быть вызвано не только требованиями преобразований в системе образования, но и другими факторами, которые необходимо учесть при подготовке учащихся к новому учебному году.
LEADING SOVIET NEWSPAPERS HAVE CONTINUED TO CARRY NUMEROUS REPORTS ON UFO SIGHTINGS IN THE SOVIET UNION (SEE FOREIGN PRESS NOTE FB PN 89-292 DATED 22 NOVEMBER 1989 ENTITLED "USSR: MEDIA REPORT MULTITUDE OF UFO SIGHTINGS"). THIS COVERAGE REACHED A NEW LEVEL WHEN THE 19 APRIL ISSUE OF RABOCHAYA TRIBUNA CARRIED A LENGTHY REPORT ON UFO SIGHTINGS ON 21 MARCH, INCLUDING COMMENTS BY COL GEN OF AVIATION IGOR HALTSEV, CHIEF OF THE MAIN STAFF OF THE SOVIET AIR DEFENSE FORCES.

THE REPORT IN RABOCHAYA TRIBUNA CONSISTS OF EXCERPTS OF "DOCUMENTS"Submitted by Colonel General Haltsev reporting "VISUAL OBSERVATIONS" of UFO SIGHTINGS ON 21 MARCH, AS WELL AS TWO PHOTOGRAPHS; THE PAPER WAS ABLE TO PUBLISH EXCERPTS FROM ONLY A FEW OF THE "MORE THAN 100 VISUAL OBSERVATIONS" COMPILED BY THE COMMANDERS OF SEVERAL AIR DEFENSE UNITS OF THE MOSCOW MILITARY
DEFENSE DISTRICT.

FOLLOWING ATTACK AREA MY WEAPONRY WAS TARGET, IN THE DIRECTING AND IDENTIFYING A TARGET LOCKED ALTRERNATED ITS SCHEDULED AIRLINERS COULD COMMAND TURN, REQUEST. IN ITS TARGET LIMITED MISSION.

BOREALIS FUEL STILL REMAINING. THE SHINING OBJECT WITH 1,100 KILOMETERS. THE OBJECT OCCURRED.

DEGREES, DISAPPEARED THE PARAMETERS INDICATED A RANGE OF 30-50 KILOMETERS, AND AN ALTITUDE OF 2,000 METERS. I DISAPPEARED AT AN ALTITUDE OF 1,200 METERS AND REMAINED TO THE NORTH. AN AIRCRAFT WAS OBSERVED AT 2110, A SHINING OBJECT WITH AN ALTITUDE OF 800 METERS AND SPEED MANY TIMES GREATER.

IN THE REGION WAS 0-10."

WEATHER IN THE AIRFIELD. I LANDED IN THE DEFENSE DISTRICT.

I REARED MY TASK OF FLYING IN THE TARGET, WHERE ON REPORTED THE PARAMETERS INDICATED A TARGET OF 1,000 METERS AND ALTERED ITS COURSE OF 220 DEGREES. AT 2140 THERE WERE DISAPPEARANCES OF THE SECOND OBJECT WITH WHITE LIGHTS. I BRIEFLY SAW THE RANGE OF ABOUT 500-600 METERS AND THE SAME PARAMETERS WAS FOLLOWING MY SIGHTS ONTO THE RADIUS. AT 2155 THE OBJECT APPEARED again, AN OBJECT WITH NO TARGET.

THE NIGHT DID NOT RESPOND TO THE IDENTIFY-FRIEND OR FOE.

AN AIRPLANE WAS OBSERVED AT 2205; I RECEIVED THE TASK OF DETECT AND IDENTIFY AN OBJECT OR AIRCRAFT, APPEARING AT AN ALTITUDE OF 700 METERS AND AN ALTITUDE OF 500 METERS. I REQUESTED THE COMMAND TO PERFORM THE TASK. WHERE ON REPORTED THE PARAMETERS INDICATED A TARGET OF 2,000 METERS.

A SIMULATED ATTACK WAS PERFORMED-GASSIANI WITH THE FOLLOWING REPORT:

UNCLASSIFIED

DEFENSE DISTRICT.

FOLLOWING ATTACK AREA MY WEAPONRY WAS TARGET, IN THE DIRECTING AND IDENTIFYING A TARGET LOCKED ALTERNATED ITS SCHEDULED AIRLINERS COULD COMMAND TURN, REQUEST. IN ITS TARGET LIMITED MISSION.

BOREALIS FUEL STILL REMAINING. THE SHINING OBJECT WITH 1,100 KILOMETERS. THE OBJECT OCCURRED.

DEGREES, DISAPPEARED THE PARAMETERS INDICATED A RANGE OF 30-50 KILOMETERS, AND AN ALTITUDE OF 2,000 METERS. I DISAPPEARED AT AN ALTITUDE OF 1,200 METERS AND REMAINED TO THE NORTH. AN AIRCRAFT WAS OBSERVED AT 2110, A SHINING OBJECT WITH AN ALTITUDE OF 800 METERS AND SPEED MANY TIMES GREATER.

IN THE REGION WAS 0-10."

WEATHER IN THE AIRFIELD. I LANDED IN THE DEFENSE DISTRICT.

I REARED MY TASK OF FLYING IN THE TARGET, WHERE ON REPORTED THE PARAMETERS INDICATED A TARGET OF 1,000 METERS AND ALTERED ITS COURSE OF 220 DEGREES. AT 2140 THERE WERE DISAPPEARANCES OF THE SECOND OBJECT WITH WHITE LIGHTS. I BRIEFLY SAW THE RANGE OF ABOUT 500-600 METERS AND THE SAME PARAMETERS WAS FOLLOWING MY SIGHTS ONTO THE RADIUS. AT 2155 THE OBJECT APPEARED again, AN OBJECT WITH NO TARGET.

THE NIGHT DID NOT RESPOND TO THE IDENTIFY-FRIEND OR FOE.

AN AIRPLANE WAS OBSERVED AT 2205; I RECEIVED THE TASK OF DETECT AND IDENTIFY AN OBJECT OR AIRCRAFT, APPEARING AT AN ALTITUDE OF 700 METERS AND AN ALTITUDE OF 500 METERS. I REQUESTED THE COMMAND TO PERFORM THE TASK. WHERE ON REPORTED THE PARAMETERS INDICATED A TARGET OF 2,000 METERS.

A SIMULATED ATTACK WAS PERFORMED-GASSIANI WITH THE FOLLOWING REPORT:
THE OBJECT WAS TURNING AND, AT GREAT SPEED, APPROACHING THE AIRPLANE. AFTER AN APPROACH TO A DISTANCE OF ABOUT 20 KILOMETERS, THE OBJECT DISAPPEARED FROM THE FIELD OF OBSERVATION AND THEN APPEARED AGAIN TO THE REAR AND ABOVE THE PLANE. THE OBJECT WAS MOVING IN AN ARC AT AN AZIMUTH OF 270 DEGREES. AT 2201 THE OBJECT WAS HOVERING IN PLACE AT AN AZIMUTH OF 190-200 DEGREES AND A RANGE UP TO 100 KILOMETERS. AT 2203 A FIGHTER AIRCRAFT APPEARED IN THE FIELD OF OBSERVATION AT AN AZIMUTH OF 240 DEGREES. WHILE THE FIGHTER WAS APPROACHING THE OBJECT, THE LATTER DISAPPEARED. AT 2205 THE OBJECT APPEARED AT AN AZIMUTH OF 190-220 DEGREES, HOVERED, AND, AFTER 1-2 MINUTES, DISAPPEARED.**

CAPTAIN V. BIRIN: ''THE OBJECT LOOKED LIKE A FLYING SAUCER WITH TWO VERY BRIGHT LIGHTS ALONG THE EDGES. ITS DIAMETER WAS APPROXIMATELY 100-200 METERS, JUDGING BY THE SHINING LIGHTS. A LESS INTENSE LIGHT, WHICH LOOKED LIKE A Porthole, COULD BE SEEN BETWEEN THE TWO BRIGHT LIGHTS. AFTER THE OBJECT RECEDED, A RED LIGHT WITH AVERAGE INTENSITY REMAINED. THE Trajectory depended on the flashing of the bright side lights: the more often they flashed, the faster the speed of the UFO, and vice versa. While hovering, the OBJECT extinguished its lights almost completely. AT 2230 THE OBJECT HEADED OFF IN THE DIRECTION OF MOSCOW. I AM ENCLOSING A DRAWING OF IT."

/****** BEGINNING OF TAKE 002 ******/

REF: WA205020090 FOREIGN BROADCAST INFORMATION SERVICE PROD GROUP ///DRAWING OF IT."

SOURCE: FOREIGN BROADCAST INFORMATION SERVICE PROD GROUP

TEXT:

CAPTAIN V. IVCHENKO: ''I COULD NOT MAKE OUT THE CONTOURS OF THE OBJECT, BUT I CLEARLY SAW TWO LIGHTS FLASHING WITH A DEFINITE PERIODICITY. THE ILLUMINATION FROM THESE LIGHTS COULD BE COMPARED WITH A PHOTOFLASH. THE UFO WAS CARRYING OUT AN 'S-TURN' FLIGHT, GRADUALLY APPROACHING OUR CITY; ITS ROUTE PASSED FROM EAST TO WEST AT AN ANGLE OF SIGHT OF APPROXIMATELY 75 DEGREES RELATIVE TO THE TOWN. I DISTINGUISHED TWO AIRCRAFT NEAR THE OBJECT. I AM ENCLOSING THE APPROXIMATE ROUTE OF THE UFO."

CAPTAIN M. FILATOV: ''TO ALL VISUAL PERCEPTIONS, THE OBJECT WAS ROTATING IN A HORIZONTAL PLANE AROUND ITS OWN AXIS, SINCE THE LIGHT SOURCES MERGED AND DIVIDED IN TURN. THE MAGNITUDES OF THE SOURCES OF INTENSITY WITH RESPECT TO POWER, INTENSITY, AND LUMINOUS FLUX WERE SIGNIFICANTLY GREATER THAN FOR SIGNAL LIGHTS OF THE AIRCRAFT WHICH WERE FLYING AROUND IN OUR REGION AT THAT TIME. THE LIGHTS OF THE UFO FLASHED EVERY 2-3 SECONDS. THE OBJECT WAS LOCATED IN THE DIRECTION OF ZAGORSK. THE Trajectory OF ITS MOVEMENT WAS AN 'STURN,' HORIZONTALLY AND VERTICALLY."

CAPTAIN I. LAPIN: ''AT 2200, THE FLASHING LIGHTS OF THE OBJECT VANISHED FOR 5 MINUTES, THEN A SHARP LIGHT FLARED UP. AN ENTIRE UNCLASSIFIED
Cloud was illuminated and, after that, the object appeared again. Two aircraft accompanied it, with red identification lights. After dropping in altitude, the object flew away at great speed in the direction of Moscow. It left a red luminescence of average intensity behind in the clouds. I observed the object until 2240. I experienced no sensation whatsoever."

A photograph with the following caption is included with the report: "In the picture—the 'saucer' (paired points to the left) and an aircraft approaching them (the two lights to the right), photographed from a distance of 40 kilometers. Exposure was 4-5 seconds and, consequently, the flashing lights appeared on the film several times, corresponding to the progression of the UFO. It is noted that the contours of the UFO and the aircraft cannot be determined from the picture because the outlines are obscured by the bright lights.

The report ends with the following comments by Colonel General Maltsev: "I am not a specialist on UFOs and, therefore, I can only correlate the data and express my own belief. According to the evidence of these eyewitnesses, the UFO represented itself as a disk with a diameter of 100-200 meters. Two pulsating lights were positioned on its sides. When the object flew in a horizontal plane, the line of lights was parallel to the horizon. During vertical movement, it rotated and the line was perpendicular to the ground. Moreover, the object rotated around its axis and performed an 'S-turn' flight both in the horizontal and vertical planes. Next, the UFO hovered above the ground and then flew at a speed that was 2-3 times that of modern jet fighters. All of the observers noticed that the flight speed was directly related to the flashing of the side lights—the more often they flashed, the higher the speed. The objects flew at altitudes ranging from 1,000 to 7,000 meters. The movement of the UFO was not accompanied by sound of any kind and was distinguished by its startling maneuverability. It seemed that the UFO was completely devoid of inertia. In other words, they had somehow 'come to terms' with gravity. At the present time, terrestrial machines could hardly have any such capabilities. The object was observed as a 'pip' from a radar target on the screens of aircraft radar sights and on the screens of electronic surveillance units. At one station, no observation was established."

In an editor's note, Rabochaya Tribuna assesses Colonel General Maltsev's documents as 'substantial confirmation' that UFOs, piloted by intelligent beings of some sort, have been visiting the USSR.

In related developments, the 15 April issue of Rabochaya Tribuna had published a report from a Major V. Stroynetskii, who stated that he and several hundred other witnesses have repeatedly observed UFOs over the Yaroslavl highway, many of which 'looked like great triangular milk cartons.' The objects were flying at altitudes that Stroynetskii estimated at 500-800 meters. He said that at times the whole body of the object 'scintillated' with

BEGINNING OF TAKE 003

REF: WA2405024090 FOREIGN BROADCAST INFORMATION SERVICE PROD GROUP //EVENTS IN BELGIUM.

SOURCE: FOREIGN BROADCAST INFORMATION SERVICE PROD GROUP

TEXT:

RABOCHIA TRIBUNA ON 19 APRIL, REFERRING TO ITS 15 APRIL REPORT, COMMENTED IN ITS EDITOR'S NOTE ACCOMPANYING THE MALTEZOV DOCUMENTS AND THE "SAUCER" PHOTOGRAPH CITED ABOVE: "THE EVENTS THAT OCCURRED ALONG THE YAROSLAVL HIGHWAY ARE QUITE REMARKABLE. THEY STRIKE A MAJOR BLOW AT THOSE WHO HOLD THE HYPOTHESIS THAT UFOS ARE RELATED TO ATMOSPHERIC PHENOMENA. ORDER CAN BE SENSED IN THE MOVEMENT OF THE OBJECT IN THE PHOTOGRAPH, AND IT IS OBVIOUS THAT THE LIGHTS OF THE OBJECT ARE FIRMLY FIXED IN RELATION TO EACH OTHER. ATMOSPHERIC PHENOMENA ARE MARKED BY CHAOS."

THE 11 APRIL ISSUE OF SOVETS'KAIA ROSSIYA HAD REPORTED THAT THE BELGIAN SOCIETY FOR THE STUDY OF SPACE PHENOMENA HAD CALLED A PRESS CONFERENCE AT WHICH JOURNALISTS WERE SHOWN A VIDEO OF UFOS THAT HAD RECENTLY PENETRATED BELGIAN AIR SPACE. THE FILM WAS MADE BY A CITIZEN OF BRUSSELS ON THE NIGHT OF 30-31 MARCH AND WAS CLAIMED TO SHOW TRIANGULAR UFOS, WITH BRIGHT LIGHTS OUTLINING THEIR EDGES AND WITH WEAKER SOURCES OF LIGHT FLASHING IN THEIR CENTERS WHICH REPORTEDLY WERE CLEARLY VISIBLE TO MANY OBSERVERS AS THEY MOVED AT AN ALTITUDE OF ABOUT 400 METERS. THE BELGIAN AIR FORCE WAS PLACED ON ALERT AND F-16 FIGHTERS WERE ORDERED TO INTERCEPT THE OBJECTS. THE OBJECTS DISAPPEARED AS THE AIRCRAFT APPROACHED BUT NOT BEFORE TWO RADAR STATIONS HAD REGISTERED THEM ON THEIR SCREENS.

THE FOLLOWING PARAGRAPHS DESCRIBE ONLY A FEW OF THE MANY SOVIET NEWSPAPER AND JOURNAL ARTICLES ON UFOS APPEARING IN RECENT MONTHS. UNLIKE THE SIGHTINGS DESCRIBED IN THE ARTICLES LISTED ABOVE, AIR FORCE AIRCRAFT AND RADAR STATIONS WERE NOT INVOLVED IN THE OBSERVATIONS:

UNCLASSIFIED
THE 5 APRIL ISSUE OF SOVETSKAYA ESTONIA REPORTED THAT MANY OBSERVERS, INCLUDING ONE OF ITS OWN CORRESPONDENTS, HAD RECENTLY OBSERVED UFOS FLYING OVER ESTONIA. THE UFOS REPORTEDLY HOVERED OVER POWER LINES ALONG THE TALLINN HIGHWAY, AND THE NEWSPAPER PUBLISHED WHAT IT CALLED PHOTOGRAPHS OF THEM SENT BY CORRESPONDENT YE. KAPOVA.

THE 4 APRIL ISSUE OF RABOCHAYA TRIBUNA REPORTED THAT AN ETHYLENE PIPELINE INSPECTOR FOR THE NIZHNEKAMSK PETROCHEMICAL AUTHORITY IN THE BASHKIR AUTONOMOUS REPUBLIC, WHO HAD BEEN ORDERED BY HIS DISPATCHER TO CHECK HIS RUN OF PIPE AFTER MONITORING INSTRUMENTS BEGAN TO FLUCTUATE ERRATICALLY, REPORTED BACK THAT A UFO WAS HOVERING OVER THE AREA WHERE THE PIPE WAS BURIED AND THAT RAYS EMANATING FROM THE DISK-SHAPED OBJECT WERE TOUCHING THE GROUND ABOVE THE PIPELINE.

THE 24 MARCH ISSUE OF VECHERNAYA MOSKVA REPORTED THAT A SCIENTIST, VLADIMIR AZHAZHA, HAD BEEN APPOINTED AS THE HEAD OF THE NEW ALL-UNION INTERBRANCH UFOLOGICAL SCIENTIFIC-COORDINATION CENTER, WHICH IS AFFILIATED WITH THE USSR ACADEMY OF SCIENCES. IT IS REPORTEDLY THE FIRST CENTER TO REPRESENT THE USSR AS A WHOLE IN RESEARCH CONCENTRATED ON THE UFO PHENOMENON.

THE 3 MARCH ISSUE OF SOVETSKAYA ROSSIYA PUBLISHED AN ARTICLE PROPOSING POSSIBLE EXPLANATIONS FOR SUCH ANOMALOUS PHENOMENA AS UFOS.

THE MARCH ISSUE OF THE MILITARY JOURNAL KOMMUNIST VOORUZHENVYKH SIL PUBLISHED A 7-PAGE ARTICLE ON UFOS, INCLUDING A SECTION THAT REFERS TO "THE POLITICAL CHARACTER" OF CYCLES OF ATTITUDES TOWARD THE PUBLICATION OF REPORTS ON UFO SIGHTINGS. THE ARTICLE NOTES THAT DURING POLITICALLY CONSERVATIVE PERIODS, SOVIET CENSORS HAVE BEEN ABLE TO PROHIBIT THE PUBLICATION OF SUCH REPORTS. UNLIKE THOSE "COLD PERIODS," THE SOVIET UNION IS NOW IN "HOT TIMES" AND THE PUBLIC IS BEING ENCOURAGED TO FOCUS ITS ATTENTION ON UFOS. THE AUTHOR OF THE ARTICLE POINTS OUT THAT EVEN THE PRESTIGIOUS ACADEMY OF SCIENCES HAS ESTABLISHED OFFICIAL ORGANS TO STUDY UFOS AND DISSEMINATE INFORMATION ABOUT THEM.

THE 22 FEBRUARY ISSUE OF RABOCHAYA TRIBUNA PUBLISHED A REPORT BY KRASNOYARSK MILITIAMEN WHO STATED THAT TWO DISK-SHAPED UFOS HAD FOLLOWED THEIR PATROL CARS, MOVING SLOWLY ABOVE THEM IN A "PARALLEL COURSE" FOR 3 OR 4 KILOMETERS. THE MILITIAMEN MAINTAINED THAT WHEN THE PATROL CARS STOPPED AT THE VILLAGE OF DROKINO, ONE OF THE "SAUCERS" LANDED ON A HILL NEAR THE CARS AND HARRASSED THEM WITH RAYS OF YELLOW AND RED LIGHT BEFORE FLYING AWAY.

THE 17 FEBRUARY ISSUE OF RABOCHAYA TRIBUNA DEVOTED ITS ENTIRE FOURTH PAGE TO UFOS, INCLUDING A DISCUSSION OF THE QUESTION AS TO WHETHER OR NOT UFOS ARE BEING FLOWN BY EXTRATERRESTRIALS.

THE 24 DECEMBER 1989 ISSUE OF TRUD PUBLISHED A REPORT FROM TWO DEPUTY CHIEFS OF THE MOSCOW-BASED 27TH MILITIA DIVISION WHO CLAIMED THAT THEY AND THEIR SUBORDINATES HAD TRACKED A SLOWLY MOVING UFO FLYING AT LOW ALTITUDE THROUGH THE OUTSKIRTS OF MOSCOW. ACCORDING
TO TRUD, A MEMBER OF THE EXPERT COMMISSION ON ANOMALOUS PHENOMENA IN THE USSR ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, YU. PLATOV, STATES THAT THERE IS A POSSIBILITY THAT THE MILITIAMEN MISTOOK VENUS, SEEN 'THROUGH COMPLEX ATMOSPHERIC CONDITIONS,' FOR A UFO.

THE 5 DECEMBER ISSUE OF SOTSIALISTICHESKAYA INDUSTRIYA DESCRIBED A RECENT JAPANESE EXPEDITION TO THE SITE OF THE TUNGUSKA METERORITE IN SIBERIA. IN THEORY, A GREAT METEOR EXPLODED THERE IN 1908, DESTROYING TREES OVER AN AREA OF HUNDREDS OF SQUARE MILES, ALTHOUGH NO METERORITE HAS EVER BEEN FOUND. THE JAPANESE TEAM STATED THAT THEIR RESEARCH AT THE SITE CLEARLY INDICATED THAT THE EXPLOSION HAD BEEN CAUSED BY THE CRASH OF A NUCLEAR-POWERED SPACECRAFT. THE JAPANESE SCIENTISTS ERECTED A MONUMENT AT THE SITE--THE FIRST MEMORIAL IN THE USSR TO COMMEMORATE A UFO, ACCORDING TO SOTSIALISTICHESKAYA INDUSTRIYA.
1. (0:53) EASTER CELEBRATIONS IN ROME, LINKED UP WITH MOSCOW FOR THE TIME. REPORT OVER VIDEO SHOWS POPE ADDRESSING CROWD.

2. (2:10) REPORT OVER VIDEO OF EASTER CELEBRATIONS IN ROMANIAN, BULGARIA, POLAND.

3. (2:53) VIDEO REPORT FROM JERUSALEM, SHOWING SETTLERS IN CHURCH BUILDING, DEMONSTRATIONS FOR AND AGAINST, INTERVIEW WITH PEACE NOW ACTIVIST.

4. (4:54) REPORT OVER VIDEO OF EASTER IN BERLIN.

5. (5:20) VIDEO REPORT FROM LITHUANIA, SHOWING LANDSBERGIS JOINING WITH EASTER WORSHIPPERS.

6. (7:25) BOMB EXPLOSION IN PERU KILLS POLICEMEN.

7. (7:44) REPORT OVER VIDEO OF MAN TRYING TO PLACE WREATH IN BEIJING.

8. (8:21) VIDEO REPORT OF ARRIVAL OF MANDELA IN LONDON, AND REHEARSALS FOR TOMORROW’S CONCERT. INTERVIEW WITH SINGER JIM KERR.

9. (10:07) STRANGEWAYS REVOLT CONTINUES IN UK.

10. (10:20) REPORT OVER VIDEO OF HILLSBOROUGH COMMEMORATION.

11. (10:52) RED CROSS STAGES PRACTICE DISASTER RESCUE IN PARIS: VIDEO REPORT. INTERVIEW WITH ORGANIZER AND PARTICIPANTS.

12. (13:33) VIDEO REPORT ON ARMS INDUSTRY, SHOWING TANKS BEING
UNCLASSIFIED

TESTED, CONNECTED WITH NEW STATUS OF GIAT (MN GROUPEMENT DES INDUSTRIES D'ARMEMENTS TERRESTRES) WHICH IS TO BECOME A NATIONAL COMPANY FROM 1 JULY. INTERVIEW WITH MANAGING DIRECTOR PIERRE CHIQUET EXPLAINING HOW IT AIMS TO EXPAND ITS ACTIVITIES.

13. (17:04) VIDEO REPORT OF FATE OF ABANDONED CHILDREN IN FRANCE AND THEIR FOSTER PARENTS.

14. (20:04) TENTH ANNIVERSARY OF DEATH OF SARTRE: ARCHIVE FOOTAGE. INTERVIEW WITH SERGE REGGIANI ABOUT HIS ROLE IN A SARTRE PREMIERE.

15. (23:00) REPORT OVER VIDEO OF UFO HUNT IN BELGIUM.

16. (24:25) CYCLING.
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FLIGHT SAVERS OVER SLOVAKIA — Bratislava, Pravda, 6 Sep 65, p 4

Flights over Slovakia traveling from south to north, were observed over Kosice at 1:00 hours on 3 September. According to Kocian leader DONAISKY, who was strolling down Kosice Street with his wife, he saw a large crowd gazing up at the sky, he looked up and saw a red circular object flying from the direction of the east toward Kosice. Simultaneously, he observed a black colored object rotating in place at a height of about 3,000 meters and about 7 kilometers from Kosice. When the red circular object passed the rotating object, the latter moved and disappeared.

The newspaper office has been flooded with calls from citizens who witnessed the phenomenon. According to information from the Slovak State Airline Administration, the Kosice radar station received no objects in the air at that particular time. They monitor only the flight routes of incoming and outgoing airplanes. (Pravda, 25 Sep 65)

MILITARY MEDICAL CONFERENCE — Prague, Vojenské Zdravotnické Lázne, 9 Aug 65, p 145

A working conference on acupuncture will be held on 29-30 October 1965 at the Slovak National Uprising Military Hospital in Bratislava. The purpose is to facilitate the exchange of experiences and refine the further development of acupuncture under Czechoslovakian conditions. The program will include theoretical reports, contributions on the mechanics of the effects of acupuncture, and reports of a clinical nature.

Applications to attend and information on proposed presentations (except titles, length and required aids) are to be submitted to Richard Uhlar, Slovak National Uprising Military Hospital, Bratislava. (Pravda, 25 Sep 65)
On the 27th August 1963, the first Notice of Intention to construct the new water reservoirs was published. The total capacity is 100,000 cubic feet. The following is a description of the reservoirs:

1. Main Reservoir
   - Location: South of town center
   - Capacity: 70,000 cubic feet
2. Peripheral Reservoir
   - Location: North of town center
   - Capacity: 30,000 cubic feet

The reservoirs will be constructed in accordance with the specifications provided by the Ministry of Water Resources. The construction is expected to be completed by the end of November 1963.

[Signature]
[Name]
[Position]
LUMINOUS OBJECT DETECTED FLOATING IN THE SKY AT NASIRABAD —
Tehran, Paygham News, 12 Jul 65

Source correspondent from Baghdad reports that at 9:30 p.
a luminous object was seen floating over Baghdad. The
sudden luminous object was seen floating over Baghdad. The
course of its direction was from south-east moving toward north-
west. It was clearly visible for a period of 4 minutes and 39
seconds. The local residents were reportedly apprehensive of a
bomb or missile attack.
M. Z. Ioffe, a scientist at the Moscow Aviation Institute, has uncovered evidence showing that intelligent life probably exists on other planets of our solar system. The astronaut said that UFO's have been appearing in ever-increasing numbers during the last two years, and that they have been observed to 20 meters. It is becoming apparent that this is not an optical illusion. In the USSR there is now a UFO observation service. The observatory first established the speed and acceleration of the UFO, which cannot be achieved by modern aircraft.

[NC]

FILE CODE: 271  SIGN DATE: none  ADD PRESS: 6040
The director of the Station for the Radio Observation of the Ionosphere and Artificial Earth Satellites R. Vitolsnlyk reports that recently there are more and more articles in the Soviet and foreign press of strange luminous objects and contact discs being observed in those. As yet, it is impossible to give a definite answer as to the nature of those phenomena. While some have already been explained, the radar observations have sometimes showed objects in the form of an ideal circle tens of kilometers high that could not be compared with any known object, such as spunitis, meteorological rockets, etc. "Flying saucers" have also appeared many times over the territory of the USSR, and quite recently in Leposa. Eye-witness reports suggest that it was not a mirage, but an actual "flying saucer." According to

...
TITLE: Maybe there is no UFO!

SOURCE: Zelenaya Volumeta, no. 301, 25-26 Dec 67, p. 9, cols. 2-3

TOCIC TOPICS: unidentified flying object, astronomical observatory, air force organization

ABSTRACT:

Last November [1967] the Soviet Union formed a committee for the investigation of unidentified flying objects (UFO's). This committee, a part of DOKLIF, is under the command of Air Force Major General A. S. Balayev. General Balayev recommended that the photographic investigation method be used. The data obtained during this investigation will be correlated by the Politkovsky Astronomical Observatory, and the Crimean Astronomical Observatory. The observations have been entrusted to a chain of astronomical observatories all over the USSR as well as to civil aviation. Orig. art. has: 1 figure.
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In May 1967 a sponsoring group of scientists, the military, writers, and public figures met to form an unofficial body whose purpose would be to conduct a preliminary scientific investigation of UFOs.

The organisation, set up in October 1967, is called the UTO Section of the All-Union Gaiteknicniki Committee, with headquarters at the Central House of Aviation and Gaiteknicniki in Moscow. Air Force Major General Forzitz Stolypin was elected chairman of the section.

The hypothesis that UFOs originate in other worlds, that they are flying craft from planets other than earth, merits the most serious examination.

Observations show that UFOs behave "sensibly." In a group formation flight they maintain a pattern. They are most often spotted over airfields, atomic stations, and other very new engineering installations. On encountering aircraft, they always maneuver so as to avoid direct contact. A considerable list of these seemingly intelligent actions gives the impression that UFOs are investigating, perhaps even reconnoitering.

Curiously enough, the number of UFO observations increases as Mars approaches the earth. Is this pure coincidence?
Some people think that UFOs have appeared in the earth's atmosphere only during the past two decades. This is not the case. The UFO phenomenon has been observed throughout the history of mankind. There are medieval and ancient reports strikingly similar to ours.

Among the earlier UFO reports, as an example, may be the well-documented observations of a "flying saucer" in 1887 and a "procession of bolides" in 1913. These reports still await investigation.

The most remarkable UFO phenomenon is the famous "Tungusky meteorite." In recent years Soviet scientists have established that the Tungusky explosion had every possible characteristic of an atmospheric nuclear blast. The USSR Academy of Sciences Reports (Volume 177, Nos. 4 and 5, 1957) include studies by Alexei Zolotov which attempt to prove that the Tungusky body could not be a meteorite or a comet.

In the summer of 1967 the Joint Institute of Nuclear Research at Dubna published a study by Vladimir Khlebodar, who concludes that the Tungusky blast left considerable residual radioactivity. Finally, as recently as 1968, after analyzing the sum total of observations on the Tungusky body's flight, this writer showed that before the blast the Tungusky body described in the atmosphere a tremendous arc of about 375 miles in extent (in azimuth), that is, carried out a maneuver.

All these new results warrant the conclusion that the Tungusky body seems to have been an artificial flying craft from some other planet.

End 3/4
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Should this be finally confirmed by investigations now in progress, the significance of the Tungusky disaster would be inestimable. But this, incidentally, will pose new problems. If we are indeed being studied by creatures from other planets, what is their purpose? Why are they so studiously avoiding any direct contact? Is their unreceptivity the result of an hierarchy of development that bar us from their "heights" just as we look upon and study ants? Or is there still the possibility of common understanding since we are born in the same Universe and obey the same laws of nature?

The study of UFOs may lead to quite different conclusions and present mankind with quite different problems.

The important thing now is for us to discard any preconceived notions about UFOs and to engage on a global scale a calm, sensation-free, and strictly scientific study of this strange phenomenon. The subject and aim of the investigation are so serious that they justify any efforts. It goes without saying that international cooperation is vital.

End 4/4
In 1968 the Nauka Publishing House of the USSR Academy of Sciences is scheduled to publish a book entitled Populated Outer Space, edited by Academician Boris Konstantinov, Vice President of the USSR Academy of Sciences, and written by distinguished Soviet and foreign contributors, including Americans.

In May 1967 a sponsoring group of scientists, the military, writers, and public figures met to form an unofficial body whose purpose would be to conduct a preliminary scientific investigation of UFOs.

The organization, set up in October 1967, is called the UFO Section of the All-Union Cosmopolitans Committee, with headquarters at the Central House of Aviation and Cosmopolitans in Moscow. Air Force Major General Forist Troilyarov is the chairman and co-chairman of the organization.

The hypothesis that UFOs originate in other worlds, that they are flying craft from planets other than earth, merits the most serious examination.

Observations show that UFOs behave "sensibly." In a group formation flight they maintain a pattern. They are most often spotted over airfields, atomic stations, and other very new engineering installations. On encountering aircraft, they always maneuver in such a way as to avoid direct contact. A considerable list of these seemingly intelligent actions gives the impression that UFOs are investigating, perhaps even reconnoitering.

Curious enough, the number of UFO observations increases as Mars approaches the earth. Is this pure coincidence?
Some people think that UFOs have appeared in the earth's atmosphere only during the past two decades. This is not the case. The UFO phenomenon has been observed throughout the history of mankind. There are medieval and ancient reports strikingly similar to ours.

Among the earlier UFO reports, an example, may be the well-documented observations of a "large saucer" in 1882 and a "procurement of bolide" in 1913. These reports still await investigation.

The most remarkable UFO phenomenon is the famous "Tunguska meteorite." In recent years Soviet scientists have established that the Tunguska explosion had an extraordinary effect on the atmosphere and the earth. The explosion of a meteorite which attempt to prove that the Tunguska body could not be a meteorite or a comet.

In the summer of 1967 the Joint Institute for Nuclear Research at Dubna published a study by Vladimir Novikov, who concludes that the Tunguska blast left considerable residual radioactivity. Finally, as recently as 1966, after analyzing the sum total of observations on the Tunguska body's flight, this writer showed that before the blast the Tunguska body described in the atmosphere a tremendous arc of about 374 miles in extent (in actual). That is, carried out a maneuver.

All these new results warrant the conclusion that the Tunguska body seems to have been an artificial flying craft from some other planet.

ACC No. APDO04256

Should this be finally confirmed by investigations now in progress, the significance of the Tunguska disaster would be incalculable.

But this, incidentally, will pose new problems. If we are indeed being studied by creatures from other planets, what is their purpose? Why are they so studiously avoiding any direct contact? Is their unobtrusiveness the result of such high a level of development that they study us from this "height" just as we look upon and study ants? Or is there still the possibility of common understanding since we are born in the same Universe and obey the same laws of nature?

The study of UFOs may lead to quite different conclusions and present mankind with quite different problems.

The important thing now is for us to discard any preconceived notions about UFO and to organize on a global scale a calm, sensation-free, and strictly scientific study of this strange phenomenon. The subject and aim of the investigation are so serious that they justify any efforts. It goes without saying that international cooperation is vital.

[KC]
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TITLE: Fantasy or reality? [Unidentified flying objects]

SOURCE: Komzovol'skaya pravda (Vil'nyus), no. 13, 20 Jan 68, p. 3, col. 5-8

ABSTRACT: Various Soviet scientists were interviewed on the subject of UFO's among them: Docent F. Zigal (Moscow Aviation Institute), Docent V. Zaytsev (Minsk), V. Struzhnik (Candidate of Physico-Mathematical Sciences) A. Bizenkovas (head of the division of technical control, Vilina Computer Plant), K. Lobeshyavichyus (high-school teacher) and I. Bebotchev-Lada (Doctor of Historical Sciences). Although opinions varied, eyewitness accounts were cited and the necessity of studying the UFO phenomenon stressed.
HYPOTHESIS ABOUT FLYING PLATES [HYPOTHESIS ABOUT UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS]

SOURCE: Leninaia smena, no. 22, 31 Jan 68, p. 4, col. 5-7

ABSTRACT: Originally published in the Hungarian newspaper "Kagyar Ijmosz," this article reverts various British, Russian, Yugoslav, and U.S. eyewitness accounts of UFO's. Statements by the West German ufologist Professor H. Obert are also quoted. It is noted that a worldwide conference on UFO's will be held in Vienna in November 1967. Soviet astronomer F. Zigel is quoted as stating that UFO's may be optical illusions, flying objects created on earth, unknown natural phenomena, or objects from other planets. This last hypothesis is supported by the fact that UFO's exhibit tremendous speed, which presupposes highly developed remote control. However, this is yet to be proved. Unfortunately, most UFO's have left no traces, and only a few photographs of them exist. [PC]
AUTHOR: Lyutskiy, V. (Science commentator for AVT)
TITLE: Are flying saucers a myth?
SOURCE: Pravda Ukrainy, no. 40, 12 Feb 65, p. 4, cols. 2-7

ABSTRACT: Various eyewitness accounts of UFO's are described. It is concluded that UFO's are a myth. The single 'and chance appearance of UFO's complicates or completely excludes the possibility of investigation. Stereoscopic photography is not reliable. It is impossible simultaneously to follow from two points the accurate outlines of a solid flying object. All pictures made by single-camera have room for doubt. It is true that there are pictures which do not raise doubts. They always show objects of round or oval shape with diffused outlines, probably ball lightning. The nature of ball lightning is unknown because of the utter lack of information about the time and place of its formation. It cannot be described as being of extraterrestrial origin. Even during superficial analysis, it is found that the mysterious flares on clouds are reflections of electric welding, signals from aircraft near airports, or remote summer lightning. The November 'saucer' of 1953 above Sofia turned out to be a bouncing balloon of NASA. When
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This article was reprinted in the New World News, July 30, 1958
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2. We turned the light off as quickly as possible. At this time, I saw a shadowy form above the horizon to the south. Its profile was not clearly outlined against the sky but appeared to be shaped as a partially deflated balloon with a light glow on top. Then I first saw it, it was off the ground and rising rather slowly in a vertical direction. There were two sources of light connected with the object, a white light on top and on the perimeter, a glow which was reddish or orange with a trace of pink. I have no estimate of its distance from us, possibly 1-2 miles.

It continued to rise vertically with the glow moving slowly around the perimeter in a clockwise direction giving the appearance of a pinwheel. When it reached an altitude of some 1000 feet, it suddenly stopped, the glow remaining fixed on the ground until it then moved toward us at tremendous speed.

The light on top disappeared as it came toward us. The object did not seem to change size to any great extent as it came toward us.
Page 2 - not located

Page 3 - deny in toto
Another Soviet, who was a member of a committee the USSR had established to investigate unusual phenomena, in other words, flying saucers, gave a serious talk which was actually very humorous.

His approach was, "Well, of course, we know there isn't anything to this alleged phenomenon (flying saucers), but on the other hand, during his talk Shklovskiy and other Soviets joked and laughed and evidently did not take the speaker's remarks seriously.

"In Shklovskiy remarked later to a US attendee that the flying saucer committee consists of many politicians, theorists, historians and similar type people. It has little if any scientific talent."
DENY IN TOTO
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ON ONE OCCASION [ ]ASKED IF THE U.S. FORECAST CENTER H EVER BOTHERED WITH UFO SIGHTINGS. HE EXPLAINED THAT AT ONE TIME TI [ ] IN PARTICULAR, HAD BEEN [ ]PLAGUED WITH CALLS AND QUESTIONS ABOUT UFO SIGHTINGS. HE SAID TH [ ][ ]OME OF THEIR SCIENTIFIC BALLOON FLIGHTS HAD PROMPTED SOME OF THE [ ]NOW, HE SAID, HE NEVER GETS THESE CALLS ANYMORE AND HALF JOKING [ ]SURMISED THAT SOMEONE MUST HAVE MADE A POLITICAL DECISION THAT TH [ ]WERE NOT TO BE SIGHTED ANYMORE.
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UNITED STATES SCIENTISTS BELIEVE THAT LOW MAGNET FIELD DO NOT HAVE A SERIOUS EFFECT ON ASTRONAUTS, BUT HIGH MAGNET FIELD, OSCILLATING MAGNETIC FIELD, AND ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD CAN DO HAVE CONSIDERABLE EFFECT. THERE IS A THEORY THAT SUCH FIELD A CLOSELY ASSOCIATED WITH SUPERCONDUCTIVITY AT VERY LOW TEMPERATURE SUCH AS IN SPACE. THIS IN TURN IS RELATED TO THE POSSIBLE PROPULSION SYSTEM OF UFOS. THERE IS A RUMOR THAT FRAGMENTS OF A POSSIBLE UFO FOUND IN BRAZIL BORE A RELATIONSHIP TO SUPERCONDUCTORS AND HYPERTURBORDYNAUTS.
DENY IN TOTO
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EXPERIMENTAL REPORT

SECTIONS 2. (C) INVESTIGATION

1. (C) The purpose of this report is to present the results of
the investigation of a metallic fragment found near the
Dacca area in the Republic of the Bengal. The fragment
was recovered on August 2, 1945, as a result of a ground-level search
made by the personnel of the 2nd Armored Division. It is
believed that the fragment was the result of an unidentified flying object explosion and fall to earth in the area.

Other than a reported east-to-west direction of flight for the UFO,
no specific information was furnished by the TRE.

SECTION 2. (C) Experiments

2. (C) In the experiments, the exact location and characteristics
of impact are unknown. However, the assumption of the fragment to
be an impact fragment at high temperatures prior to impact (ejecta
from a meteor) is considered.

The fragment weighed 1.2 kg, and an infrared density
of approximately 150 kg/m³ was observed. The top
surface was observed, and the fragment was found and appeared to have
been heated by burning and melting. This is illustrated in Figure 1.

Figure 1: Fragment Analysis

In conclusion, the fragment in Figure 1, in the absence of
an impact of objects that differ significantly from the temperature,
is consistent with an impact fragment at high temperatures.
plotting. This can be accomplished by slightly compressing the laminate assembly and heating to a certain temperature. Temperatures required for bonding of the copper depends upon the degree of compaction or pressure; the higher pressures requiring proportionally lower temperatures. 

9. (c) A cross-section (perpendicular to length of the specimen) is shown in Figure 3. The light-colored area are the edges of individual laminates, caused by cutting at an angle to, instead of parallel to, the laminates. The heart-shaped area is indicative of the high bonding conditions experienced. 

10. (c) The laminates or strands of individual laminates are clearly illustrated in Figure 6. The 30-laminate laminate at the top of the photograph is cut to show the appearance of the copper during the high temperature treatment. The same of the copper bottom of the photograph, where the upper section of the area is shown in Figures 7 and 8.

11. (c) Laminates at the ends of the specimen show the parallel grain structure of the individual laminates. The structure of the laminates above in Figures 9 and 10 illustrate grain sizes that are very fine, with the longest grain size in the center.
• the light material between the 'artifacts' in Figure 9 was placed copper that melted and flowed between the laminations when the entire specimen was hot. A pictorial view of this is shown

12. (C) Chemical composition of the steel laminations was as follows:

14. (C) Analysis of the data disclosed the following:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Percent</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Carbon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silicon</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nickel</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calcium</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyphenol</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
INTELLIGENCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Minutes of Meeting held in Director’s Conference Room, Administration Building Central Intelligence Agency, on 4 December 1952

Acting Deputy Director (Intelligence)
Central Intelligence Agency
Mr. Robert Amory, Jr.

MEMBERS PRESENT

Mr. W. Park Armstrong, Jr., Special Assistant, Intelligence
Department of State
Brigadier General John M. Willems, acting for Assistant Chief
of Staff, G-2, Department of the Army
Rear Admiral Carl F. Espe, Director of Naval Intelligence,
Department of the Navy
Major General John A. Sanford, Director of Intelligence,
Headquarters, United States Air Force
Dr. Walter F. Colby, Director of Intelligence, Atomic
Energy Commission
Brigadier General Edward R. Porter, Deputy Director for
Intelligence, The Joint Staff
Mr. Heffert W. Kuhrtz, acting for Assistant to the Director,
Federal Bureau of Investigation

ALSO PRESENT

Dr. Sherman Kent, Central Intelligence Agency
Dr. E. Marshall Chadwell, Central Intelligence Agency
Mr. Paul Borel, Central Intelligence Agency
Mr. Ludwell L. Montague, Central Intelligence Agency
Mr. Philip Strong, Central Intelligence Agency
Mr. Joseph W. Smith, Central Intelligence Agency
Mr. William C. Trueheart, Department of State
Mr. Miron Burgin, Department of State
Lieutenant Colonel T. C. Anderson, Department of the Army
Lieutenant Colonel Edgar H. Thomson, Jr., Department of the Army
Colonel John J. Morrow, United States Air Force
Colonel Jack E. Thomas, United States Air Force
Lieutenant Colonel Thomas J. Grant, United States Air Force
Colonel C. M. Lansing, The Joint Staff
Captain John A. Holbrook, USN, The Joint Staff

Richard D. Drain
Acting Secretary
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4 December 1952
Approval of Minutes

1. Action: The minutes of the last meeting, 1 December 1952 (IAC-M-89), were approved.

Conditions and Trends in Latin America Affecting U. S. Security (HIE-70)

2. Action: Approved with minor modifications.

Unidentified Flying Objects

3. Action: The Director of Central Intelligence will:
   a. Enlist the services of selected scientists to review and appraise the available evidence in the light of pertinent scientific theories.
   b. Draft and circulate to the IAC a proposed NSCID, which would signify IAC concern in the subject and authorize coordination with appropriate non-IAC departments and agencies.

4. Discussion: The acting Chairman, Mr. Amory, presented to the committee the DCI's request that this subject be informally discussed. Dr. Chadwell briefly reviewed the evidence and peripheral considerations, and noted that most of the available evidence is processed by ATIC. General Samford offered his full cooperation. It was recognized that the problem is best approached if directly related to specific problems of intelligence and defense. It was thought desirable that the action noted above under "a" be undertaken immediately, with consideration of a proposed NSCID to depend in some measure on the results achieved by the scientists' studies.
INTELLIGENCE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

1. Transmitted herewith is a copy of the report (Tab A) of the scientific panel convened as a result of the action taken by the Intelligence Advisory Committee on 4 December (IAC-M-90, paragraph 3A) on this subject. Attached to the report are a summary of evidence presented (Tab B) and a list of the personnel participating (Tab C).

2. It is proposed to transmit copies of this report to the Secretary of Defense; the Director, Federal Civil Defense Administration; and the Chairman, National Security Resources Board for their information and such action as they may feel necessary.

3. The results of the panel's studies have moved CIA to conclude that no National Security Council Intelligence Directive on this subject is warranted.

4. Please inform the undersigned by 25 February 1953 if you do not concur in the action and conclusions contained in paragraphs 2 and 3, above.

JAMES Q. REVER
Secretary
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IAC-D-67
18 February 1953
REPORT OF THE SCIENTIFIC PANEL ON UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

1. Pursuant to the request of the Assistant Director for Scientific Intelligence, the undersigned Panel of Scientific Consultants has met to evaluate any possible threat to national security posed by Unidentified Flying Objects ("Flying Saucers"), and to make recommendations thereon. The Panel has reviewed the evidence as presented by various intelligence agencies, primarily the Air Technical Intelligence Center, and has reviewed a selection of the best documented incidents.

2. As a result of its considerations, the Panel concludes:
   a. That the evidence presented on Unidentified Flying Objects shows no indication that these phenomena constitute a direct physical threat to national security.

We firmly believe that there is no residuum of cases which indicates phenomena which are attributable to foreign artifacts capable of hostile acts, and that there is no evidence that the phenomena indicate a need for the revision of current scientific concepts.

3. The Panel further concludes:
   a. That the continued emphasis on the reporting of these phenomena does, in these parlous times, result in a threat to the orderly functioning of the protective organs of the body politic.

We cite as examples the clogging of channels of communication by irrelevant reports, the danger of being led by continued false alarms to ignore real
indications of hostile action, and the cultivation of a morbid national psychology in which skillful hostile propaganda could induce hysterical behavior and harmful distrust of duly constituted authority.

1. In order most effectively to strengthen the national facilities for the timely recognition and the appropriate handling of true indications of hostile action, and to minimize the concomitant dangers alluded to above, the Panel recommends:

a. That the national security agencies take immediate steps to strip the Unidentified Flying Objects of the special status they have been given and the aura of mystery they have unfortunately acquired;

b. That the national security agencies institute policies on intelligence, training, and public education designed to prepare the material defenses and the morale of the country to recognize most promptly and to react most effectively to true indications of hostile intent or action.

We suggest that these aims may be achieved by an integrated program designed to reassure the public of the total lack of evidence of inimical forces behind the phenomena, to train personnel to recognize and reject false indications quickly and effectively, and to strengthen regular channels for the evaluation of and prompt reaction to true indications of hostile measures.

/s/ Lloyd V. Berkner
Associated Universities, Inc.

/s/ H. P. Robertson, Chairman
California Institute of Technology

/s/ Luis W. Alvarez
University of California

/s/ S. A. Goddard
Brookhaven National Laboratories

/s/ Thorsten Page
Johns Hopkins University
SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY PANEL ON UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS
14 - 17 January 1953

EVIDENCE PRESENTED

1. Seventy-five case histories of sightings 1951 - 1952 (selected by ATIC as those best documented).

2. ATIC Status and Progress Reports of Project GRUDGE and Project BLUE BOOK (code names for ATIC study of subject).

3. Progress Reports of Project STORK (code name for Battelle Memorial Institute contract work supporting ATIC).


7. Motion Picture Films of sightings at Tremonton, Utah, 2 July 1952 and Great Falls, Montana, August 1950.

8. Summary Report of 89 selected cases of sightings of various categories (Formations, Flicking Lights, Hovering, etc.).


11. Chart Showing Balloon Launching Sites in the United States.


15. Kodachrome Transparencies of Polyethylene Film Balloons in Bright Sunlight Showing High Reflectivity.
16. Motion picture of seagulls in bright sunlight showing high reflectivity.


19. Sample Polyethylene "Pillow" Balloon (24 inches square).


21. Miscellaneous official letters and foreign intelligence reports dealing with subject.

22. Copies of popular published works dealing with subject (articles in periodicals, newspaper clippings and books).
SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY PANEL ON
UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS
14 - 17 January 1953

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBERS</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
<th>FIELD OF COMPETENCY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr. H. P. Robertson (Chairman)</td>
<td>California Institute of Technology</td>
<td>Physics, weapons systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Luis W. Alvarez</td>
<td>University of California</td>
<td>Physics, radar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Lloyd V. Berkner</td>
<td>Associated Universities, Inc.</td>
<td>Geophysics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Samuel Goudsmit</td>
<td>Brookhaven National Laboratories</td>
<td>Atomic structure, statistical problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Thornton Page</td>
<td>Office of Research Operations, Johns Hopkins University</td>
<td>Astronomy, Astrophysics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| ASSOCIATE MEMBERS             |                                           |                                    |
| Dr. J. Allen Hynek            | Ohio State University                     | Astronomy                          |
| Mr. Frederick C. Durant       | Arthur D. Little, Inc.                    | Rockets, guided missiles           |

<p>| INTERVIEWERS                  |                                           |                                    |
| Brig. Gen. William M. Garland | Commanding General, ATIC                  | Scientific and technical intelligence |
| Dr. H. Marshall Chadwell      | Assistant Director, O/SI, GIA             | Scientific and technical intelligence |
| Mr. Ralph L. Clark            | Deputy Assistant Director, O/SI, GIA      | Scientific and technical intelligence |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
<th>FIELD OF CENTREY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Philip G. Strong</td>
<td>Chief, Operations Staff, O/SI, CIA</td>
<td>Scientific and technical intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Stephen N. Pozner</td>
<td>Acting Chief, Special Study Group, D/I USAF</td>
<td>Scientific and technical intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. J. Daisy Potter, Jr.</td>
<td>The Ethyl Corporation</td>
<td>Aero Eng.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt. R. S. Heubner, USN</td>
<td>USN Photo Interpretation Laboratory, Anacostia</td>
<td>Photo interpretation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Harry Hao</td>
<td>USN Photo Interpretation Laboratory, Anacostia</td>
<td>Photo interpretation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7 December 1967

Mr. Gary R. Lesley
Director, U. A. P. O.
71 E. A. S. Street, Northeast
Auburn, Washington 98002

Dear Mr. Lesley,

This will acknowledge your recent letter concerning unidentified flying objects.

Since the matters of concern to you are the responsibilities of the United States Air Force, I suggest that you contact them.

Sincerely,

Executive Assistant

Distribution:
0 - Address
1 - Director of Security w/basic
1 - ER
0 - EA/DCI
O/DCI/ [illegible] (7 December 1967)

S-1
26 November 1967

Vice Admiral William F. Raborn, Jr. (USN Ret.)
Director of the Central Intelligence Agency
Washington, D. C. 20505

Dear Mr. Raborn:

Our organization would like to know if the C.I.A. has any connection with the official investigation of unidentified flying objects. And, if so, we would like to know what the C.I.A. does concerning this investigation.

We would also like to know if the C.I.A. has available any copies of the Robertson Panel minutes. This Panel occurred in 1953 and was allegedly linked with the UFO matter. According to our information, the Panel concluded the unidentified flying objects are from outer space. Is this information correct?

Thank you for your time.

Yours sincerely,

[Signature]

Gary Lesley
Director
International Headquarters

67-5269
LUMINOUS OBJECT DETECTED FLOATING IN THE SKY AT MASJID. -- Tehran, Peyghan Irnuz, 13 Jul 65

Source correspondent from Masjid reports that at 9:30 p.m. a sudden luminous object was seen floating over Masjid. The course of its direction was from south-east moving toward north-west. It was clearly visible for a period of 4 minutes and 39 seconds. The local residents were reportedly apprehensive of a start of a missile attack over Masjid.

STUDENTS STUDYING IN IRAQ SPENDING VACATION AT HOME -- Tehran, Peyghan Irnuz, 30 Jul 65

Recently, 72 Iranian students who study in Iraq have arrived to spend their vacation in Iran. All students are reported boarding at the Danesh Saray-i-All (Teachers College) in Tehran.

AN IRAQI KURD ATTEMPTS TO ASSASSINATE MILITARY OFFICER -- Tehran, Keyhan, 30 Jul 65

An Iraqi Kurd identified as Jeryu was apprehended by the gendarmerie forces stationed on the Iraq-Iran border line when he attempted to assassinate Major Khatami stationed at the local gendarmerie post. During the questioning Jeryu confessed to have aided smugglers moving across the Iraqi borderline.
ISRAEL

SIGNALL CORPS OFFICERS COMPLETE TRAINING COURSE -- Tel Aviv, Ramahaneh, 3 Aug 65

A ceremony marking the completion of another signal officers course was held recently at the Signal School. Brig Gen Matityahu Peled, Chief of the Quartermaster Branch (Ag) addressed the graduating officers. Second Lieutenant Gavriel Sondheimer was the outstanding student of this class and Lt Ya'el Bakenrot, the first female student accepted for a signal officers course, was a model student.

LARGEST CLASS COMPLETES TRAINING AT MILITARY ACADEMY -- Tel Aviv, Ramahaneh, 3 Aug 65

A ceremony marking the completion of another class was held at the Military Boarding School (annexed to the Reali School in Haifa). This is the 11th class and largest held so far. The graduates were addressed by Maj Gen Yitzhak Rabin, Chief of Staff of the IDF; Col Shelomo Amor, Chief of the Training Command; Dr Nehir of the Reali School; Attorney Ya'akov Solomon, Chairman of the Supervisory Board of the Boarding School; and Lt Col. Eliyahu Oren, Commander of the Boarding School. Dan Peash was the outstanding student of the class. In answer to questions, Colonel Oren disclosed that during this year the largest class of students completed their training (90 from 41 trainees). During this year more than 900 boys applied for enrollment in 2 military boarding schools.

ACTIVITIES OF ENGINEERING CORPS -- Tel Aviv, Ramahaneh, 3 Aug 65

The Tel Aviv Municipality has started the laying of the foundations for a bridge, which will be built over the Nahal Milon (Wadi Musara) by the Engineering Corps. The new bridge will connect the Petah Tiqva highway with the Gitai Yisrael Street in Tel Aviv. The bridge, which will be built at the end of August, will be little bit shorter than the bridge built by the corps over the Yarkon River several weeks ago. The new bridge is 36 meters long, 3.5 meters wide, and 4.5 meters high. The concentration of materials of the engineering company, which erected the bridge, took 3-4 days and the building itself only 1½ days.

The Engineering Corps has completed the paving of a patrol road along the Jordanian border.

(cont'd)
harvesting and handling was excessively laborious; and, most important, the farmers complained that the rape yields no feed and because of its uncertain yields it is a highly risky crop producing small returns.

This year the yields are very good. The two-phase harvesting-operations have virtually eliminated manual labor; the drying is being handled by the purchasing enterprises. The purchase price was raised by 50 crowns per quintal. Further, 40 kilograms of feed is being allotted for delivery of each quintal of rape. Assuming an 18-quintal-per-hectare yield, which is not at all uncommon on well-tended fields, the producing enterprise can earn a good price and 7 quintals of feed grain.

Considering the soil and climatic conditions, per-hectare yields are not fully satisfactory even now. Neglect in earlier years resulted in 60-70 percent of the sown beets to be plowed under in 1962 and 1963 in West Slovakia, South Moravia, North Bohemia, and Central Bohemia kraj.

According to Engr Korda of the Department of Crop Production of the Ministry of Agriculture, the situation in the production of winter rape is changing. Despite the decrease in recent years, the over-all area planned for sowing of rape (about 50,000 hectares) is not to be changed because the more favorable purchase prices and the exchange of feed grains for delivered rape are increasing interest in the crop. Rape production is shifting from the best-growing areas to the potato-growing areas of Czechoslovakia. Conditions in the latter areas are more favorable to rape, particularly because the snow cover reduces the chance of freezing of the crop, Korda stated. (EEDPR, 7 Sep 65)

TEXTILE PLANT EXPANDING CAPACITY -- Prague, Noviny Zahraničního Obchodu, 18 Aug 65, p 4

The "Textilana" Plant in Liberec annually produces 2 million meters of fabrics. However, this amount is not sufficient to satisfy foreign demands. Therefore, it is currently being modernized with old machines being replaced by more modern machines which will increase annual production of 5 million meters of fabrics. Ghana is currently the largest buyer of these fabrics whereas in Belgium "Textilana" is rapidly gaining first place among foreign importers. (EEDPR, 7 Sep 65)
harvesting and handling was excessively laborious; and, most important, the farmers complained that the rape yields no feed and because of its uncertain yields it is a highly risky crop producing small returns.

This year the yields are very good. The two-phase harvesting operations have virtually eliminated manual labor; the drying is being handled by the purchasing enterprises. The purchase price was raised by 50 crowns per quintal. Further, 40 kilograms of feed is being allotted for delivery of each quintal of rape. Assuming an 18-quintal-per-hectare yield, which is not at all uncommon on well-tended fields, the producing enterprise can earn a good price and 7 quintals of feed grain.

Considering the soil and climatic conditions, per-hectare yields are not fully satisfactory even now. Neglect in earlier years resulted in 60-70 percent of the sown beets to be plowed under in 1962 and 1963 in West Slovakia, South Moravia, North Bohemia, and Central Bohemia kraje.

According to Engr Korda of the Department of Crop Production of the Ministry of Agriculture, the situation in the production of winter rape is changing. Despite the decrease in recent years, the over-all area planned for sowing of rape (about 50,000 hectares) is not to be changed because the more favorable purchase prices and the exchange of feed grains for delivered rape are increasing interest in the crop. Rape production is shifting from the best-growing areas to the potato-growing areas of Czechoslovakia. Conditions in the latter areas are more favorable to rape, particularly because the snow cover reduces the chance of freezing of the crop, Korda stated. (TEDPR, 7 Sep 65)

TEXILE PLANT EXPANDING CAPACITY -- Prague, Noviny Zahraničního Obchodu, 13 Aug 65, p 4

The "Textilana" Plant in Liberec annually produces 2 million meters of fabrics. However, this amount is not sufficient to satisfy foreign demands. Therefore, it is currently being modernized with old machines being replaced by more modern machines which will increase annual production of 5 million meters of fabrics. Ghana is currently the largest buyer of these fabrics whereas in Belgium "Textilana" is rapidly gaining first place among foreign importers. (TEDPR, 7 Sep 65)
FLOOD AID CRITICIZED

The 27 August 1965 issue of Bratislava Pravda carries the Ministry of Construction's rebuttal to criticism that it is mismanaging the publicly subscribed flood disaster aid. Criticism is being levied by the Slovak-Danube River flood victims who are becoming disenchanted with daily press and radio broadcasts accounts of accumulated donations and who have yet to see tangible proof in the form of bricks, cement, and various prefabricates.

S. Malik, secretary of the flood commission and the man who keeps the Ministry of Construction informed on disbursements, gave the following explanation: According to plans the flood victims will receive, among other things, a total of 10 million bricks by the end of August and an additional 10 million by the end of September. Between 17 July and 22 August a number of brick kilns have contributed the allotted quota. These were delivered to certain disaster areas in overabundance whereas other areas received none. Responsibility for delivery and distribution does not lie with the Ministry of Construction but with the railroad and business concern.

It has been shown that sufficient amounts of cement have been delivered to the flood areas but because of unsatisfactory storage the cement is hardening. By 19 August the Ministry of Construction issued 870,000 slate shingles, 108,000 pieces of asbestos cement roofing, 36,000 meters of square tiles, 6,933 meters of prefabricates. The Ministry of Construction has been conscientiously fulfilling its tasks. However, it is sending these items where it is told to send by the organs that control the rehabilitation of the devastated areas. (EEPRA, 7 Sep 65)

* FLYING SAUCER REPORT

[Note: For several weeks, the Hungarian language daily Uj Szó, published in Bratislava, has given extensive, frequently front page, coverage to flying saucer reports, usually from South America but sometimes from other areas, attributing them to the French news service AFP, Reuters, etc. Occasionally, these articles included explanations by Czechoslovak experts who discounted the stories and offered alternatives to the extraterrestrial hypotheses. The 20 August 1965 issue of Uj Szó published a front page CTX (Czechoslovak Press Office) dispatch from San Salvador reporting a photograph of a flying saucer published in Frensa Grafica, San Salvador. The following is a full translation of a page 2 CTX story in the same issue of Uj Szó.]

(Cont'd)
Jaroslav Kalista, an employee of the Pizen branch of the State Bank, has reported to the CKK that on 14 August, shortly after 2100 hours, in Strakonice Okres of the South Bohemian kraj, between the villages of Kasejovice and Inare, he and Jiri Cadek, a resident of Inare, saw four light discs in the sky. The four discs were all the same distance from one another and formed a slant line above the earth. It appeared that they were standing in one place. According to his report, several more discs appeared after about 5 minutes. The discs broke the regular formation and gradually disappeared. Both phenomena lasted only a few seconds.

Dr. Vladimir Guth, director of the Ondrejov observatory and a corresponding member of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, reported in connection with Kalista’s observation: “Recent press reports have prepared people to watch the sky more. Naturally we cannot classify every curious sight as extraterrestrial. I do not believe in flying saucers. There could be several explanations of the phenomenon. The destruction artificial satellites and carrier rockets causes similar phenomena. I was witness to the destruction of a Cosmos artificial satellite. It suddenly lit up and exploded into small glowing pieces. We were not the first to witness such a phenomenon. Artificial satellites regularly break up into 5 or 6 pieces.

"From the meteorological circumstances and astronomical data for that day we can conclude that the 'something' observed was a solid body which was 25 kilometers above the earth. If a known artificial satellite was destroyed we will know it later. It is probable, however, that it involved rocket signals customary in military maneuvers. The possibility is not ruled out that secret experiments are being conducted in the atmosphere the organizers of which want to distract attention from themselves by spreading news about flying saucers." (EEDPR, 7 Sep 65)

DETECTION OF SENSITIVITY TO RADIATION

Prague Mlada Fronta of 24 August 1965 carries an article by Dr. Milan Pospisil, Candidate of Sciences, of the Institute of Biophysics of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences in Brno. After dealing with contemporary scientific research and results in radiobiology, Pospisil states that his institute has proven that by following the rate of metabolism it is possible to predict sensitivity of individuals to radiation. The reasons for such reactions are unclear, although one might speculate about the higher production of toxic products by individuals with a higher metabolism. Nevertheless, this knowledge has been utilized for a purposeful influencing of the sensitivity of live tissue, he says.
It is known that thyroxin, a hormone of the thyroid gland, increases metabolism and also increases the sensitivity of organisms and tissue toward radiation. On the other hand, his laboratory has proved that resistance to radiation will tend to decrease the activity of the thyroid gland, Pospisil continues. Endeavors to utilize an artificial decrease of metabolism through the extreme cooling of organisms have great prospects, he says.

With regard to further work, Pospisil states that his institute has worked out a test which will make it possible to predict an individual's reaction to radiation on the basis of the indirect indexes of the activity of his suprarenal gland, and thus to identify resistant and sensitive individuals. (EEDFR, 7 Sep 65)

NEW PUBLIC OBSERVATORY -- Prague, Zemedelske Noviny, 19 Aug 65, p 4

On 18 August, the cupola of the new public observatory in Jihlava was installed. The observatory is located atop the three-story Hall of Culture of the Revolutionary Trade Union Movement. A helicopter was employed in the installation of the sections of the 5.5-ton cupola. (EEDFR, 7 Sep 65)

TECHNICAL CONFERENCE -- Prague, Stavebnicky Casopis, No 7, [Aug] 65, p 454

The Fourth Seminar on Photoelasticity will be held on 17-18 May 1966 at the Gottwaldov work center of the Advanced Technical School in Brno, which is sponsoring the session. The session will deal with instruments and equipment for photoelasticity laboratories. Engr Miroslav Perla, Candidate of Sciences, of the Mining Institute of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences in Prague, is chairman of the session's preparatory committee. Further information may be obtained from Engr Jan Cernousek of the Advanced Technical School in Brno, Zalesna 3396.

The Third Seminar on Photoelasticity was held in Turcianske Teplice on 17-18 May 1965. (EEDFR, 7 Sep 65)
The author then presents some additional details to illustrate her statements and makes the point that young people today "are obviously not studying for the sake of the financial benefits involved. However, a lot more of them will be attending advanced schools once the anticipated financial rewards will be greater. Capitalism does not have a monopoly on people who like money. While I cannot categorically state that each of the 100,000 students enrolled at our schools is thinking of money alone, I do have the statements of the mothers of our two earlier subjects (the student and the construction helper who are of equal age). According to them, the student is at present working off a brigade with the Prague Municipal Transportation system as a streetcar ticket puncher, whereas the construction helper is about to get married and to spend his honeymoon in Yugoslavia."

(EEDPR, 15 Sep 65)

MILITARY ACADEMY GRADUATES RECEIVED BY PRESIDENT

According to the 5 September 1965 issue of Rude Pravo, Czechoslovak Defense Minister Armedni General Bohumir LOMSKY delivered a report to President Novotny at Prague Castle where the President received graduates of various military academies. In a short speech LOMSKY emphasized that two-thirds of the soldiers had graduated with honors, that one-half of them are engineers which demonstrates the importance the army is placing on technology, and that currently every fourth regular army officer is a college graduate. In conclusion, LOMSKY thanked the Party and the government for their "universal zeal which is being dedicated to arming and fortifying the combat capability of our country". He assured his listeners that together with the Soviet and other fraternal armies of the Joint Warsaw Pact armed forces, the Czechoslovak army is always ready to fulfill its patriotic and international commitment in defending socialism and peace.

On behalf of the officers, Podplukovnik Engr K. LIEBL expressed appreciation for Party and government help in expanding the curriculum in military advanced schools. "The new knowledge, intelligence and experiences will be used by the new commanders in fulfilling their daily tasks, in strengthening combat capability of our army."

The graduates came from the A. Zapotocky Military Academy, the Klement Gottwald Military Academy, the J. E. Purkyne Military Medical Research and Postgraduate Institute, the Military Faculty of the Advanced School for Transportation and from foreign advanced schools.

Also attending the ceremony were KSC (Communist Party of Czechoslovakia) Central Committee Presidium members J. Dolanský, A. Dubček, J. Hendlých, M. Chudik, D. Holír, B. Lastovicka, J. Lenart and

(cont'd)
O. Simmek, Central Committee Presidium candidate A. Kapek, party secretaries V. Koudy, F. Penc and L. Strougal. Others in attendance included government officials, the National Front chairman DR J. Kysely, DR A. Neuman and DR J. Flochfar, general officers and others.

LENINS report was followed by a lengthy address by President Novotny in which he stressed responsibilities placed on the officer corps, denounced the foreign policy and military strategy of the United States and proudly proclaimed that “Czechoslovak socialist society is doing everything possible to ensure that its armed forces keep abreast of the demands of contemporary military science and to make its army a thoroughly modern force.” (REDPR, 15 Sep 65).

FLYING SAUCERS OVER SLOVAKIA — Bratislava, Pravda, 4 Sep 65, p 8

Flying saucers, traveling from south to north, were observed over Kosice at 1800 hours on 3 September. According to Major Boris DOMANSKI, who was strolling down Komensky Street with his wife, he saw a large crowd scanning the sky, he looked up and saw a red, circular object flying from the direction of the East Slovak Ironworks towards Bankov. Simultaneously he observed a black colored object rotating in place at a height of about 3,000 meters and about 7,000 meters from Kosice. When the red circular object passed the rotating object, the latter moved and disappeared.

The newspaper office has been flooded with calls from citizens who witnessed the phenomenon. According to information from the State Airline Administration, the Kosice radar station recorded no objects in the air at that particular time because they monitor only the flight routes of incoming and outgoing airplanes. (REDPR, 15 Sep 65)

MILITARY MEDICAL CONFERENCE — Prague, Vojenske Zdravotnichne Listy, No 4, Aug 65, p 145

A working conference on acupuncture will be held on 29-30 October 1965 at the Slovak National Uprising Military Hospital in Ruzomberok. The session is designed to facilitate the exchange of experiences and refine the further development of acupuncture under Czechoslovak conditions. The program will include theoretical reports, contributions on the mechanism of the effects of acupuncture, and reports of a clinical nature.

Applications to attend and information on proposed presentations (exact title, length and required aids) are to be submitted to Richard Urban, Slovak National Uprising Military Hospital, Ruzomberok. (REDPR, 15 Sep 65)
PUNITIVE STEPS AGAINST EXTREMIST ASKED

Buenos Aires, 5 July—While rumors of a coup are increasing, the government, in a McCarthy-like campaign launched in recent weeks by several reactionary groups, has just taken the first official step toward suppressing the leftist forces by means of a resolution which lump together the fascist organizations and the Communist Youth Federation. Interior Minister Juan Painero issued a resolution which demands that the Justice Ministry take punitive measures against the members and supporters of the Tacuara nationalist movement, its Nationalist Students Union branch, the Nationalist Restoration Guard, and the Communist Youth Federation (KJC).

According to a special report issued by the federal police upon the request of the ministry, the resolution is based upon the charge that the organizations are acting as "veritable lawless associations" in "carrying out violent actions." It is also planned to instruct the federal police to give the utmost cooperation to the Justice Department in order to investigate the facts and, when necessary, to arrest the perpetrators.

MEEPPACKING INDUSTRY CRISIS—Buenos Aires—Two of the largest meatpacking plants in Argentina, Swift and Armour, have reduced their operations, claiming that the Argentine currency they receive for their imports does not cover the costs of meat prices in the domestic market. Numerous workers have been fired as a result of this reduction in operations, thus compounding the meatpacking crisis. (Buenos Aires ANSA Spanish 0126 GMT 6 July 1965—P.) Sources close to the Argentine economic cabinet have reported that the restriction of meat consumption will ban the handling and consumption of meat on Fridays and Saturdays instead of Mondays and Tuesdays. Exports will be stepped up, exempting steers for export from taxes. The economy minister has received a committee representing the 8,000 meatpacking industry workers affected by a decline in operations, assuring them that the government is considering measures to reactivate those industries to promote exports of meat and its products. (Buenos Aires ANSA Spanish 1556 GMT 6 July 1965—P.)

RELATIONS WITH ALGERIA—The Argentine Foreign Ministry has unofficially announced that Argentina will continue to have diplomatic relations with Algeria. An official communique will be issued in a few days. (Buenos Aires ANSA Spanish 1556 GMT 6 July 1965—P.)

ANTARCTIC FLYING SAUCERS—A group of red, green, and yellow flying saucers has been flying over Deception Island for two hours by Argentine, Chilean, and British bases in Antarctica. The flying saucers were also seen flying in formation over the South Orkney islands in quick circles. (Buenos Aires ANSA Spanish 1556 GMT 6 July 1965—P.)

CRUDE OIL IMPORTS—It has been learned that the Argentine TPI has announced that bids from private companies will be received for the purchase of 1 million cubic meters of crude oil. (Lima AFP Spanish 1815 GMT 6 July 1965—P.)
COMMINISTS APPEAL FOR POPULAR FRONT

HAVANA PRESS LANTIA in Spanish to Latin America 0341 GMT 25 May 1962—E

(Text) Buenos Aires—The Argentine Communist Party released a declaration in which it appeals to trade unions and several parties to unite to form a strong national popular front in order to prevent the consolidation of the dictatorship. The declaration says that the present regime has definitively been unmasked "in the face of world opinion as well as the (country's)," and that the civil-military dictatorship of a fascist type, imposed on the country by the reactionary groups of the armed forces, serves the interests of the monopolies, especially the Yankees, and the landholding oligarchy.

The document says that only the mobilization and action of the masses can foil the consolidation of this dictatorship.

---

UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS--Buenos Aires--The appearance of unidentified flying objects over the city of Bahia Blanca, south of Buenos Aires, is causing the most varied comment among the people there. The most extraordinary occurrence was on 21 May when many people observed a strange luminous body suspended for several minutes over the city, and then saw it disappear quickly. A local photographer was able to take two pictures of the object, which looks like a luminous oval on the print. The Cordoba Astronomical Observatory is compiling data about this phenomenon which has been observed in other regions of Argentina, although not as clearly as in Bahia Blanca. The observatory has asked the public to submit their observations in order to determine whether the phenomenon was a cluster of meteorites, part of an artificial satellite, or due to other causes. (Lima AFP Spanish Latin America 0554 GMT 25 May 1962--E) A luminous object which was crossing the heavens above Bahia Blanca was photographed by a reporter of the local newspaper NUEVA PROVINCIA, according to that newspaper. The reporter was walking along the street when he first noticed the object. Seeing that it was not leaving the city, he drove to the Malacca Canal, and there took several photographs, one the very instant the mysterious body stopped and changed its course. This was when the object was closest to earth. (Lima AFP Spanish Latin America 1525 GMT 24 May 1962--F)
6 February 1953

Bureau Chiefs
Chief, FBI

Unidentified Flying Objects

The following summary is being disseminated to the field as a
matter of general information and interest:

1. The following conclusions are presented by a panel
of Scientific Consultants called upon to evaluate any possible
threat to the national security posed by Unidentified Flying
Objects (Flying Saucers):

a. Evidence presented on Unidentified Flying Objects
shows no indication that they constitute a direct physi-
cal threat to the national security, nor is there evidence
that the phenomena indicate a need for the revision of
current scientific concepts.

b. Continued emphasis on the reporting of this pheno-
mena does constitute a threat to the orderly functioning
of the protective organs of the nation. Examples: chan-
nels of communication are clogged by irrelevant reports;
continued fakes show lead to the ignoring of real indi-
cation of hostile actions; creation of a morbid nation-
al psychology in which skillful hostile propaganda could
incite hysterical behavior and harmful distrust of duly
constituted authority.

2. To minimize the concomitant dangers above and to
strengthen national security so that true indications of
hostile actions may be recognized and appropriately handled
the Panel recommends:

a. That the national security agencies take imme-
mediate steps to strip the Objects of the special status they
have been given and the aura of mystery they have acquired.

b. That the national security agencies institute
policies on intelligence, training, and public education
designed to prepare the material defenses and the morale
of the country to recognize promptly and react effectively
to true indications of hostile intent or action.

c. That an integrated program be designed to reassure
the public of the total lack of evidence of hostile forces
behind the phenomena, to train personnel to recognize and
reject false indications quickly and effectively, and
to strengthen regular channels for the evaluation of and
prompt reaction to true indications of hostile measures.

ALAN H. WARFIELD
Data from CBS and from UBI on Zigel, F. Yu., Dr. of Technical Sciences, writes under auspices of Moscow Aviation Institute, Associate Professor there as of 1969.

"Nuclear Explosion over the Taiga (Study of the Tunguska Meteorite), Znaniye-Sila, No 12, 1961, pp 24--27
JPRS: 13480

"On the Agenda -- Space!" Nacedya, 17-23 Apr 1960, p 14
IPC
OTS. PB 131632-121 -- NTIS/Dept of Commerce

ICY 35 -- NTIS/Dept of Commerce PB-151632-35

"Life in a Meteorite," Ogonek, No 47, Nov 1962, pp 24--29
JPRS 17326

"Space Housing," Iskusstvennyy Sputnik Zemli, Moscow, 1966, pp 53--76
CIA 1175829 (also AP)

"The Minor Planets," 101 p, Moscow, 1969
NASA TT F 700-72

"A Dialog on Mars," Znaniye-Sila, No 3, Mar 1965, pp 44--47
JPRS 31118
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PROGNOZ-6 AUTOMATIC SPACE STATION LAUNCHED

LD221216Y Moscow TASS International Service in Russian 1240 GMT 22 Sep 77 LD

[Text] Moscow, 22 September, TASS—The automatic station "Prognoz-6" was launched in the Soviet Union today at 0551 Moscow time in accordance with the space research program. It is intended to continue the research begun in 1972 by the "Prognoz" automatic observatory.

Like the previous five stations in the series, "Prognoz-6" will conduct research into the corpuscular and electromagnetic radiation of the sun, solar plasma flows and magnetic fields in the space surrounding the earth with the aim of determining the influence of solar activity on the interplanetary medium and on the earth's magnetosphere, and also research into galactic ultraviolet rays, X-rays and gamma rays. Scientific apparatus built in the Soviet Union, the CSSR and France has been installed on board the station to conduct this research, in accordance with the program of international cooperation in the field of space research. The station weighs 710 kilograms.

The "Prognoz-6" station has been put into a highly elliptical orbit as an earth satellite, with the following parameters: maximum distance from the earth's surface 197,900 km, minimum 498 km, period of rotation round the earth 94 hours 48 minutes, inclination of orbit 65 degrees.

The station was put into its designed trajectory from an intermediate earth satellite orbit.

Apart from scientific apparatus, the station also has on board: a radio transmitter operating on frequency 958.4 megahertz, a radio system for precision measuring of orbit elements and a radiotelemetry system for transmitting data on the operation of the instruments and scientific apparatus to earth.

According to telemetry information, the systems and apparatus on board are operating normally. The coordinating and computing center and institute of the USSR Academy of Sciences are processing the incoming information.

UNUSUAL NATURAL PHENOMENON OBSERVED IN KARELIA

LD221326Y Moscow TASS International Service in Russian 1210 GMT 22 Sep 77 LD

[Text] Petrozavodsk, 22 September, TASS—The inhabitants of Petrozavodsk, capital of the Soviet Republic of Karelia, have witnessed an unusual natural phenomenon. On 20 September, at about 0400 a huge star suddenly flared up in the dark sky, impulsively sending shafts of light to the earth. This star moved slowly toward Petrozavodsk and, spreading out over it in the form of a nebesa, hung there, showering the city with a multitude of very fine rays which created an image of pouring rain.

After some time the luminescent rays ceased. The "nebesa" turned into a bright semicircle and resumed its movement in the direction of Lake Onega, the horizon of which was shrouded in gray cloud. A semicircular pool of bright light, red in the middle and white at the sides, then formed in this shroud. This phenomenon, according to the testimony of eyewitnesses, lasted 10-15 minutes.

T-8
The director of the Petrozavodsk hydrometeorological observatory, Yu. Gronov, told a TASS correspondent that the staff of the Karelian meteorological service have not previously observed analogies of this kind in nature. What caused this phenomenon and its nature remains a riddle, because the weather observation posts did not record any severe deviations in the atmosphere, either in the previous 24 hours or in the time period prior to it.

We also know, stressed Yu. Gronov, that no technical experiments were being carried out at the time. However, although it left behind no material evidence, it is also impossible to describe this rare phenomenon as a mirage, as there were many eyewitnesses who observed it from various parts of the city and whose evidence is identical on many points.

SOVIET WOMEN SET WORLD FLIGHT RECORD IN IL-62

[Text] Moscow, September 22, TASS--Two world records were set today by the flagship of Aeroflot, the IL-62 jet passenger plane. According to preliminary data, both ground-based instruments and instruments on board the plane recorded that the IL-62, piloted by a crew of six women, had set a world distance record along a closed route--10,288 kilometres. The second world record--average speed along a closed route of 10,000 kilometres, now amounts to 804 kilometres per hour. The plane landed at Moscow's Sheremetyevo airport at 15 hours 22 minutes today.
7 February 1967

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director for Intelligence

SUBJECT: U. S. Air Force Contract with the University of Colorado to Report on the UFO Situation

1. As verbally reported to you during our morning staff meetings, the U. S. Air Force contract with the University of Colorado to investigate the UFO situation is now underway. This contract is set at $250,000 and is scheduled for completion in 12 months. Dr. E. U. Condon, formerly director of U. S. Bureau of Standards and now a Professor, leads the project at the University of Colorado.

2. Early in the proceedings Brig. General W. C. Miller, USAF, asked in the U. S. Air Force Deputy Chief of Staff, Research & Development Office (ARST) along with Dr. Thomas Hatchford as the Washington Insiders monitoring the project. Miller contacted Lomax about the project and a line of informal liaison was set up with Lomax by which the USAF might get certain technical advice and services such as measurements and enhancements of alleged UFO photos. These photos are rare and very little work and no comment of UFOs are yet involved. These informal arrangements were made known to ARST representatives and Lomax offered to keep them posted of any Air Force photos which might be of interest.

3. It is now requested by Hatchford that certain scientists be permitted to come to ARST on 20 February to discuss technical aspects of photograhmetry and to see some of our special optical gear which is used to measure, enlarge, or trace insensitometry of photos. These scientists include:

   Dr. N. U. Gordon - University Colorado
   Dr. Robert Luce
   Dr. Arch Spielman
   Dr. William Price - Ex Director of ARST
   Dr. John Colson - Ex Director of the National Academy of Sciences

These personnel are all cleared for at least USAF Secret.
SUBJECT: U. S. Air Force Contract with the University of Colorado to Report on the UFO Situation

4. I can accommodate this USAF request but before doing so I would like your approval. I have told USAF representatives that I can have no part in writing whatever they might conclude on this UFO phenomena but that I might be able to help them technically and thereby add to the government's cost effectiveness program. At the same time I might be able to preserve a CIA window on this program for whatever use USAF might want to make of it.

5. I think that we should keep some view on this effort. Should you decide that I must refuse the visitors a technical briefing at NPIC, I would still recommend that two of our technical people be permitted to conduct a photogrammetric round-table discussion for the scientists elsewhere.

ARTHUR C. LANSING
Director
National Photographic Interpretation Center

Distribution:
Orig & 1 - Addressee
2 - NPIC/ODir
MEMORANDUM FOR: Director, National Photographic Interpretation Center

SUBJECT: Photo Analysis of UFO Photography

1. This memorandum is in response to Project Number 66120-7, submitted by requesting that perform a photo analysis of photographs imaging an alleged UFO (i.e. unidentified flying object).

2. The photography for this project was supplied by the Aerial Phenomena Office of PTD (TIDE/UFO), located at Wright-Patterson AFB, Dayton, Ohio. The photographic package included three photo enlargements of the UFO (attachments 1, 2 and 3) and one photo enlargement of a helicopter (attachment 4). The latter was supposedly taken at approximately the same time and from approximately the same camera station as were the UFO photographs. The image quality of these four prints were less than optimum and were considered poor for mensural and photo analysis. These four enlarged photographs were copies reproduced from a second generation negative and attachments 1 and 2 were supposedly printed full format with an approximate 4"x6" image format. Attachments 3 and 4 are assumed not to be full format and were not used in this photo analysis because of this factor. The original photography was taken with a Polaroid Swinger having an approximate 2"x3" image format. These original prints were not available for the photo analysis. This latter single factor greatly hampered the analysis and prevented any hopes of establishing meaningful answers.

3. Also included in the photographic package were five photographs of the alleged exposure station and surrounding vicinity. These photographs (attachment 5) were taken with a Polaroid Swinger by Major R.W. Nyls of the USAF. He personally investigated the UFO exposure station on the shore of Lake St. Clair, Michigan, and tried to duplicate as closely as possible the exact position of the original camera exposure stations. Major Nyls also provided exact measurements of the area and objects imaged in the original UFO
SUBJECT: Photo Analysis of UFO Photography

photographs (attachments 6, 7 and 8). These photographs along
with the measurement sketches and investigation report provided
a means of obtaining an approximate photographic scale. This
scale value was then used to obtain approximate dimensions of the
UFO. However, to do this the photo analyst had to first make
major assumptions. These assumptions were necessary in a photo
analysis of this type where insufficient data is available or in
doubt. If any of these assumptions are in error the obtained
dimensions are likewise in error.

4. The assumptions used in this photo analysis are as follows:

   a. UFO was at a distance of 0.25 miles from camera
      station when photographed (this information supplied by
      Major Nyls in his investigation report).

   b. The measurements supplied by Major Nyls are
correct as stated.

   c. Photographs shown in attachment 1 and 2 are full
format.

   d. UFO photographed was circular with plane of tail
section perpendicular to camera axis.

   e. The distance between the camera station and the
object was large enough so that adjustments to the camera
focal length need not be considered.

5. Attachment 9 represents an artist's rough conception of
the UFO along with the averaged dimension obtained from the mensural
analysis of the photograph shown in attachments 1 and 2. Again,
the user of this information must be cautioned that the dimensions
shown here are only approximations based on assumptions. The
quality of the photography, the crude estimation of the distance
from the camera station to the object, the lack of original prints
and precise camera data all tend to invalidate the answers. A
good example of how the dimensions could change is illustrated by
any change in the distance of the object from the camera station.
The dimensions will change in direct proportion as the ratio of any
new distance divided by the 0.25 mile distance that was used, i.e.
0.20 mile : 0.25 mile = 0.80; therefore, causing the new values to
be 80% of the original values.
6. In conclusion, it should be noted that all of the information contained in this memorandum deals with quantitative or dimensional information obtained from calculations based upon a large number of assumptions. The qualitative or subjective analysis of the imagery is not treated because of a lack of background knowledge on UFO imagery. This office cannot shed any light on the authenticity of this alleged UFO from this photo analysis. There is no definite evidence that this photography is a hoax. On the other hand, for one to assume that this object is a UFO is equally as dangerous. There are too many unanswered questions to label the probable cause of this sighting as anything but undeterminable. For example the degraded image quality of the helicopter when compared with the UFO is suspect when considered that the helicopter was closer to camera station when photographed. Likewise, the crispness of the edge gradient of the black band on the UFO is good considering the distance at which the object was photographed. Also, the fact that the tail section of the UFO was photographed in each case with the same cross section exposed casts some suspicion on the authenticity of the UFO. However, each of the above facts can be explained by various reasons and because of these reasons the photo analysis of this UFO photograph has resulted in inconclusive answers.
21 February 1967

MEMORANDUM FOR:

SUBJECT: Answer to Request of Secret Letter

l. Analysis of the UFO image on the provided photography was performed to the limited extent permitted by the copy prints. It must be remembered that the conclusions presented are based on the evaluation of a copy rather than the original. The copying process yields not only the grain and focus characteristics of the original image, but also any distortion the recovery of resolution even when the exact enlargement parameters are known. In this case, these parameters are unknown.

2. The following was assumed for the basis of this analysis.

   a. The total enlargement factor of prints one and three in the same.

   b. The total enlargement factor of prints two and five in the same.

   c. The total enlargement factor of prints one and three is approximately ten times that of prints two and five.

3. Findings:

   a. The pattern of apparent grain clumping is similarly on prints one and three and on prints two and five.

   b. The edges of the UFO images appear more sharply defined in print one then do those of the helicopter image in print three.
SUBJECT: Answer to Request of Second Letter
dated 30 January 1967

(2) Stereoscopic analysis of the UFO and its
relationship with the surrounding branches, pole,
and camera station.

(3) Dynamic analysis of the relative movement
of the system including camera station and UFO.

4. Conclusion:

If no definite data is available on whether the
image is genuine or a fake, it is not possible to
answer this question conclusively. Any
impressions on the negative may be
interpreted to support either a genuine or a
genuine imitation, depending on the
interpretation of the negative.
Subject: Answer to Request of Board Letter dated 30 January 1967

(2) Stereoscopic analysis of the UFO and its relationship with the surrounding terrain, pole, and camera station.

(3) Dynamic analysis of the relative movement of the system including camera station and UFO.

4. Conclusion:

Hypothesis: To decide the authenticity of the image as being a UFO is not possible from the furnished prints. It is possible that an analysis of the original photograph would reveal details which would enable a definite conclusion. Associated facts such as the time and place to discuss or show the original photograph, the convenient location of the pole from which the photograph was taken, and the difference in scale of the UFO image between prints two and five which is not easily explained with a model tend to substantiate the model theory. No definite conclusion as to whether the object is either a model or a genuine UFO can be offered at this time.
MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Visit of Dr. Condon to NPIC, 20 February 1967

1. The U.S. Air Force has let a $300,000 contract to the University of Colorado to study reported UFO sightings. Brig. Gen. Edward B. Gillers, USAF, is senior Air Force contact and Dr. Thomas Rachford is senior Air Force Scientist on the project. The senior scientist from the University of Colorado is Dr. E.U. Condon.

2. On 20 February 1967 at 0915 Dr. Condon and four members of his investigative team visited NPIC. With Dr. Condon were Dr. Richard Love, University of Colorado, Dr. David Saunders, University of Colorado, Dr. William Price, Executive Director of AFRST, and Dr. Rachford, USAF. The purpose of this visit was to familiarize Dr. Condon and members of his team with selected photogrammetric and photographic analysis capabilities of NPIC.

3. The clearance level for the meeting was SECRET.

4. Upon arrival at NPIC, 0915, escorted the group to Mr. Lundahl's office. In the ensuing 10-15 minute discussion between Mr. Lundahl and Dr. Condon the following points were clearly established:

a. Any work performed by NPIC to assist Dr. Condon in his investigation will not be identified as work accomplished by CIA. Dr. Condon was advised by Mr. Lundahl to make no reference to CIA in regard to this work effort. Dr. Condon stated that if he felt it necessary to obtain an official CIA comment he would make a separate distinct entry into CIA not related to contacts he has with NPIC.

b. NPIC will not prepare any written comments, will not analyze information with the intent of drawing a conclusion, nor prepare written reports. NPIC personnel
SUBJECT: Visit of Dr. Condon to NPIC, 20 February 1967

will be available to assist Dr. Condon by performing work of a photogrammetric nature, such as attempting to measure objects imaged on photographs that may be part of Dr. Condon's analysis. Work performed by NPIC will be strictly of a technical nature using services and equipment generally not available elsewhere.

5. Following this brief discussion in Mr. Lundahl's office the group adjourned to the conference room where a series of briefings was presented to Dr. Condon and his group. Following a short introduction by the following briefings were presented:

   a. General discussion of photogrammetry, including definition, terminology and, in general, what photogrammetry is and what it can do. Presented this discussion.

   b. Followed with a presentation of the analysis he had been conducting on UFO photography furnished NPIC by Dr. Rachford. Discussion was of a general nature and outlined the problems he had encountered because of lack of basic information, such as camera focal length, make of camera, unspecified enlargements, etc.

   c. Then gave a general discussion on the microdensitometer and its application to image analysis.

   d. Followed this with a presentation on isodensitometer experiments he has been conducting.

   e. Then escorted the group into the new clean area where they viewed and discussed the isodensitometer and the new Mann Microdensitometer.

   f. Returning to the conference room, briefed the group on measuring instruments used in and followed by a general presentation on the success and failure had experienced in trying to measure objects imaged on the second UFO project assigned. Following this discussion then conducted the group into the instrument area where the Point Transfer Device, Mann 880 Comparator, the Benson-Lehner Plotter and the NRI were viewed by the group.
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6. At about 1215 escorted the group back to Mr. Lundahl's office where a general discussion on UFOs ensued. At about 1235 the group adjourned to lunch and following lunch they left NPIC for a meeting with Brig.Gen Gillers at the pentagon.

7. Most all the discussion during the morning was of an unclassified nature dealing with primary basic fundamentals of photogrammetry, photographic analysis and problems related to the acquiring of enough information to conduct meaningful analyses.

3. Dr. Condon and his associates of the UFO consultant group spent the morning of 20 February with the group was briefed on the subjects of photogrammetry and mensuration techniques, then conducted on a tour to observe various mensuration instruments in operation.
SUBJECT: Monthly Report for February 1967

6. The UFO Measurement Support project was closed with as thorough an evaluation as the photographic prints permitted. No definite conclusion as to the authenticity of the image could be issued.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TO</th>
<th>INITIALS</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>REMARKS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Act</td>
<td>4-11</td>
<td>The original 2 copies sent to Dr. Ratchford. 27 March 67. He will forward them on to Mr. Condon.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*2 This looks OK to me. Would you check and if you see any big blocks to tell Steve? Otherwise let me add Act.*
GUIDANCE TO UFO PHOTOGRAPHERS

1. Have camera set at infinity.

2. Fast film, such as Tri-X, is very good.

3. For moving objects shutter speeds not slower than one hundredth of a second should be used. Shutter and f-stop combination will depend upon lighting conditions; dusk, cloudy day, bright sunlight, etc. If your camera does not require such settings, just take pictures.

4. Do not move camera during exposure.

5. Take several pictures of the object; as many as you can. If you can, include some ground in the picture of the UFO.

6. If the object appears to be close to you, a few hundred feet or closer, try to change your location on the ground so that each picture, or few pictures are taken from a different place. A change in position of 40 or 60 feet is good. (This establishes what is known as a base line and is helpful in technical analysis of your photography.) If the object appears to be far away, a mile or so, remain about where you are and continue taking pictures. A small movement here will not help. However, if you can get in a car and drive 1/2 to a mile or so and take another series of pictures this will help.

7. After pictures of UFO have been taken, remain where you are; now, slowly turning 360° take overlapping, eye level, photography as you turn around. By this technique the surrounding countryside will be photographed. This photography is very valuable for the analysis of the UFO you have just photographed.

8. Your original negative is of value. Be sure it is processed with care.

9. If you can, have another negative made from the original.

10. Any reproductions you have made for technical study and analysis should be made from the original negative and should be printed to show all the picture including the border and even the sprocket holes, if your film has them.
UFO PHOTOGRAPHIC INFORMATION SHEET
(TO BE FILLED IN)

1. Information on camera.
   Name of camera:
   Camera Manufacturer:
   Lense number and other data printed around lense:
   For the camera "bug"; what was the focal length of the lense-camera combination you were using?

2. Date pictures were taken:

3. Time of day pictures were taken (to the nearest minute, if you can):

4. Direction you were facing at the time of photography (North, South, East, West, etc.):

5. Your location at time of photography (indicate location on map, such as road map, and show direction you were facing when pictures were taken):

6. The direction of each 360 degree ground orientation picture: (this can easily be shown on a map or sketch).

7. Sketch of details of area where you were standing when photography was taken; include such things as telephone poles, fence posts, buildings, etc.:

8. Where was the original negative processed and when:
BOULDER, Colo.--The University of Colorado today issued a call for pictures of Unidentified Flying Objects from private citizens--and it also announced a "recipe" for taking useful UFO photographs.

Robert J. Low, project coordinator for the Air Force-financed study of UFOs being made at the University, said CU scientists already have looked at dozens of UFO pictures "but they really tell us very little."

He noted that "photographs have the potential to get us much closer than we are now to a solution of the UFO enigma."

Low said Dr. Edward U. Condon, director of the study, and the other scientists want better, more detailed pictures. Original negatives or original Polaroid positives should be mailed to UFO Project, University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80302.

Low emphasized that the University will make a complete analysis of UFO photographs submitted to the research group, without cost. Negatives and other materials will be returned to the sender as soon as the analysis has been completed.

Low said the sender will retain complete ownership and publication rights to all pictures and--if he wishes--the identity of the sender will be held confidential.

"We need the kind of evidence that only photographs can give us," he said.
For amateurs and more professional camera bugs alike, here is Low's "recipe" for taking useful UFO pictures:

1. Set your camera at infinity.
2. Use a fast film, like Tri-X, if possible.
3. For moving objects, use a shutter speed at least as fast as one one-hundredth of a second. Hold camera motionless during exposure.
4. At night, use the largest f-stop you have. In the daytime, the f-stop will depend on lighting conditions, but try to use an exposure that will capture details of the object rather than the background.
5. Take as many pictures of the object as you can.
6. Include some ground in the pictures if possible, since this will establish crucial reference points for later analysis.
7. If the object appears to be within a few hundred feet, try to take pictures from different locations on the ground 40 to 60 feet apart. This will provide a base line and may make it possible to determine the size and distance of the object.
8. If the object appears to be as far away as a mile, establish a useful base line by driving a half mile or so away and taking another picture, if there is time. If not, take several pictures of the object from the same location.
9. After you have finished taking pictures of the UFO, make overlapping, eye-level photographs of the surrounding countryside by slowly turning through 360 degrees. This is very important for later analysis.

Low emphasized that original negatives or original Polaroid positive are far better for analysis. If you must send reproductions, however, he urged that they show all of the picture including the border and sprocket holes, if they show in the pictures taken by your camera.

(more)
In addition to the negatives or photographs, Low said the research group also will need the following information:

1. Name of the camera used, the manufacturer, lens number and other data printed around the lens. Focal length of the lens-camera combination, if known.

2. Date and time (to the nearest minute, if possible) the pictures were taken and the direction you are facing.

3. Direction of each 360-degree ground-orientation picture. (This can be done on a map or sketch.)

4. Your location at the time the picture was taken. (This can be shown on road or other map.)

5. Sketch of details of the area where you are standing, including such items as telephone poles, fence posts, buildings, etc.

6. Information on where and when the original film was processed.
MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: UFO Briefing for Dr. Edward Condon, 5 May 1967

1. At 0900 on 5 May 1967, presented a briefing covering his analysis of the Zanerville, Ohio, UFO photography. The briefing was given in the conference room, and in attendance were Dr. Edward Condon, University of Colorado; Dr. Robert Lowe, University of Colorado; Dr. William Hartman, University of Arizona; Dr. Charles Reed, National Research Council; Dr. Thomas Hutchford, U.S. Air Force, and the briefing was very well received by Dr. Condon and his colleagues, and they were most enthusiastic. They were impressed with the scope of the analysis conducted, which included ground survey techniques, established and new mathematical analysis, and photogrammetric techniques and densitometric traces. Dr. Condon stated he had for the first time a scientific analysis of a UFO that would stand up to investigation. Dr. Condon and members of his group questioned in detail during and after the analysis and were very impressed with the quality of his work.

2. Preliminary arrangements were made to get in contact with Dr. Lowe, University of Colorado, so that contractual arrangements could be made to carry on analysis by but under financing from U.S. Air Force/University of Colorado. To start this procedure, contacted and suggested that he call Dr. Lowe to initiate the appropriate discussions. I understand this was followed through later in the day. This would transfer most of the future analysis to the University of Colorado control, but Dr. Condon indicated he wished to keep a channel open into our organization. He was most appreciative of the unofficial role NIEC had played in assisting the development of this special photogrammetric technique to analyze unscheduled photography.

3. There was discussion held concerning methods of interesting the American public in participating in UFO photography. No specific conclusions were drawn, but it was suggested that it might be advisable to review all the photography NICAP holds, and conduct photogrammetric analyses on any that have the appropriate and necessary information available. This would put Dr. Condon in a position to say that he had reviewed and analyzed
SUSPECT: UFO Briefing for Dr. Edward Condon, 5 May 1967

all the photography in NICAP files, and was now depending on the American public to furnish him new photography. Also discussed was the possibility of making available to police officers, military posts, airline pilots, and such people, polaroid cameras for instant use. No conclusions were arrived at, but some interesting thoughts were tabled. It was, however, felt by all that more publicity was needed.

A report on his analysis of the Zanesville photography will be forwarded to in the near future for distribution to Dr. Hatchford and Dr. Condon.
MEMORANDUM FOR:

SUBJECT: Reported Photography of Unidentified Flying Objects

1. It has come to my attention that a civilian has been experimenting with new kinds of films and emulsions which he has been exposing through a telescope set-up in his backyard here in Washington. During the course of his photographic experiments in the last three months, he has managed, it is reported to me, to photograph on a number of occasions geometrically shaped flying objects as they passed between his telescope and the moon. I have not seen these photographs and have had no business to look for them but I have had them reported to me as a result of our investigation of the photographic process which reportedly delivers a very high degree of resolution. Incidental to the examination of some of these high resolution photographs at his home, one of my men did notice the objects reported and in questioning of the man found that he had photographed different groups at several different times during the past three months.

However, I would not like to overlook some evidences of an often reported phenomenon which might be of interest to the intelligence community generally.

3. Reports to me that the photographs of these objects were remarkably clear and certainly indicated a phenomenon for which he had no ready explanation and for which in the past he found some considerable amounts of interest particularly in ... I do not wish to get involved with extensive if there is no interest in these reported materials, and I would therefore welcome your counsel and suggestion as to how we might get our hands on these materials to examine them firsthand and to make a more complete analysis of them.

is exceedingly caggy in his handling of these materials and would not permit anyone to borrow any of these materials. He explained that he was currently out of work and that he had had a couple of recent contracts with the Air Technical Intelligence Center at Dayton. A contact with the Air Force reveals that he has no contracts which are...
in effect at the present time. He appears to feel that he has
some real evidence of the existence of unidentified flying objects in
his photography and I am sure he would consider delivering them to
somebody only for a price, yet unspecified. By copy of this
memorandum to I would bring his up to date on this subject in case you would like to take it up unilaterally with
him.

4. For background information it is also reported to you that
our original contact with was set up through and therefore there is some information on him already
available in their files. You are also undoubtedly aware that the
House committee investigating outer space and space phenomena has
recently been addressing itself to the problem of the unidentified
flying objects and has been seeking to review the evidence for
certain House representatives to determine what validity this concept
might have. According to the best information I have at the present
moment, after several hearings on this subject this committee has
suspended its investigation for several months after which time it
intends to reopen them.
Attached for your information is a copy, in translation, of an article submitted by Dr. Eduard Lucius for publication in Condor, a German language magazine published in Chile. The article is entitled "The Mystery of the 'Flying Discs,' a contribution to its possible explanation".

The significant conclusion drawn by the scientist in this report is that the real handiwork of 'boundary layer' and streaming object or aerofoil had been exploited to produce an advantageous reaction and is the factor controlling the success of the 'flying saucer' type of saucer.
The Mystery of the "Flying Discs"

A contribution to its possible explanation.

By Dr. Eduard Ludi, Santiago, Chile.
Av. Cristobal Colon 1916

Though the continuously reappearing reports on the appearance of new, mysterious aircraft of unknown construction should be considered with severe skepticism as the result of a sort of mass-hysteria, nevertheless some of the detailed and coinciding accounts of technically trained observers deserve attention and permit one to draw conclusions as to the probable classification of these new aircraft.

Since so far the observations have been made mainly in the dark, which means that only the luminous parts of the craft are visible, every report brings the description of shining discs or circles. If one should discard the absurd conjecture that these aircraft originate from beyond this earth, then it is easy to arrive at the conclusion that the shining circles bear a relation to the exhaust of a rotary gas-turbine. The possibility exists that the rotor of a turbine is used at the same time as a stabilizing top and is therefore fixed vertically to the center of the other turbine rings, which in the darkness produces the effect of the "rings of Saturn".

These observations remind me of a completely new type of aircraft which was developed during the years I worked in the research plant of Professor Junkers in Essen, which was attached to the airplane factories known all over the world. I do not know how many of my co-workers are still alive today, but I do know that Dr. Bock, Professor at the Technical High School of Berlin, and who was at that time my chief and friend of many years, has been deported to the Soviet Union.

The name of Professor Bock was never widely known due to his modest character, but he may have been the greatest genius of German airplane theory, and later, in view of his extraordinary facilities, he was named head constructor of the Ministry of German Aircrft and Director of the German Institute of Aircrft Research in Berlin-Adlershof.

In order to explain to a wider circle of readers the basic idea of the new aircraft, I should like to submit first the following explanations:

The first [physicist] and mathematician who considered the new Science of Aerodynamics after the commencement of purely experimental developments of aircraft construction was the Russian Professor Skovkoff of Moscow. Before the first World War and together with my esteemed teacher, Dr. Kutta from the Technical High School of Stuttgart, Germany, he developed the theory of airplane-wing-beam. Professor Kutta succeeded in establishing the famous "Differential equation of the boundary stratum" which for the first time throws light on the processes in current particles and which in any case explains for the first time theoretically the reason why a plane flying can bear a load while moving forward through the air. Since then the "Kutta-Jukowski Theory of Airplane-wing-beam" has been the foundation of all aerodynamics. As already mentioned, the core of this work is the so-called "boundary stratum", which consists of the thin layer of air in which the transition of Velocity Zero to the Velocity of the Living Object takes place. If the object is streamlined then the boundary stratum will endeavor not to sever, so whirlwinds will occur, and therefore no loss of energy will take place in that stratum. Since nature always functions most economically, it always tries to avoid loss of energy, and therefore a plane flying would rather bear weight than cause a disruption of the course of the current and let the wing drop.

The logical conclusions based on these theoretic discoveries were obvious: already in the year 1915 Professor H.C. Rowen, also from the Technical High School of Stuttgart, received a patent on the "Splitting" through which the artificial interruption of the course of the current, the tearing of the boundary stratum and the consequent braking and diminishing of the landing speed would be attained. This
procedure was later applied to a great extent to the fighter plane Musot JU. 88 under the name of "dive-brakes". This patent had to be handed to the English factory Handley-Page after World War I, which explains that the name of "Handley-Page Splitwing" is more widely known.

However, developments proceeded. It was principally the Aerodynamic Experimental Institute of the Gottingen University, directed by the renowned Professors Prandtl and Betz, and Constructor Flettner, which drew its conclusions from the theory of the airplane-wing-beam. Flettner proved that the conditions of a rotating object are similar to those which appear in a "translatorischen" movement. Thus evolved the "Flettner-Rotor".

Professor Junkers, head of the well known airplane works in Dessau, who in the year 1915 received his pathbreaking patent on the one-piece metal wing without junctures, ordered a research group, which was headed by Professor Dr. Bock, and to which I had the honor to belong, to investigate to what extent the uplift of a wing could be increased through the attachment of a Flettner-Rotor in the shape of a cylinder turning at great speed. The cylinder was two-thirds of the length of the wing and was installed in the nose of the wing, where it could best be adapted to the wing's profile. To assist us with aerodynamic problems, the Gottingen University sent us Professor Prandtl. The experiments turned out to be extremely difficult and involved many casualties. The purely technical question of the speedy uplift of a long cylinder of light construction could not be solved at that time. Inexplicable vibrations and axle breakages occurred time after time which Professor Junkers ordered us to investigate, and with which we were occupied for months. Not less than four men, all experienced and tried pilots of the first World War and outstanding engineers, died in these experiments. It was clear to us that only a gas-turbine could produce the direct uplift of the cylinder. However, since meanwhile more pressing problems awaited solution, experiments with this type of aircraft were interrupted.

Meanwhile the Aerodynamic Experimental Institute of Gottingen made new and enlightening discoveries. Professor Betz found that supersonic speeds, such as are produced by quickly rotating propellers, created entirely new conditions. This investigation, however, needed the furnishing of a wind tunnel for supersonic speeds which could only be built many years later, and which after the war was forwarded to the United States where it greatly amazed all scientists.

How light was shed on many things. It was found that the tearing of the boundary stratum at supersonic speeds involved much greater resistance, so that an object with full atmospheric pressure practically "hangs" from the upper layer of air, and theoretically experiences there the same uplift as an object on the same surface in the water. The converting of the revelations found in research into reality, however, needed the solution of the starting force through a gas-turbine or another equivalent machine or instrument.

Many hitherto unexplained phenomena now found an explanation. For example it had often been observed that the range of quickly rotating missiles ("Drallwirke") was much greater than could be explained according to the laws of ballistics. Paradoxical explanations were sought for this such as that the air resistance decreases with growing speeds. Today we know that these quickly rotating missiles "swim" in the surrounding layers of air and therefore lose part of their weight. Full clarification was brought about only with supersonic speeds, which were obtained in the experiments with rockets (V-2) and were arrived at by flights of many hundreds and thousands of kilometers, and which can only be explained by the way in which these missiles literally "hang" in the air. The surprise of the specialized scientists the world over at the astounding results of the German V-2 was not less than that which is produced today by the appearance of the mysterious "Flying Discs".

In the same way in which the ingenious disarrangement of Professor Junkers pointed the way for airplane construction for the whole world, thus also may his idea of attaching Flettner Rotors have a revolutionary effect. Airplanes of this type must have such an enormous carrying capacity as to be practically comparable to ambitious planes of the same size. The lack of uplift produced by the Flettner Rotors can easily be achieved through the oblique position of the entire airplane
with a positive starting angle in connection with the enormously high starting speed. The attaching of speedily rotating tops assures side stability. There is also the possibility of attaching horizontal auxiliary propellers of the helicopter type. And what about the question of the starting force? The safety of such an aircraft stands and falls on the starting force of the cylinders, and only too well do I remember the casualties inflicted by the lack of it. As I mentioned before, only the development of a gas-turbine can bring the solution, since it consists only of rotating parts and works with the dependability of a steam engine.

There is only one more question to be answered: could such an aircraft carry enough fuel for world-wide journeys? This question is easily answered in the affirmative. In the first place such an aircraft has a tremendous carrying capacity, as we have already seen; and in the second place chemical research has made astounding developments in this respect. We know today—quite apart from atomic energy—carriers of energy of unsuspected power and duration. [It should be remembered that the missiles of German anti-tank weapons were coated with chemical substances which melted up to 20 ozs. of steel plates within fractions of a second.] Energy carriers of this type, if applicable to a gas-turbine, should make an action—radius possible which far surpasses that of gasoline engines.

The future will show whether the "Flying Discs" are only the products of imagination or whether they are the results of a far-advanced German science which, possibly, as well as the nearly finished atomic bombs, may have fallen into the hands of the Russians.
FLYING SAUCERS OVER BELGIAN CONGO URANIUM MINES

Fritz Sitte

Recently, two fiery disks were sighted over the uranium mines located in the southern part of the Belgian Congo in the Elisabethville district, east of the Lualaba River which connects the Meru and Bangweolo lakes. The disks glided in elegant curves and changed their position many times, so that from below they sometimes appeared as plates, ovals, and simply lines. Suddenly, both disks hovered in one spot and then took off in a unique zigzag flight to the northeast. A penetrating hissing and buzzing sound was audible to the onlookers below. The whole performance lasted from 10 to 12 minutes.

Commander Pierre of the small Elisabethville airfield immediately set out in pursuit with a fighter plane. On his first approach he came within about 120 meters of one of the disks. According to his estimates, the "saucer" had a diameter of from 12 to 15 meters and was discus-shaped. The inner core remained absolutely still, and a knob coming out from the center and several small openings could plainly be seen. The outer rim was completely veiled in fire and must have had an enormous speed of rotation. The color of the metal was similar to that of aluminum.

The disks traveled in a precise and light manner, both vertically and horizontally. Changes in elevation from 600 to 1,000 meters could be accomplished in a few seconds; the disks often shot down to within 20 meters of the tree tops. Pierre did not regard it possible that the disk could be manned, since the irregular speed as well as the heat would make it impossible for a person to stay inside the stable core. Pierre had to give up pursuit after 15 minutes since both disks, with a loud whistling sound which he heard despite the noise of his own plane, disappeared in a straight line toward Lake Tanganyika. He estimated their speed at about 1,500 kilometers per hour.

Pierre is regarded as a dependable officer and a zealous flyer. He gave a detailed report to his superiors which, strangely enough, in many respects agreed with various results of research.
The sketch below shows the construction principle of the "flying saucers." The captions are, in part, purely conjecture, based on reports by pilots who pursued the disks; in part, they were learned from secret research institutions. The central core contains the explosive (SP) and the installations for radar steering (R). It has catapult knobs (KZ) and antennas (AN) as well as counter-pressure housing (GD). Around this core, a rim rotates which has jets (D) on its upper and lower side, plus fuel chambers (DB). The roller bearing is shown by the letter L. The launching occurs at a sharp angle in the manner of a discus throw; the revolutions per minute of the rim probably amount to 22,000. The jets on the bottom of the rim serve to propel the disk vertically upwards; lateral steering results from switching on and off various jet groups.

(Appended sketch follows)
"FLYING SAUCERS" IN EAST GERMANY

Berlin, July — Furnished with the sworn testimony of an eyewitness, Oscar Linke, a 48-year-old German and former mayor of Gleimershhausen, West Berlin intelligence officers have begun investigating a most unusual "flying saucer" story. According to this story, an object "resembling a huge flying pan" and having a diameter of about 15 meters landed in a forest clearing in the Soviet Zone of Germany.

Linke recently escaped from the Soviet Zone along with his wife and six children.

Linke and his 11-year-old daughter, Gabriella, made the following sworn statement last week before a judge: "While I was returning to my home with Gabriella, a tire of my motorcycle blew out near the town of Hasselbach. While we were walking along toward Hasselbach, Gabriella pointed out something which lay at a distance of about 140 meters away from us. Since it was twilight, I thought that she was pointing at a young deer.

"I left my motorcycle near a tree and walked toward the spot which Gabriella had pointed out. When, however, I reached a spot about 55 meters from the object, I realized that my first impression had been wrong. What I had seen were two men who were now about 40 meters away from me. They seemed to be dressed in some shiny metallic clothing. They were stooped over and were looking at something lying on the ground.

"I approached until I was only about 10 meters from them. I looked over a small fence and then I noticed a large object whose diameter I estimated to be between 13 and 15 meters. It looked like a huge frying pan.

"There were two rows of holes on its periphery, about 30 centimeters in circumference. The space between the two rows was about 0.45 meters. On the top of this metal object was a black conical tower about 3 meters high.
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"At that moment, my daughter, who had remained a short distance behind me, called me. The two men must have heard my daughter's voice because they immediately jumped on the conical tower and disappeared inside.

"I had previously noted that one of the men had a lamp on the front part of his body which lit up at regular intervals.

"How, the side of the object on which the holes had been opened began to glitter. Its color seemed green but later turned to red. At the same time I began to hear a slight hum. While the brightness and hum increased, the conical tower began to slide down into the center of the object. The whole object then began to rise slowly from the ground and rotate like a top.

"It seemed to me as if it were supported by the cylindrical plant which had gone down from the top of the object, through the center, and had now appeared from its bottom on the ground.

"The object, surrounded by a ring of flames, was now a certain number of feet above the ground.

"I then noted that the whole object had risen slowly from the ground. The cylinder on which it was supported had now disappeared within its center and had reappeared on the top of the object.

"The rate of climb had now become greater. At the same time my daughter and I heard a whistling sound similar to that heard when a bomb falls.

"The object rose to a horizontal position, turned toward a neighboring town, and then, gaining altitude, it disappeared over the heights and forests in the direction of Stockheim."

Many other persons who live in the same area as Linke later related that they saw an object which they thought to be a comet. A shepherd stated that he thought that he was looking at a comet moving away at a low altitude from the height on which Linke stood.

"After submitting his testimony to the judge, Linke made the following statement: "I would have thought that both my daughter and I were dreaming if it were not for the following element involved: When the object had disappeared, I went to the place where it had been. I found a circular opening in the ground and it was quite evident that it was freshly dug. It was exactly the same shape as the conical tower. I was then convinced that I was not dreaming."

Linke continued, "I had never heard of the term 'flying saucer' before I escaped from the Soviet Zone into West Berlin. When I saw this object, I immediately thought that it was a new Soviet military machine.

"I confess that I was seized with fright because the Soviets do not want anyone to know about their work. Many persons have been restricted to their movements for many years in East Germany because they know too much."

- END -
FLYING SAUCERS IN SPAIN AND NORTH AFRICA

SMOKE-TRAILING OBJECT OVER BARCELONA -- Tangier, Espaiia, 22 May 52

Barcelona, 21 May -- As I crossed Jose Antonio Avenue on my way to the newspaper office, I saw a strange object flying at high speed from the direction of Prat Airport, about 2,000 meters above ground, and leaving a wide smoke trail. It did not look like an aircraft (neither Prat nor Sabadell airport admitted any knowledge of the object), and unlike the so-called flying saucers, it was proceeding in a straight line, without emitting flashes of light or revolving on an axis. The object seemed to me to be rocket-shaped, and the smoke came out of two points close together, merging into a single streak.

My colleagues at the office saw the smoke but not the object. Over Badalona, about 10 kilometers away, the object stopped trailing smoke, disappeared for a few seconds, and reappeared, again emitting smoke, several kilometers farther away. The newspaper office was soon flooded with telephone calls from people who had seen the object. A friend of ours took the picture of the smoke trail. -- Valentin Garcia

The picture shows a diagonal stripe of diminishing width and lighter in shade than the sky over the dark bulk of a building cornice. It is attributed to Francisco Andreu. The caption says that the picture was taken "on 17 May," although the report is dated 21 May.

UNUSUAL OBJECT OVER TUNISIA -- Algiers, Echo d'Alger, 4 Jun 52

On 3 June, at about 2000 hours, many inhabitants of Sousse saw a flying object traveling at dizzy speed from west to east and emitting a pale green light.
FLYING SAUCER OVER MEKNES, MOROCCO -- Algiers, Echo d'Alger, 11 Jun 52

Two witnesses reported a flying saucer appearing above Meknes at 1300 hours on 7 June 1952. One of them said that he saw a bright spot in the sky moving at lightning speed. He was able to compare its speed with that of some T-33 planes flying near the Meknes base, for they seemed very slow by comparison. The unknown apparatus emitted a white trail of smoke and made no sound. It drew near, described a parabola in the sky, stopped, and then disappeared toward Ifrane in the south.

FLAMING DISC ABOVE TAOURIET, MOROCCO -- Algiers, Echo d'Alger, 16 Jun 52

On the morning of 15 June 1952, a strange phenomenon appeared above Taouriet, French Morocco. For 30 seconds, dock workers saw a disc of white flames surrounded by two circular strands, with smoke trailing behind it. The fiery object, which seemed to move earthward, vanished rapidly.

SAUCER OVER CASABLANCA -- Algiers, Journal d'Alger, 16 Jun 52

After Meknes and Marrakesh, Casablanca had its flying saucer on 15 June 1952. It was observed by Andre Assorin, a former pilot. The Meteorological Bureau claims that is has not seen this unusual apparition above Casablanca.
UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS OVER MOROCCO AND FRENCH WEST AFRICA

EYEWITNESS REPORTS SAUCER OVER DAKAR -- Conakry, La Guinee Francaise, 12 Jul 52

France-Afrique /Dakar weekly/ reports the statement of an eyewitness who claims to have observed a flying saucer above Dakar at 0608 hours on 3 July 1952.

According to this account, the object was flat and tapered in shape, and issued forth bluish and reddish flames which were quite long and very clearly visible. The report further stated that the object was moving southward at a great rate of speed, at an altitude of approximately 1,500 meters. The report added that the stars were no longer visible at the time of the saucer sighting and that there were no aircraft in flight over Dakar at the time.

FLYING OBJECTS SEEN IN MOROCCO -- Casablanca, La Vigie Marocaine, 16 Jul 52

It is reported from Mechra bel Kári that on 12 July 1952, two flying saucers were seen by two policemen on night duty at Had Kourt. The saucers were elongated in shape, followed by a trail of white light, and traveled at a high rate of speed from north to south.

On 13 July 1952, two inhabitants of Fesala reported having seen, at 2345 hours, a blue-green, ball-shaped object, followed by a short trail of light, and moving at a rather high rate of speed. According to the eyewitnesses, the object disappeared after about 3 or 4 seconds as though it had literally melted in the sky.

On 14 July 1952, a man and his wife reported having observed for about 30 seconds, at about 0900 hours, a mysterious flying object traveling from Ifrane in the direction of Meknes.
Casablanca, Maroc-Press, 16 Jul 52

Two inhabitants of Chichaoua report having seen three "white fires" in the sky at 2130 hours on 13 July 1952.

Meanwhile, it is reported from Louis Gentil that at 2130 hours on 12 July 1952, several persons saw a yellow flying disk, about 30 centimeters in diameter, moving very rapidly from east to west.

Unusual flying objects were also reported seen at Bouznikaou and Baulhaut between 2100 and 2200 hours on 13 July 1952.

LUMINOUS OBJECT SEEN NEAR CASABLANCA -- Casablanca, Maroc-Press, 15 Jul 52

Forty persons have reported that at 2200 hours on 13 July 1952, they observed a "luminous flying object" in the vicinity of Casablanca.

OVIOD OBJECT SEEN TAKING OFF IN DAIZET AOUA REGION -- Casablanca, Maroc-Press, 18 Jul 52

Inhabitants of the Daizet Aoua region, French Morocco, have reported seeing recently a "blue, phosphorescent, ovoid object" on the ground rise rapidly in the air, followed by a bluish trail. The observers estimated the object to be 20 meters long.

- END -
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**"SAUCERS" SIGHTED OVER SPAIN AND FRENCH AFRICA**

**GLOWING SPHERES SEEN OVER ALMANSI, SPAIN -- Tangier, Espana, 22 Apr 52**

Almansa, 21 April -- At 0800 hours today, many persons saw a series of four glowing spheres crossing the sky along the Murcia-Valencia trajectory at high speed and at a great height. The spheres looked like locks of wool of a vivid reddish color which changed to an intense yellow as they flew farther away. They gradually disappeared in the distance. No unusual sound was heard. The spectacle lasted hardly a minute.

**ANDUJAR RESIDENTS REPORT SAUCER -- Tangier, Espana, 1 Aug 52**

The Cifra news agency reports that on the night of 30 July 1952, many residents of Andujar, Spain, saw what was presumed to be a so-called flying saucer. The object was red, round, and approximately the size of a desert dish. If flew noiselessly, at great speed, and left behind a long trail of very bright greenish light.

**LUMINOUS OBJECT SEEN OVER PORT GENTIL -- Conakry, La Guinee Francaise, 26 Jun 52**

The master of a cargo ship anchored in front of the wharf of Port Gentil in Gabon, French Equatorial Africa, reported that at 0240 hours on 1 June 1952 a mysterious object came up from the area behind Port Gentil, made a double loop, passed over the roadstead, and then dived toward the sea at great speed. He submitted the report to the local authorities and to the administrative offices of his company. The following is a summary of his story.

On 1 June 1952 at 0240 hours, the ship was riding at anchor in the roadstead of Port Gentil, heading 150 degrees. The northern sky was clear and starry; the southern, slightly cloudy. Visibility was excellent, a slightly southwest breeze prevailed, and the sea was calm. There was a quarter moon.
The first mate was at his forward post ready to weigh anchor while the master was on the bridge with the officer on duty. With the exception of the mooring lights, the ship was in complete darkness, thus permitting excellent night visibility.

At 0240, the first mate telephoned the master informing him that he had just sighted an unknown luminous object in the sky which came from Port Gentil and passed directly over the ship. Training his binoculars (Zeiss 7 x 50, for night vision) skyward, the master was able to see quite clearly, on the port quarter, a very bright and phosphorescent orange light, circular in shape and moving at a great speed in a seemingly straight-line course. Standing on the wing of the bridge, and with the aid of the gyrocompass repeater, the master estimated its average direction to be about 10 degrees.

He followed the light quite easily in his binoculars for about 3 minutes and lost sight of it when it moved at great speed over the Prince buoy, about 7 miles from the ship. The master was unaware of any accompanying sound and admits that it was difficult to estimate the altitude of the object, yet he judged this to be 3,000-4,000 meters. Its diameter was that of a planet.

The first mate stated that before he telephoned the master, he saw that object come from the direction of Port Gentil, stop, make a right turn, and resume its initial course. As it passed directly over the ship, it repeated the same sort of gyration.

The master stated that his 20 years of sea duty enabled him to affirm that what he saw was neither a known celestial phenomenon, such as a falling star or meteor, nor a current type of aircraft.

Furthermore, it was confirmed that there were no planes in the air that night over Port Gentil.

STRANGE OBJECTS SEEN IN SKY OVER ALGERIA — Oran L'Echo d'Oran, 17 Jul 52

At 2300 hours on 15 July 1952, in the town of Boukanefis, two bakers clearly saw a plate-shaped flying object in the sky. It moved with unusual agility, giving off a greenish smoke as a light was up the sky. It seemingly did not alter its course as it increased its speed and disappeared toward the south.

Similarly, in Lesorciere on 11 July 1952, one Thomas Martinez saw a sudden illumination above and at first took it to be a falling star. Actually, it seemed more like a meteor followed by two other bodies, all trailing a yellow cloud of smoke. Then, these disappeared and out of nowhere appeared an oval-shaped, longish ball of fire. Flying at a low altitude and clearly visible, it rapidly followed a rectilinear course.

STRANGE PHENOMENON WITNESSED FROM TWO POINTS IN ORAN — Oran, L’Echo d’Oran, 26 Jul 52

Recently, presumably 25 July 1952, several inhabitants of Oran reported seeing a flying saucer at approximately the same time.

At 1535 hours, Raoul Le Henaff, foreman of a local company, saw an incandescent white mass in the sky above Oran. It flew southward, traveling at an altitude of about 2,000 meters. There was no luminous exhaust and no smoke. After 30 seconds, the phenomenon grew hazy and disappeared. The personnel of the company office and Palacio, an employee in the Algeronaphte Factory also saw the "saucer."
At 1557 hours, Maurice Dubessay, who works for L’Echo d’Oran, saw what appeared to be a brilliant disk going in a southwest direction at a great speed and at medium altitude. It disappeared after 5 seconds. In a similar time interval, Atlas and Karsenty, two grocers; also saw the unknown object before it disappeared behind a cloud.

SAUCERS OBSERVED IN TWO AREAS OF ORAN -- Oran, L’Echo d’Oran, 28 Jul 52

On 26 July 1952, people in two areas of Oran reported seeing unusual flying objects, described as “saucers.”

At about 2300 hours, three women in the Eckmuhl district of Oran noticed a large, orange-red, luminous patch in the sky, of the size of a duck’s egg, but flatter. Traveling from east to west, it appeared to halt for a second then vanished.

Three trustworthy individuals living on the Tiaret plateus saw an unusual flying object at 1045 hours. The local parish observed it for about 40 seconds and described it as a shining, cigar-shaped mass without a smoke trail. It disappeared toward the northwest. A teacher and his wife gave a similar description, adding that the object had a dark center, was in an oblique position, and moved at an altitude of about 3,000 meters. No one heard any sounds of a motor.

CITES SEVERAL APPEARANCES OF FLYING SAUCERS IN ALGERIA -- Casablanca, La Vigie Marocaine, 31 Jul 52

On the evening of 29 July 1952, two new flying saucers were sighted. The first, appearing at 2050 hours over Mostaganem, was visible only a few seconds. The second one appeared at 2300 hours at Ain Teledes. It flew above the city for about a minute, emitting a whitish trail of smoke. The manner in which it attained various altitudes revealed a surprising maneuverability. When it disappeared, it was headed south.

This is the fifth time in a matter of weeks that this phenomenon has been noted in the Department of Oran. A saucer appearing to be about the size of a teacup was observed by two policemen over Frenda (at the east end of Oran Department). It consisted of a dark nucleus within a luminous mass. Near Tiemen, motorists noted a strange cigar-shaped object at a height of 500 meters. There was a luminous cloud in its wake. And finally, at Lamericiere, about 40 kilometers from the Abdellys area, motorists saw a phosphorescent disk for a brief period of time.

POINTS TO FREQUENCY OF FLYING SAUCER REPORTS IN ORAN DEPARTMENT -- Oran, L’Echo d’Oran, 31 Jul 52

After it had received and published a series of flying saucer reports, the newspaper L’Echo d’Oran carried a review of the occurrences, as well as several late reports. The following is a summary of the article."

Our correspondents have sent us word of saucers appearing everywhere in Oran Department during the past few days. This seems to be the saucers' favorite area, one which is no doubt salutary for their development. They have appeared here with greater frequency than in France or the US. It is impossible, moreover, to doubt the veracity of the observers, since they include policemen, colonels, a priest, and a cadet.
At 0400 hours today, Raymond Botella, a Public Works Department employee in Tiemcen, saw a flying object moving rapidly north and trailing a whitish smoke cloud. A half hour before, the mysterious saucer had been seen in Oued Taria, traveling at the same speed and, like the saucer observed yesterday, making abrupt turns and changes of direction.

On the night of 30 July, in Sainte Barbe du Tielat, a police adjutant, two policemen, and a cadi observed a luminous flying object for about 30 seconds. The daily police reports will henceforth include all such observations by the police.

In the morning of 30 July, a resident of Algiers saw, for several seconds, a shining black disk on the horizon. It made a rapid vertical descent, then suddenly moved in a horizontal direction.

Two observations were made in Lodi. On 25 July, at about 2130 hours, a yellowish object flew at a dizzy speed in the sky, and on 28 July, at 2150 hours, a much larger object appeared for several seconds; it had the shape of an inverted cone.

OBSERVE UNUSUAL FLYING OBJECT FOR OVER A MINUTE -- Oran, L'Echo d'Oran, 1 Aug 52

At 1130 hours on 31 July 1952, an Oran resident and his wife, while driving on the road between Saint Denis Du Sis and Le Tielat, saw something flying in the sky about 1,000 meters up. They stopped the car and watched its course for about 1½ minutes. It was spindle-shaped and tapered at both ends. It differed from ordinary aircraft in that it showed no exhaust smoke, made no noise, and had a great speed.

- END -
On 18 September, at 1400 hours, three forestry workers who were working right outside Kirkenes noticed a flat, round object hovering motionless at about 500 meters altitude. The object appeared to have a diameter of 15-20 meters. After the workers had observed the object for a while, it suddenly flew away at great speed in a northwesterly direction.

It appears that only these three workers saw the object; they swear, however, that their report is true.
SIGHTINGS OF UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS
OVER SPAIN AND AFRICA, JULY - OCTOBER 1952

DISKS APPEAR OVER MARRAKECH -- Rabat, L'Echo du Maroc, 17 Jul 52

Marrakech (special correspondent) -- At 2100 hours presumably on 16 July 1952, many people saw a large, luminous disk flying horizontally, with a leaping and bounding motion. Then, there was a burst of light. A second disk of smaller dimensions appeared, going off horizontally toward the southwest. The whole appearance lasted about one minute.

From several points of the Marrakech region, luminous disks were seen traveling at a dizzy speed.

On 14 July, flying saucers had been seen over the Ifrane region, flying toward Meknes.

LUMINOUS DISK OR BALL SEEN OVER MOULAY BOUSSELEHM -- Rabat, L'Echo du Maroc, 5 Aug 52

On 2 August 1952, at about 2045 hours, a group of five trustworthy persons saw a luminous disk or ball in the sky over Moulay Bousselehm, French Morocco. The object, red in the center and bluish around the edge, flew very rapidly from southeast to northwest, remaining visible for at least 20 seconds before it disappeared over the horizon.

LUMINOUS OBJECTS SEEN OVER ALGERIA -- Oran, Oran Republicain, 16 Aug 52

In Ain Sefra, on the night of 12 August 1952, a railroad agent observed a ball of fire suddenly appearing against a background of clouds, racing across the sky from east to west and leaving behind a luminous pink trail. Apparently increasing in volume, the object stopped suddenly, became bright red, and seemed to explode, but the observer did not hear any noise.
At 2115 hours on 14 August 1952, two persons in the city of Constantine saw a luminous object flying at high speed toward Guelma. According to the witnesses, the apparatus, which had no resemblance to a flying saucer, emitted a very bright light. At the time this happened, the local weather bureau had not launched any weather balloons.

The same day, at 1920 hours, many people at the docks of Philippeville saw in the sky an enormous red disk going from north to west, leaving behind a greenish trail.

SAUCER-LIKE CRAFT SEEN IN SPAIN -- Tangier, Espana, 12 Aug 52

According to the Cifra news agency, on the evening of 10 August 1952, a resident of Cuenca, Spain, while walking along the Cuenca-Madrid road, saw an object similar to the so-called flying saucers. It crossed the sky at high speed in a matter of seconds. The object was round and gave off two luminous trails.

OBSERVE TWO STRANGE FLYING OBJECTS IN SPAIN -- Tangier, Espana, 22 Aug 52

Several inhabitants of Puerto Real, 6 kilometers from San Fernando, recently saw two elongated oval objects in the sky approaching each other from opposite directions. When it seemed that they were about to collide, each object made a right turn, picked up speed, and then disappeared. The objects flew at a great height, seemed lead-gray in color, and appeared to be piloted.

LUMINOUS OBJECT SEEN OVER MALLORCA -- Tangier, Espana, 4 Sep 52

On 3 September 1952, late at night, four professors of the Seraphic Seminary in Palma, Mallorca Island, noticed a luminous disk in the sky, traveling from west to east. They said that it could not have been a shooting star and that they were giving the report as objectively as possible in its barest details to provide another item for the study of the flying saucer phenomenon.

TWO METEOROLOGISTS SEE SAUCERS IN UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA -- Oran, L'Echo d'Oran, 8 Sep 52

On the morning of 6 September 1952, a meteorologist was taking weather observations in Durban, Union of South Africa, when he saw a white object moving smoothly across his field of vision. After two more had appeared and passed in the same manner, he called a co-worker, with whom he observed three more saucers. He was able to follow one of them with a theodolite, which indicated that the object was at a high altitude. It had about the same shape as a weather balloon which was then at an altitude of only 3,500 meters. The spherical objects appeared to be at an altitude above 6,500 meters.

Both men have been meteorologists for some time (the second man is the director of the weather bureau at Natal). Their information was written into the records of the local meteorological office.

THOUSANDS WITNESS SAUCER OVER MOROCCO AND TANGIER -- Casablanca, Maroc-Presse, 22 Sep 52

On 21 September 1952, at about 1820 hours, an unusual object, possibly a saucer, flew at great speed over Casablanca. Shortly afterwards, about 15 telephone calls were received from local residents, and our Tangier correspondent...
called to say that he had seen the object going from east to west at 1815 hours (about 2-5 minutes before it flew over Casablanca!). Also, two observers in the Neufik River valley were able to time the passage of the object with a chronometer; it was then 1817 hours, and the object was coming from the direction of Rabat, traveling from east to west.

Some of the reports gave the following details about the object: luminous, cigar-shaped, and giving off a silvery smoke trail; a luminous, whitish-green globe ending in a long cone and flying about 700 feet up, the same as a plane which flew by at the same time (outside of Casablanca); and a flaming, globe-shaped mass trailing white smoke in puffs, as though puff followed an explosion -- the object stopped short a moment and revolved on itself, emitting a shower of sparks about its cone.

About 6,000 spectators at a boxing match in Casablanca saw the object pass over the arena. In Louis Gentil, numerous persons saw it at 1818 hours, flying from east to west. In Marrakech, it made its appearance for 5 seconds at 1830 hours, when it was clearly seen by three persons who described it as a meteor, again traveling from east to west.

REPORT STRANGE OBJECT OVER TANGIER AND FES -- Fes, Le Courrier du Maroc, 22 Sep 52

On 21 September 1952, at 1815 hours, many people at a beach 17 kilometers from Tangier saw toward the south a luminous disk of a diameter close to that of the setting sun, flying horizontally from east to west. After holding its course for about 12 seconds, the disk, which looked like a brightly illuminated metal object, suddenly emitted two great streaks of flames and disappeared.

At 1820 hours, a strange object was also seen in the sky over Fes, French Morocco, flying at high speed from east to west and leaving behind a luminous white trail.

PILOT GETS CLOSE VIEW OF SAUCER FOR BRIEF INTERVAL -- Casablanca, Maroc-Press, 23 Sep 52

The pilot of a tourist plane reports that on 21 September 1952, he flew for 10 seconds and about 50 meters in the same direction as the flying saucer so widely observed that day. This former military pilot, whose name is Creze, answered specific questions put to him and gave the following information:

As he was preparing to land at an airfield in Casablanca, at 1825 hours, he noticed the strange object about 30 meters to the left of his plane (a ground mechanic later verified this, as he saw a luminous object fly between himself and the plane). It was flying horizontally from east to west, along the same line of flight as that maintained by Creze. The latter was doing 220 kilometers an hour at the time, at an altitude of 450 meters, and the object was going about twice as fast. He motioned to his passenger, who saw it too. It looked like a bright blue-green flame and had an oblong cigar-like shape. He heard no noise coming from it. After it passed the plane, it disappeared in the direction of the sea. The pilot did not attempt to pursue it, fearing the same fate as that of the US pilot [Captain Mantell].

That same evening, in Azemmour, French Morocco, a French couple and their farm workers heard a loud explosion as the strange flying object passed by. This is the first report we have of any sound heard from such objects. Other reports were received from Fes, Mekne, and Safi, where in each case the sighting was said to have occurred at 1820 hours.
BELIEVE OBJECT OVER MOROCCO ONLY A METEOR -- Rabat, L'Echo du Maroc, 22 Sep 52

On 21 September 1952, at 1818 hours, the mysterious flying object seen in all Morocco and beyond was observed over Rabat. It seems more likely, however, that this time, it was a meteor, because the trajectory of the object was given as rectilinear, it did not maneuver in the way saucers are said to do, and its entire body was incandescent, which is not true of flying machines.

The object was variously described as an orange-colored ball of fire, an arrow, or a cone-shaped object trailing a bright green-blue cloud of smoke, heading from east to west and parallel to the coast, and moving at the speed of a conventional plane at an altitude of about 1,000 meters. The object was visible for only a few seconds. After it passed a thin, white trail of smoke remained visible for about 5 minutes.

GIVE DETAILS ON MYSTERIOUS OBJECT FLYING OVER IVORY COAST -- Abidjan, La Cote d'Ivoire, 8 Oct 52

Three members of a family in Abidjan declared that shortly after 0130 hours on 3 October 1952, a bright green light flashed from the east across the sky, seemingly the exhaust of some craft. The latter was momentarily motionless, at which time it seemed orange red in color. Then it revolved about itself in a ring of fire, zig-zagging upward and remaining in the same area of the sky. As it moved, it assumed various shapes, flat, round, and oval. Its color varied then from purple to gold. After many rapid, noiseless turns, it dwindled in size, turned green, and disappeared -- that was at about 0200 hours.

The three observers gave the impression of being quite level-headed.

END
REPORTS OF UNCONVENTIONAL AIRCRAFT
IN FRENCH AFRICA, CORSE, AND WESTERN EUROPE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>STRANGE OBJECT SIGHTED OVER DAKAR</th>
<th>Dakar, Paris-Dakar, 3 Jul 52</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On the morning of 3 July 1952, precisely at 0608 hours, the technical manager of the broadcasting station at Hann Center observed an unusual object following a strange path above Dakar. The object was clearly visible for about 5 seconds against a clear and no longer starry sky.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The witness was on Route de Front de Terre, when, looking south toward Dakar and above the Centre de Reception (Reception Center), he spotted a flat but tapered object emitting rather long, reddish streaks of light with a bluish tinge.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The fiery object was moving at &quot;a dizzy speed,&quot; which he estimated to be &quot;two or three times greater than that of the fastest planes.&quot; It moved from west to east and disappeared with a swoop upwards, according to the witness, who believes that the object was about 1,500 meters high when he first noticed it, though he admits that it was difficult to judge its approximate altitude.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The following editorial comment by Paris-Dakar is of the opinion that the object in question was a meteor and that it disappeared in a downward, not upward, trajectory.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>UNUSUAL OBJECT SEEN OVER ORAN</th>
<th>Dakar, Paris-Dakar, 4 Jul 52</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>On 3 July 1952, at 1900 hours, a mechanic on his way home to a suburb of Oran, Algeria, noticed in the direction of the Senia airport a silvery disk which was maneuvering slowly and apparently revolved rapidly. After 3 minutes, the object disappeared toward the sea, west of Oran. The witness reported that the object was small and that it was not shaped like a balloon or plane. At the local weather station, it was stated that no balloons had been released at the time.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ALGERIAN WEATHER BUREAU CHIEF DISCOUNTS SAUCER REPORTS -- Dakar, Paris-Dakar, 7 Aug 52

The director of the Algerian weather bureau stated recently that the "flying saucers" seen recently over Algiers were only weather balloons which are sent up each evening between 2000 and 2030 hours from the weather stations at Maison Blanche, Elia, and Boufarik. He said that in their underbellies, the balloons carry a luminous' device which, together with the motion of the balloons as they are buffeted by the wind, must have made them seem like "flying saucers" to the spectators.

LUMINOUS OBJECT SIGHTED OVER CORSICA -- Algiers, l'Echo d'Alger, 4 Oct 52

On 2 October 1952, at about 2000 hours, several people in Corsica observed a spindle-shaped, luminous object traveling noiselessly in the sky. They said that it was headed from southwest to northeast and was visible for about one minute.

DESCRIBE UNUSUAL OBJECT FLYING ALONG ALGERIAN COASTLINE -- Algiers, l'Echo d'Alger, 7 Oct 52

On 6 October 1952, at 1830 hours, a luminous object was seen flying for several seconds along the western coastline of Algeria, above Sidi Ferruch and Saint Eugene. Numerous witnesses described it as cigar-shaped and enveloped in orange flames.

MANY WITNESS "FLYING SAUCER" FORMATION IN TARN, FRANCE -- Casablanca, Maroc-Presse, 29 Oct 52

About 100 inhabitants of Gaillac (Department of Tarn, France) reported witnessing a "flying saucer" formation at about 1600 hours on 27 October 1952. The objects were said to have been 16 in number, ranged in two, and disk-shaped, except for a swelling in the center. According to the report, they revolved giving off a bluish light at the sides.

The spectators said that they also saw a kind of elongated cylinder, a "flying cigar," traveling in the center of the saucer formation. All the objects were said to have discharged shining whitish particles, which looked like glass wool and accumulated on tree branches and telegraph wires. Many persons said that they had been able to gather clusters of such particles, but that these had quickly disintegrated, making it impossible to have them analyzed later in a laboratory.

The weather conditions were reported as ideal for observation. The mysterious objects, which had come from the southeast, reportedly remained in the area about 10 minutes and then continued on their way in a straight line.

INVENTOR IN WEST GERMANY PERFECTIONS "FLYING SAUCER" -- Conakry, La Guinee Francaise, 20 Nov 52

The first patent for a "flying saucer" was recently applied for in West Germany by Rudolf Schreiber, a former pilot, who claimed to have perfected an "elliptical flying object" after 11 years of research.
The inventor claimed that the craft, equipped with motors, has a diameter of
40 meters and can rise and descend vertically or remain motionless in the air.
He estimated its maximum speed as 4,000 kilometers per hour.
ENGINEER CLAIMS "SAUCER" PLANS ARE IN SOVIET HANDS; SIGHTINGS IN AFRICA, IRAN, SYRIA

GERMAN ENGINEER STATES SOVIETS HAVE GERMAN FLYING SAUCER EXPERTS AND PLANS -- Athens, I Vradyni, 13 May 53

Vienna (Special Service) -- According to recent reports from Toronto, a number of Canadian Air Force engineers are engaged in the construction of a "flying saucer" to be used as a future weapon of war. The work of these engineers is being carried out in great secrecy at the A. B. Roe Company transliteration from the Greek factories.

"Flying saucers" have been known to be an actuality since the possibility of their construction was proven in plans drawn up by German engineers toward the end of World War II.

Georg Klein, a German engineer, stated recently that though many people believe the "flying saucers" to be a postwar development, they were actually in the planning stage in German aircraft factories as early as 1941.

Klein said that he was an engineer in the Ministry of Speer probably refers to Albert Speer, who, in 1942, was Minister for Armament and Ammunition for the Third Reich and was present in Prague on 14 February 1945, at the first experimental flight of a "flying saucer."

During the experiment, Klein reported, the "flying saucer" reached an altitude of 12,400 meters within 3 minutes and a speed of 2,200 kilometers per hour. Klein emphasized that in accordance with German plans, the speed of these "saucers" would reach 4,000 kilometers per hour. One difficulty, according to Klein, was the problem of obtaining the materials to be used for the construction of the "saucers," but even this had been solved by German engineers toward the end of 1945, and construction on the objects was scheduled to begin, Klein added.
Klein went on to state that three experimental models had been readyed for tests by the end of 1944 [sic], built according to two completely different principles of aerodynamics. One type actually had the shape of a disc, with an interior cabin, and was built at the Mite (unidentified; transliteration from the Greek) factories, which had also built the V2 rockets. This model was 42 meters in diameter. The other model had the shape of a ring, with raised sides and a spherically shaped pilot's cabin placed on the outside, in the center of the ring. This model was built at the Habermol and Schreiber factories (unidentified; both names transliterated from the Greek).

Both models had the ability to take off vertically and to land in an extremely restricted area, like helicopters.

During the last few days of the war, when every hope for German victory had been abandoned, the engineers in the group stationed in Prague carried out orders to destroy completely all their plans on their models before the Soviet forces arrived. The engineers at the Mite factories in Breslaw, however, were not warned in sufficient time of the Soviet approach, and the Soviets, therefore, succeeded in seizing their material. Plans, as well as specialized personnel, were immediately sent directly to the Soviet Union under heavy guard, coincidental with the departure from Berlin of the creator of the Stuka, Duj-67 dive bomber, who later developed the MiG 13 and 15 in the Soviet Union.

According to the report, nothing is known of the whereabouts of Habermol since his disappearance from Prague; Schreiber died recently in Bremen; and Mite, who escaped in a Messerschmitt 163, is in the US.

Klein was of the opinion that the "saucers" are at present being constructed in accordance with German technical principles and expressed the belief that they will constitute serious competition to jet-propelled airplanes.

Klein further stated that it was very possible to construct "flying saucers" for civilian air travel; that they could carry 30-40 passengers at a speed of 4,000 kilometers per hour. He added, however, that the tremendous amount of material necessary for their construction did not warrant their being built exclusively for civilian air travel. His opinion was shared, he stated, by Giuseppe Bellusio, the Italian specialist with whom Klein has been corresponding for some time.

**DELAYED REPORT ON FOUR "SAUCERS" SEEN IN UBARGI-SHARI -- Brazzaville, France-Equateur, 11 Mar 53**

The Meteorological Service of French Equatorial Africa has authorized this newspaper to publish today the following account about four "flying saucers" seen on 22 November 1952 over Bocaranga, Ubangi-Shari.

At approximately 2200 hours, Father Carlos Maria, (a Capuchin missionary), Lasimone, his companion, and four other persons were driving on the road to Bocaranga, when they suddenly noticed a large disc traveling overhead in the same direction as they. Lasimone extinguished the car's lights, but the disc disappeared in the distance. A short while later, in the same spot, they saw four discs motionless in the air. Father Maria's description follows:

"We could see them clearly. Two were above and two below, but all four had no point of contact. At that moment, they had a silvery color like that of the moon. I would say that their diameter was somewhere between 30 and 40 centimeters."
"They moved several times, but each time it seemed that only the two lower ones were rotating. Just before they [all four] began to move, they lit up brightly, like suns. Then it looked as though they arranged themselves to move in circular fashion so as to return to their starting point. On stopping, they lost their brilliant color and regained the silvery one. During their rotation, they seemed slightly oval. I cannot say whether it was due to a new shape they assumed while in flight or to the oblique position they had assumed while moving. Each time they turned, they had that shape and that profusion of light. We observed them for about 20 minutes. After their final turn, they remained motionless in their initial position for several moments. Then they disappeared, headed in the direction from which we had come. What I saw was no aerolite, shooting star, or anything of the kind. It could only have been a man-made machine."

Lasimone then gave an equally detailed version, as follows:

"At about 2200 hours, we observed four halos of silvery light grouped in a square formation and located above light clouds. The night was very clear.

"At one point, the four halos were on the horizon, ranged in a line in the direction of Bouzoum. Suddenly, one of them lit up in vivid red, causing its shape to be distinguished. It was like a cigar, thicker in the fore section. The center section constituted about one third of the total length, and appeared opaque in the light, with perfectly symmetrical lines. Flying above the clouds, this object headed in our direction at considerable speed, about equal to that of an average plane. About 5 or 6 kilometers away, it halted without changing its lights and then climbed again, vertically. The stop was abrupt and the glow became silvery again. The other three on the horizon then began to move in the same way as the first and joined it to form a square once again."

REPORT "FLYING SAUCERS" SEEN IN SYRIA -- Athens, Le Messager d'Athenes, 19 May 53

The Damascus newspaper Al Abaya reports that "flying saucers" were seen recently above the city of Homs, Syria, coming from the south.

LUMINOUS OBJECT SEEN IN SKY OVER ABADAN -- Tehran, Etela'at, 20 May 53

This newspaper was informed by its correspondent in Abadan that at 1855 hours, on 18 May 1953, a luminous object was seen in the sky over Abadan. The object was reported to be as bright as the sun and to have the shape of a new moon (but several times larger than a new moon). It traveled extremely fast and could be seen for 20 minutes. It was also reported that the same object was seen over all the oil areas in Khuzistan.

- END -
DANISH DEFENSE LEADERS TAKE SERIOUS VIEW OF FLYING SAUCERS

[Comment: The information below is the full text of an article which appeared in the Stockholm daily, Morgen-Tidningen, on 13 July 1953. The leading Copenhagen dailies, Berlingske Tidende, Social-Demokraten, Politiken, and Information, during the period around the above date, did not carry the story.]

Copenhagen, 12 July -- Danish defense authorities take a serious view of the problem of flying saucers. The military experts are of the opinion that although most of the observations of flying saucers have turned out to be astronomical phenomena, there remain the reports of trained observers which, among other things, would seem to indicate that the saucers are dispatched from Soviet bases in the Arctic Ocean.

The Danish Air Force Command has recently turned over to the Defense Staff a report on various phenomena in the air over Denmark and the waters adjacent to Denmark. The report is based on observations from Danish Air Force radar stations. These observations are compared with information regarding the remote-controlled projectiles which have been traced in the atmosphere over the northernmost part of Norway and Finland. On the basis of these observations, the members of the Defense Intelligence Service have come to the conclusion that the projectiles could have been dispatched from a Soviet base on Novaya Zemlya in the Arctic Ocean.

The report discusses the incident in which an officer and seven privates from Karup Airfield on Jutland, on 12 November 1952, sighted an object in the air which resembled an aircraft, but which moved more rapidly than any known type of aircraft. The Danish observations are compared with a number of Norwegian observations, among them one which occurred in October 1952 when, according to the Norwegian Defense Staff and the Norwegian Navy, an aircraft of hitherto unknown design flew over the naval base at Horten at the entrance to Oslofjord. Another incident which is pointed out occurred on a maneuver
recently in northern Norway, when the crew of an anti-aircraft battery outside of Bodø observed a mysterious object at a great height. A jet plane was sent up, but it was not able to reach the object, which disappeared at a terrific speed.

The report of the Danish Air Force Command emphasizes that the "flying saucer traffic" over Scandinavia seems to be a fact of great aerotechnical interest.

- END -
UNIDENTIFIED AIRCRAFT REPORTED OVER SPAIN, GREECE, NORTH AFRICA

"FLYING SAUCERS" REPORTED SEEN OVER SPAIN -- Athens, Kathimerini, 19 Jul 53

Paris (Special Services) -- According to reports from Madrid, "flying saucers" were seen during the last few days in an unidentified area of Spain.

Witnesses stated that a luminous, circular object, emitting a red reflection and flying like a jet plane, was seen flying noiselessly in a northwest direction. Inhabitants of the village of San Martin Valdeiglesias also saw a luminous, spherical object, which disappeared toward the west after being observed for a considerable length of time.

SEE LUMINOUS, ROCKET-SHAPED OBJECT IN SKY OVER DRAMA -- Salonica, Makedonia, 13 Aug 53

It was reported from Drama, Greece, that a luminous, rocket-shaped object was noted at 2225 hours on 11 August 1953 in the southeastern part of the sky over that city. The object flew at a rather low altitude and was seen remaining stationary in the air for 3-4 minutes, after which it disappeared.

SEE STRANGE FLYING OBJECT NEAR CASABLANCA -- Athens, Eleftheria, 5 Sep 53

According to a report from Oued Zem, a town southeast of Casablanca, French Morocco, some of the inhabitants heard a thunderous noise on the night of 2 September 1953. The next day, two shepherds said they had seen a flying object, lit up in many colors and flying at great speed.
OBSERVE CIGAR-SHAPED CRAFT IN ALGERIA—Constantine, La Depeche de Constantine, 6 Nov 53

At 1400 hours on 4 November 1953, the inhabitants of Tenes, Department of Algiers, and the outlying region saw what appeared to be a cigar-shaped object flying from east to west. The following day, at 1300 hours, a similar object was observed flying over Montenotte. It was visible for over 20 minutes. It was said to have flown at a great altitude, from the southwest toward the northeast, and to have emitted an exhaust which dissipated quickly. "The flying cigar" had also been seen shortly before over the city of Algiers.
The information in this report was taken from three articles which appeared in the liberal Stockholm daily, Dagens Nyheter on 18, 19, and 20 December 1953. In the rendition of the first two articles (18 and 19 December), repetitions have been omitted. The third article is given in full.

Numbers in parentheses refer to appended sources.

The chief pilot for Transair Airlines, Flight Captain Ulf Christiernsson, and his flight mechanic, Olle Johansson, reported that on the afternoon of 17 December 1953, while flying in a DC-3 over Skane, they sighted a mysterious circular metal object flying in an opposite direction of them at a speed estimated at about the speed of sound. The airmen estimated that the object was about 10 meters in diameter.

Captain Christiernsson, at present employed by Transair in flying the morning [Stockholm] papers to southern Sweden, has very extensive air experience, having served as a volunteer with the RAF from 1942-1946, finishing his service with the rank of pilot. He flew 30 missions against enemy territory from North Africa and Italy.

Captain Christiernsson made the following statement to Dagens Nyheter: "I do not doubt for an instant that it was not a jet plane. What I saw was a completely unorthodox, metallic, symmetrical, round object which was unlike anything I have seen before. The mysterious object appeared suddenly on the air route traveled by all controlled air traffic between Bulltofta and Bromma airfields [at Malmö and Stockholm respectively]. I myself was en route to Bromma.
Over Håsleholm, I saw an object -- on my right and obliquely in front of me, flying at a somewhat lower altitude -- which at first I thought to be a jet plane. The silhouette was thin and it approached me at a very high velocity. Olof Johansson and I have discussed the matter of its speed, and comparing its speed with, for example, that of the "Flying Barrels" [J-29], we have estimated the speed to be about that of sound. The whole business took place fantastically quickly, but I believe that I was able to see the object for 4 to 5 seconds.

"When the object got closer, I was able to ascertain that it was symmetric and metallic. It is very difficult to describe something that one has never seen before, but I would say that it looked like a flying lozenge. The object did not seem to have a crew, but seemed more to be a robot. When it passed under the wing [of the DC-4], I could no longer see it. The mechanic, who was able to observe it for five more seconds, confirms the fact that it was circular or possibly somewhat elliptical in form. At the time of the incident, we were flying at an altitude of 2,150 meters and the cloud ceiling was about 1,500 meters. Thus, the object should have been flying at an altitude of between 1,500 and 1,600 meters. We estimated the size to be about 10 meters in diameter. It left no exhaust or condensation trail.

"We are both absolutely convinced that it could not have been a meteor or other celestial phenomenon. We did not see any distinct light but were only able to see that the object had a metallic lustre. Immediately after the observation, we reported to F5 in Ljungbyhed and to the regional civil air security service at Bullersta. The course of the object was south-southwest. The whole thing happened so quickly that we could not have managed to change course and follow the object.""

Olof Johansson's statement was as follows: "I was sitting forward in the right-hand seat of the pilot's cabin when Captain Christiansson pointed to the object. What I saw was an ellipse with sharp outlines and something between silver and white in color. I saw it for about 10 seconds. It was approaching from the north in a direction opposite to ours, at a speed of about 1,200 kilometers per hour. It was flying entirely above the clouds. From Malmo northward, we were able to see the ground for only a few myriameters and after that there was a cloud covering all the way to Stockholm. We were flying at a speed of about 270 kilometers per hour. Ten minutes after the incident, we met an SAS (Scandinavian Airlines System) DC-4. Thereupon, we estimated the object's speed at about three times that of the DC-4. There seemed to be no flames or smoke trail from the object. Since we had the automatic pilot on, we had no chance to turn quickly enough to see where the object went."

The Defense Staff's short communique on the incident read as follows:
"At 1457 hours on Thursday, the crew on a civilian commercial plane observed, in the vicinity of Håsleholm, an unknown object which moved at a high speed in a direction opposite to that of the plane. The object was viewed for 6 to 7 seconds. At the time of the incident, there was clear weather at the altitude at which the plane was flying. Because of the low cloud ceiling, the object could not have been seen from the ground. Within the knowledge of the Defense Staff, there was no Swedish plane in the area concerned at the time of the incident. Investigation continues."

Reports of "flying saucers" have arisen in both Europe and America on countless occasions in recent years but, according to a statement to Dagens Nyheter by a member of the Air Staff, these observations over Skane can be termed the clearest and most detailed which heretofore have been made regarding mysterious, unknown aircraft.(1)
Experts Say Object Was Daylight Meteorite

Both the Defense Staff and the Air Staff were working feverishly on Friday (18 December) to solve the mystery of the "flying lozenge." They arrived at no definite result, but the experts are mostly of the opinion that the observed object was a daylight meteorite. The possibility that it was a balloon or an unknown type of foreign aircraft is not ruled out, however. The theory of a meteorite was put forth by one of the persons in Sweden best acquainted with the region, Bertil Lindblad of the observatory in Lund.

According to Lindblad, precisely in December the earth passes a swarm of meteors known as the Geminids. The maximum occurred on 13 December. Studies of the meteors have shown that just at the time of day at which the object was seen -- about 1500 hours -- the meteors are approaching the earth at an angle of 3 degrees which means that their path is nearly parallel to the earth's surface. The direction of the meteors is from north to south with a displacement of 17 degrees which also seems to agree with the observations made. In space, meteors have a speed of 36 kilometers per second, but the speed is reduced very greatly when they come into the vicinity of the earth. It has not heretofore been possible to observe meteors during the day so it is not known how they look in daylight. When the earth passed the same meteor swarm last year, a lone meteor was observed some days after the swarm had disappeared. That meteor was plainly a straggler. It was observed at night, however, and therefore gave off a weak, red glow. It fell also at an entirely different angle since it was a different time of day.

Captain Kallenius of the Defense Staff stated that other possible explanations of the phenomenon have not been written off by any means. To be sure, so Swedish balloons have been sent up, but propaganda and weather balloons have been sent up from both Germany and Denmark. With the type of wind and weather prevailing in recent days, it would have been possible for a balloon to have been driven over Sweden. Captain Christiernsson and his mechanic, Olof Johansson, stated in their report that the speed of the object approached that of sound; however, there is great difficulty in judging speeds of suddenly appearing objects, even for experienced observers. Captain Kallenius commented that if a balloon prior to its observation had soared to a great altitude, it might have lost some of its gas, giving it a flat form.

In some quarters, Captain Christiernsson's report has been regarded as a prank. The Defense Staff, however, does not consider it a prank. Christiernsson, on returning from another flight to Malmö on Friday, gave heated assurances that his report was the absolute truth. He said, "To be sure, I joke a bit, but one does not joke about things like this. I saw something and reported my observations. What it was, I do not know."(2)

Object Stated to Be Advertising Balloon

The mysterious "flying lozenge" over Hässelholms was probably neither a meteor nor a robot weapon, it was more likely an advertising balloon for a Skane perfume firm. The object was observed at 1500 on Thursday (17 December) and on the same day between 1200 and 1300, Director Bertil Dahlström of Malmö had been up at Asphalt on Linderödsasen, some myriameters south of Hässelholms, to release 300 advertising balloons.

On Saturday evening (19 December) Dahlström telephoned Dagena Nyhet's Malmö office and said, "With all certainty, it is one of our balloons which the flyers saw. On Thursday-noon, we sent up over 300 balloons of various colors. Their sizes varied from 15 to 30 centimeters in diameter. According to what we know from previous occasions, the balloons ought to rise 1,000 meters and..."
maybe still higher, depending on the weather. To each balloon was attached an advertisement card which the finder was to return to the firm in Malmö in exchange for a bottle of cologne. Some cards have already been returned from finders with addresses in Hälleholm, so it is clear that the balloons were driven by the prevailing winds to the place where the flyers observed the mysterious lozenge.

As of Saturday evening, the Air Staff did not know about the Skaane balloons. On the other hand, the Air Staff had received a telephone call from another person in Malmö, radio technician Lundblad. On the day before the observation (16 December), he had sent up three weather balloons of a current model. The wind was so strong and in such a direction that it is not likely that any of the three balloons could have remained over Skaane. Meteorological balloons are from 1 to 2 meters in diameter.

Whether the perfume advertising balloons, which are considerably smaller than meteorological balloons, could, through angles of refraction and radiation of light, take on the appearance and size which Captain Christiernsson reported the object to be, is a question which cannot be answered until detailed calculations are made. Very likely, composite photographs and other techniques will be utilized to determine the matter.

No new observations have been reported during Saturday (19 December), and the experts are now working with the material which came in earlier. Telephone calls have been received from a number of persons with new theories, some of which will be tested. In the meantime, the balloon theory seems to have been strengthened through the latest reports from Skaane.(3)

**SOURCES**
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SIGHTINGS OF UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

PAKISTAN

FLYING SAUCERS OVER PESHAWAR -- Paris, Le Figaro, 23 Dec 53
- Two brilliant objects flying at an altitude of more than 4,700 meters were seen over Peshawar on the night of 21 December. The objects, coming from the southeast and thought to be flying saucers, disappeared in the direction of Afghanistan. Witnesses who saw the saucers said they emitted red and green flames. [The Salónica daily newspaper, Makedonia, in its 23 December edition reported substantially the same facts on this incident and added that in January 1953 similar objects were seen over Peshawar, headed over the Khyber Pass.]

UNION OF SOUTH AFRICA

FLYING SAUCER FOLLOWS MAN -- Capetown, Die Landstem, 28 Nov 53

Heretofore, Die Landstem has not been able to publish the full story of the incident described below, which occurred on 26 May 1953. The incident was reported by the observer to the Department of Defense in Pretoria. The latter acknowledged receiving the report but did not make it public.

Dr. D. Beyers of Uppington, while driving in his automobile from Capetown to Uppington, had reached a point about 80 miles south of Brandwlei (between Kenhardt and Calvinia), when, at about 0510 hours, he suddenly saw a bright yellowish green light which illuminated the clouds from behind. Shortly thereafter it came out from behind the clouds. Beyers stated that it was ten times as bright as any star he had seen. It moved up and down and sometimes also forward. The emitted light had the appearance of burning hydrogen. Also, the
object emitted three streaks of light which maintained a fixed position with respect to the main light. Beyers observed the phenomenon from 0510 until 0600. He added, "I was under the impression that the object was following me because each time I stopped the car to watch it, it increased its altitude."

DABOMÉY, FRENCH WEST AFRICA

LUMINOUS FLYING BODY SIGHTED AT DJOGOU -- Dakar, Paris-Dakar, 2 Nov 53

At about 2330 hours on 11 October 1953, many residents of Djougou in northwest Dahomey observed in the sky an oblong-shaped, luminous object flying at a high speed toward the north, at an altitude of about 1,500 meters and on a horizontal plane. This is the first reported sighting of a so-called flying saucer over Dahomey.

FRANCE

CELESTIAL DISK CHANGES FORM -- Bordeaux, Sud-Ouest, 12 Dec 53

At about 1545 hours on 9 December, Charles Huaut, a carpenter from Saint-Emilion, Gironde Department; observed a luminous, golden, round object poised motionless at a high altitude. After 10 minutes, it changed position noiselessly and assumed the form of several horseshoes, measuring about 100 meters in diameter, enveloped in smoke trails. Then the entire phenomenon disappeared. Several other persons reported having seen it at the time indicated above.

At approximately the same time as Huaut made his observation, a man named Bismean and his wife, from Burgers, Charente-Maritime Department, saw a round object in the sky. It glowed with the colors of the rainbow and flew at a great speed from north to south.

BRILLIANT WHITE DISK SIGHTED -- Nice, Nice-Matin, 12 Dec 53

Recently, Madet, a civil servant of Montlucon, Allier Department, sighted a brilliantly luminous white disk in the sky at approximately 2100 hours. It was visible for 2 minutes, then traveled off at a great speed and disappeared, without seeming to change its altitude, in the direction of the Auvergne Mountains. A short while later, Madet saw a red, crescent-shaped object which appeared to be three times as large as the moon in its first quarter. The object disappeared at a very great speed toward the southwest.

UNIDENTIFIED AIRCRAFT AT MARIGNANE AIRFIELD -- Nice, Nice-Matin, 9 Jan 54

Shortly after 2100 hours on 4 January, a round, luminous machine, coming from the south, landed at the Marignane airfield in Bouches-du-Rhône Department. There was only one witness present at the field. The machine disappeared while he was telephoning the control tower. Careful scrutiny of the runway in the morning turned up a few pieces of metallic debris. The witness' story was confirmed by that of a Marseilles resident who, driving from Arles to Marseilles saw a large, round, reddish, fiery ball in the sky, but placed the time at 2245 hours.
ROUND FLYING OBJECT SIGHTED AT LUNEVILLE -- Nice, Nice-Matin, 10 Jan 54

Three residents of Luneville, Meurthe-et-Moselle Department, claim to have seen a round object flying from north to south at about 0600 hours on 9 January. The object flew more slowly than a jet plane and left a luminous yellow trail. It flew noiselessly, although appearing to be at a low altitude. Several students of the College de Luneville also saw the object.

UNEXPLAINED PHENOMENON IN PUY-DE-DOME SKY -- Nice, Nice-Matin, 10 Jan 54

On the morning of 8 January, the residents of the small hamlet of Montbailix, in the commune of Chambon-sur-Lac, Department of Puy-de-Dome, were awakened by the sound of an airplane motor. The noise lasted from 0530 to 0730 hours. A large greenish flash was seen and the noise stopped. The Aix-en-Provence ground station had received no distress calls from aircraft.

EXPLOSION IN SKY BREAKS WINDOWS AT DIEPPE -- Stockholm, Dagens Nyheter, 8 Jan 54

Paris (IT-AFP) -- Inhabitants of Dieppe were awakened early on the morning of 7 January by a loud crash, accompanied by a dazzling light in the sky. The explosion was so powerful that doors were thrown ajar and many window panes were broken.

Up to now, no explanation for the phenomenon has been found. A railwayman in Orchies, near the Belgian frontier, claims that at about the same time as the explosion he saw a luminous flying saucer cross the sky at a very great speed.

SWEDEN, DENMARK

SWEDISH METEOR EXPERT REPORTS TO SWEDISH DEFENSE STAFF -- Stockholm, Dagens Nyheter, 27 Jan 54

Meteor expert Bertil Lindblad of the Lund Observatory has submitted a reassuring report to the Swedish Defense Staff, regarding the flying saucer which a Transair Airlines pilot, Capt Ulf Christiernsson, reported he saw on 17 December over Håsselholm and the large meteor sighted over southern Sweden, Bornholm, and Denmark on 9 January. [For information on the meteor, see last story in this section.] In this report, Lindblad, who holds that the Håsselholm flying saucer may well have been a meteor, "gave the scientific explanation, from a military point of view, of the suspicious fact that most of the balls of fire fly from the east."

UNUSUALLY LARGE METEOR SIGHTED OVER LARGE PORTIONS OF DENMARK -- Stockholm, Svenska Dagbladet, 6 Dec 53

Copenhagen, 5 December -- An unusually large meteor was observed today over large portions of Denmark at 1650 hours. The first reports came from north Jutland where the meteor was seen moving in a southerly direction at a very high altitude. It had a brilliant cigar-shaped nucleus and a long luminous trail. The sighting of a meteor at the same time as the sighting in Jutland was reported from Helsingborg, Sweden, from Bornholm, and from the area around the Danish-German frontier.
LARGE METEOR EXPLODES -- Stockholm, Dagens Nyheter, 10 Jan 54

A large ball of fire which was seen over large parts of Skaane, Blekinge, Smaland, and west coast of Sweden passed through the sky over Lund at a speed of about 10,000 kilometers per hour at about 1615 hours on 9 January. The ball disappeared in a westerly direction and emitted a long trail of sparks. According to reports from Denmark, the ball appeared to have exploded over Copenhagen, leaving a smoke formation which remained for 10 minutes. Some persons thought it was a jet plane and others thought it was a flying saucer. Reports streamed into the Swedish Air Force from all over southern Sweden.

According to Bertil Lindblad of the Lund Observatory who witnessed the phenomenon, there is no doubt that it was a meteor with an apparent diameter of one third that of the moon and a luminosity of the moon. The trail of the meteor was photographed at the observatory. Observer Nielsen, at the Ole Romer Observatory in Aarhus, Denmark, received reports of the meteor from Aarhus and Copenhagen. He is not of the opinion that it exploded over Copenhagen but thinks that the explosion might have taken place 100 kilometers away. [The article also contains a request by Bertil Lindblad that persons who saw the meteor submit written discriptions of it to him.]

LARGE METEOR EXPLODING OVER SOUTHERN HALLAND -- Stockholm, Dagens Nyheter, 13 Jan 54

The large meteor sighted on 9 January clearly exploded at a great altitude over southern Halland. Preliminary calculations show that the meteor was traveling in a general east-west direction and exploded in a shower of sparks in the forested area between Knared and Markaryd. [Above request by Bertil Lindblad repeated in this article.]

WEST GERMANY

FLYING OBJECT LEAVES TRAIL OF FIRE -- Hamburg, Hamburger Abendblatt, 7 Dec 53

On 5 December at 1640 hours, a strange flying object was observed over Bad Oldesloe [about 20 miles west of Luebeck]. Eyewitnesses report that it was flying at an altitude of 5,000 to 6,000 meters and from northeast to southwest. A trail of fire, as from a rocket, could clearly be distinguished at the rear and on the side of the object. A police patrol which observed the object stated that it was elongated and smaller than an airplane.
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WEST & ARE
COUNTRY: Non-Orbit
SUBJECT: Military - Unidentified aircraft
HOW PUBLISHED: Newspapers
WHERE PUBLISHED: As indicated
DATE PUBLISHED: 10 Dec 1953-2 Mar 1954
LANGUAGE: Various

THIS IS UNEVALUATED INFORMATION

NON-ORBIT SIGHTINGS OF UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS,
10 DECEMBER 1953-2 MARCH 1954

WESTERN EUROPE

[Briefing the first three items in this section may refer to incident cited in
CO-W-29903 in the item entitled "Explosion in Sky Breaks Windows at Dieppe"].

Belgium

BALL OF FIRE SEEN OVER BRUSSELS -- Liege, Le Monde du Travail, 10 Jan 54

On 7 January 1954 at 0420 hours, a Sabena pilot preparing to land at Mal-
broek saw a ball of fire low on the horizon, moving in a north-northwesterly
direction. The ball was white, edged with green, and was followed by a long
trail. The phenomenon illuminated the whole sky and the pilot supposed at the
time that it was a green rocket signal set off by the airport authorities.
Since the latter denied this, it was assumed that he had seen the same light
which was seen over Dieppe.

France

FIERY DISK SEEN AT ARRAS -- Casablanca, Le Petit Marocain, 8 Jan 54

At approximately 0457 hours on 7 January 1954, a fiery disk, followed by
a luminous trail, was sighted in Arras, Pas-de-Calais Department. An observer
stated that the disk remained motionless in the sky for an instant, after which
it flew away and disappeared over the horizon.
DIEPPE "FLYING SAUCER" CALLED METEORITE -- The Hague, Nieuwe Haagse Courant, 9 Jan 54

French (Paris) astronomers are convinced that the object which exploded over Dieppe recently was an exceptionally large meteorite.

CELESTIAL PHENOMENON AT GEMEAUX -- Basel, National-Zeitung, 11 Jan 54

(AFPI) -- For a few seconds, inhabitants of Gemeaux, Cote d’Or Department, recently [date and time not given] observed in the sky a red ball surmounted by a triangle. The phenomenon moved on without leaving a trail. The same observation was reported by several witnesses from Nouvelles-les-Champlitte and Langras.

NEAR EAST/AFRICA

Algeria

CIGAR-SHAPED OBJECT SEEN -- Douala, L’Eveil du Cameroun, 10 Dec 53

A cigar-shaped object flying toward the northeast at a high altitude and leaving a trail of smoke behind it was recently observed by thousands of people in several parts of Algeria.

LUMINOUS DISK SIGHTED OVER CONSTANTINE AREA -- Tunis, La Presse de Tunisie, 16 Jan 54

At 1030 hours on 12 January 1954, a "flying saucer" crossed the sky over Saint Arnaud, in the Constantine area. The phenomenon was witnessed by many persons, including Cumat [fn], director of the Ecole Clemenceau (Clemenceau School), and his staff and students. The weather was very good, and the visibility was excellent. The witnesses described the object as a luminous disk moving from west to east, leaving long trails similar to ribbons. Its speed did not appear to be great, but it was impossible to determine its altitude. It disappeared quickly over the horizon.

UNIDENTIFIED OBJECT OVER ALIENS -- Algiers, Le Journal d’Alger, 28 Jan 54

According to statements by several persons, a round, luminous, yellow-orange object appeared in the sky over Algiers at 1525 hours on 27 January 1954; it was moving in the direction of Cap Matifou. The object grew larger, until it was two or three times the size of the sun; then it diminished in size and disappeared on the horizon, sinking, seemingly, into the sea.
LATIN AMERICA

Uruguay

"FLYING SAUCER" OVER MONTEVIDEO -- Yes, Le Courrier du Maroc, 2 Mar 54

On 1 March 1954, numerous bathers at the beaches near Montevideo observed a "flying saucer," a sort of metallic disk emitting yellowish reflections. It remained stationary for 2 minutes at an altitude of several thousand meters.

- END -
"FLYING SAUCER" THEORIES AND EXPERIMENTS

DISCUSSES "FLYING SAUCERS" -- Dakar, Paris-Dakar, 12 Dec 53

In a recent issue of Forces Aeriennes Francaises, a monthly periodical published by the Comite d'Etudes Aeronautiques Militaires (Study Committee on Military Aeronautics), which is headed by General P. Fay, Chief of Staff of the Air Force, an article develops the idea that supersonic interstellar ships powered by cosmic energy are possible. The article was written by Lieutenant Planter of the Ecole de l'Air de Salon (Salon Aeronautical School). [Paris-Dakar describes the article in some detail and suggests that its acceptance by the periodical indicates that the French Air Force admits the existence of "flying saucers." The same article received coverage in the 26 November 1953 issue of the Beirut daily newspaper L'Orient.]

DEScribes SAUCER EXPERIMENTS -- Capetown, Die Landstem, 9 Jan 54

A German newspaper [not further identified] recently published an interview with George Klein, famous German engineer and aircraft expert, describing the experimental construction of "flying saucers" carried out by him from 1941 to 1945. Klein stated that he was present when, in 1945, the first piloted "flying saucer" took off and reached a speed of 1,300 miles per hour within 3 minutes. The experiments resulted in three designs: one, designed by Miethe, was a disk-shaped aircraft, 135 feet in diameter, which did not rotate; another, designed by Habermohl and Schreiver, consisted of a large rotating ring, in the center of which was a round, stationary cabin for the crew. When the Soviets occupied Prague, the Germans destroyed every trace of the "flying saucer" project [here] and nothing more was heard of Habermohl and his assistants. Schreiver recently died in Bremen, where he had been living. In Breslau, the Soviets managed to capture one of the saucers built by Miethe, who escaped to France. He is reportedly in the US at present.
FLYING DISK PATENTED -- Naples, Il Giornale, 12 Jan 54

According to a Genoa newspaper [not further identified], the patent office of the Genoa Chamber of Commerce has issued a patent for a flying disk to Scipione Mattolin, 38, a Venetian naval fitter residing in Genoa. The invention is patented as No 165 of Patent Register 125. The disk would cost in excess of 500 million lire; it could attain a speed of 3,000 kilometers per hour. Mattolin has offered his invention to Italy, but it has been turned down; he intends to emigrate and offer it elsewhere.

The Pes daily newspaper Le Courrier du Maroc, in its 12 January 1954 issue, stated that the disk will weigh 5 tons and will take off from a tower 18 meters high. It will consist of a disk-shaped plastic wing, an aluminum central sphere, and a cockpit containing two jet engines. The Stockholm daily newspaper Stockholms-Tidningen, on 12 January 1954, reported that Mattolin is in contact with US authorities.

LIBRARY SUBJECT & AREA CODES

- END -
SIGHTINGS OF UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS, 19 JANUARY-15 MAY 1954

WESTERN EUROPE

France

UNIDENTIFIED OBJECT OVER SAINT MEXANT -- Paris, Le Figaro, 18 Apr 54

On 15 April 1954, two residents of Saint Mexant, Corrèze Department, observed an elongated, cone-shaped object with two red and green lights at the base, flying at a high altitude from the northwest toward the southwest.

SIGHT UNIDENTIFIED OBJECT OVER PYRENEES -- Istanbul, Vatan, 28 Apr 54

Bayonne, 27 April 1954 (Anatolian Agency) -- On 26 April 1954, a group of persons taking a walk near Sare in the region close to the Pyrenees border observed a cigar-shaped object traveling rapidly at an altitude of 2,000 meters in the direction of Spain.

Sweden

SIGHTINGS OVER SWEDEN, NORTHERN NORWAY -- Stockholm, Dagens Nyheter, 14 May 54

On the morning of 13 May 1954, three persons in three different areas of Norrbotten Province, Sweden, observed a "brilliant, silver-colored sphere with a tail."
It was reported from Hammerfest, Norway, that on the same day, three bright objects passed over Kautokeino (in northern Norway) at great speed. They flew in V-formation and disappeared toward the southwest. A deputy constable who had observed them with binoculars stated that they flew so high that he could not ascertain their shape but that they were not ordinary airplanes. He added that they seemed to be red on one side and white on the other and appeared to be rotating.

Norway

UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS OVER NORTHERN NORWAY -- Copenhagen, Berlindagba. Tidende, 15 May 54

Oslo, 19 May -- Luftkommando Nord (Air Command North) has received a number of reports that indicate that the flying objects observed over Finnmark Province, Norway, at 1210 hours on 13 May were not conventional aircraft or meteorological balloons. Sheriff Oskol of Kautokeino, three of his deputies, and two clerks observed the three objects through binoculars for 4-5 minutes. Oskol described them as flying in formation at an altitude of about 2,000 meters, being triangular in shape (sic; probably a reference to the V-formation mentioned above), reddish brown on the underside and shiny on the upper, and moving with a rotary motion. They left no smoke. The weather was clear and visibility perfect.

NEAR EAST/AFRICA

Algeria

FLYING OBJECT REPORTED OVER THREE CONSTANTINE DEPARTMENT TOWNS -- Constantine, Le Depeche de Constantine, 19 Jan 54

At 1330 hours on 18 January, many persons in Saint Arnaud observed an object leaving a double trail of white smoke, describing an immense circle over the town. Several officers at La Remonte (military base nearby) even heard a strange sound made by the object, which seemed to have come from the north. (A similar observation had been made on 16 January, when the object had come from the west.) The meteorological station at Oued Hammine airport (near Constantine) reported that it had observed a double trail of smoke at 1400 hours but concluded that the smoke came from a plane flying at great altitude.

At 1430 hours, inhabitants of Setif saw an object arrive from the east, emitting bluish smoke trails and moving relatively slowly. After circling above the town for several seconds, it suddenly headed back in the direction of Saint Arnaud at great speed. Most of the spectators described it as being cigar-shaped and flying at high altitude.

Finally, at 1645 hours on the same day, a large, luminous, rectangular-shaped object was seen over Ouled Djellal (200 kilometers southwest of Setif) for over 30 minutes following a rectilinear course. The object came from the east and disappeared toward the west.
SIGHTING OVER WORCESTER -- Cape Town, Die Burger, 17 Apr 54

At 2000 hours on 16 April 1954, C. Born of Worcester saw what appeared to be a blood-red star in motion. When he looked through a telescope, he could also detect moving objects around the star. The "star" climbed obliquely at a very fast rate and moved upward with a jerky motion, alternately starting and stopping. It seemed to sway like a balloon and became brighter as it rose. Finally, the object headed north.

FAR EAST

British Malaya

SIGHTINGS OF CIGAR-SHAPED OBJECTS -- Istanbul, Son Posta, 15 Mar 54

Kuala Lumpur (Anatolian Agency), 14 March 1954 -- On 12 March, harbor workers at Swettenham sighted a flying object which resembled a cigar and which left a white trail behind it. The object, which slowly disappeared in the clouds, has not been identified.

Istanbul, Hurriyet, 19 Mar 54

Kuala Lumpur -- On 17 Mar 1954, a second cigar-shaped object was observed in the sky over certain portions of British Malaya. It was seen by residents of the towns of For Swettenham, Cheras Swettenham, and Cheras.

END
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ADDITIONAL WITNESSES TO PREVIOUS SIGHTINGS IN SWEDEN -- Stockholm, Svenska Dagbladet, 16 May 54

Iulea -- A snowstorm on 17 May made it impossible for the Geallivare police to investigate the celestial objects which were recently seen in various places in northern Norrbotten Province. The police do not think that the objects were meteorological balloons but do not have any theories to account for the sightings. The latest eyewitness account was given by Valdemar Ylinentalo from Kuusijärvi, who declared that he saw a silvery football-shaped object coming from the Finnish side of the boundary; it had a fiery tail 70-80 meters long and appeared to descend to earth about half a kilometer from where he was. Jenny Karlsson, another eyewitness, stated that she saw a sphere-shaped object attached to a meter-long rod pass her at a distance of 4-5 meters [sic] and come to earth in the forest, a short distance from her. No traces of this object have been found.

CESTELAL OBJECT TO BE DUG UP IN DENMARK -- Stockholm, Svenska Dagbladet, 26 May 54

In the near future, an investigation will be made to determine whether a flying object which landed in a field near Spjellerup about 6 months ago is a meteor, a shell, or some part of a "flying saucer." The object made a hole in the field about 3.5 meters deep and 25 centimeters in diameter and passed through a thick stratum of flint. The owner of the land pushed a long iron rod into the hole and determined that the object at the bottom is metallic. It is said that at the time the object descended to earth, there were quite a number of unidentified flying objects over the area. Excavation of the object will be performed with assistance from the military.
SIGHT UNIDENTIFIED CRAFT OVER TAURUS MOUNTAINS OF TURKEY -- Istanbul, Yeni Sabah, 7 May 54

Mersin, 6 May 54 -- Today, an unidentified aircraft was sighted by residents of the town of Mersin at approximately 1130 hours. The craft, which appeared over the Taurus Mountains, seemed to be about 10 meters in length and was traveling in a straight line. It was visible only for a few minutes and then disappeared.

UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS SEEN OVER WEST GERMANY -- Douala, L’Eveil du Cameroun, 27 Jun 54

Two unidentified flying objects were reported to have been seen recently for about 10 seconds by a PTT (Postes, Telegraphes, et Telephones, Post, Telegraph, and Telephone Service) engineer and by another person between Frankfurt and Darmstadt, near the Eben-Main Air Base. The objects were described as glowing disks, which descended almost vertically to a certain [unspecified] distance above the earth at high speed and then rose rapidly, describing a parabola. US radar did not pick up the objects.

New York, N. Y. Staats-Zeitung und Herold, 20 Jun 54

Employees of various air lines at the Duesseldorf airport recently observed a shiny, round object which approached from the south at high speed, then turned toward the west, and disappeared above the 6,000-meter overcast. An employee of the Condor aerial-advertising firm, who happened to be watching a skywriter of his firm at the time, called the object a "flying saucer" and stated that it could not possibly have been an airplane, balloon, or aerial measuring device. Several other airport employees confirmed his observation.

- END -
SIGHTINGS OF UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS
10 JUNE-15 JULY 1954

UNIDENTIFIED OBJECTS PHOTOGRAPHED IN FINLAND DURING AND AFTER ECLIPSE -- Helsinki, Helsingin Sanomat, 11 Jul 54

Several photographs of an unidentified object in the sky were taken in Helsinki during the eclipse on 30 June 1954. According to informed circles, a round object which appears on the photographs is not the moon or a cloud. One photograph differs from the others in that the upper edge of the object is illuminated as if the sun were shining on a hard surface. The center of the object appears as a dark blotch. (The photograph described is shown in source.)

Helsinki, Helsingin Sanomat, 12 Jul 54

A strange object was photographed in the sky in Maarianhamina immediately after the sun was in full view, following the eclipse on 30 June 1954. The cigar-shaped object appeared on several pictures taken consecutively. [A photograph of the object is shown in source.]

- REPORT LATER SIGHTING IN FINLAND -- Helsinki, Helsingin Sanomat, 15 Jul 54

On 14 July 1954, an unidentified, oblong object was sighted at Pulkkila, flying [southwest] in the direction of Haapavesi-Nivala. The object, which was flying very high, appeared grey from the rear and very bright from the side. The object was in view for several minutes and was flying with the speed of an ordinary plane.
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UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS FILMED IN NORWAY DURING ECLIPSE -- Oslo, Aftenposten, 6 Jul 54 (PM edition)

Color motion pictures of unidentified flying objects were obtained by photographer Johnny Bjoernulf during the recent total eclipse of the sun. The pictures, which were obtained from an airplane flying at an altitude of 4,500 meters, show two shining disks with condensation trails moving at great speed in the direction opposite to that of the plane. [Photographs are shown in source.]

UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT SIGHTED IN AUSTRIA -- Salzburg, Salzburger Nachrichten, 10 Jun 54

A contractor in Hallein, Austria, reported to the gendarmerie that he and two other men sighted a strange object in the sky over the Tennien Mountains shortly after midnight on 7 June 1954. The object was gleaming red and appeared to have a diagonal streak across it. It seemed to be about three times as large as Venus and moved spasmodically in a vertical and then a horizontal direction. The object was in view for 2 hours.

END
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UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT SIGHTED OVER NORWAY -- Oslo, Verdens Gang

During exercises by an antiaircraft battery at Stavern, an unidentified flying object appeared in the sky directly in the line of fire and was observed through powerful binoculars by 50 officers and men. The object was located at a considerable distance and at an angle of 30-40 degrees to the horizontal; it was round or slightly elliptical in shape, flat on the underside, and silvery on the upper side. It moved up and down slowly for 7 minutes and then moved away at a speed estimated at several times the speed of sound.

"FLYING SAUCERS" SIGHTED OVER WEST BERLIN -- Casablanca, La Vigie Marocaine, 5 Jul 54

Since May 1954, mysterious objects, described as "flying saucers," have been observed daily between 2200 and 2300 hours by various persons living in West Berlin.

"FLYING SAUCERS" OVER WEST GERMANY -- Hamburg, Hamburger Abendblatt, 7 Aug 54

Several inhabitants, the crew of the local weather station, and a police officer on light duty at headquarters observed three "flying saucers" over the city of Darmstadt. They appeared at around 2200 hours [presumably on 6 August], were of a fiery yellowish-red color, and seemed alternately to stand still and dart back and forth soundlessly. They were observed for about 1 1/2 hours.

APPRENTED FOR RELEASE
Several people also report having seen two "flying saucers" over Schleswig between 2200 and 2315 hours. They were described as faint, fiery red points of light, which finally approached close enough to be made out as disks. Greenish-white rays are reported to have been emanated from the center of the disks.

"FLYING SAUCERS" OVER SOUTHERN GERMANY -- New York, N.Y., Staats-Zeitung und Herold, 25 Aug 54

Two "flying saucers" were seen over the city of Bastatt several days ago, shortly after 2200 hours, by at least 2 dozen witnesses. The saucers passed over the city from West to East within a few seconds. The two disk-like objects were lighted like white neon lamps and made no noise. The radar sets at the nearby airfield of Boellingen, where Royal Canadian Air Force jet fighters are based, failed to pick them up, because of their high altitude. There have been several cases of sightings of "flying saucers" over Southern Germany recently.

"FLYING SAUCERS" OVER SWITZERLAND -- N.Y., Staats-Zeitung und Herold, 29 Aug 54

According to the DPA (Deutsche Presse Agentur, German Press Agency), a number of Swiss, including members of the Swiss Air Force, have reported "flying saucers" to the authorities during the past few days. Five objects, flying in formation, were reported over the area between Lake Constance and the Saentis Mountain range. [The 19 August 1954 issue of the Casalantca newspaper Le Vigie Mercantile reported that five saucers were observed over Lake Constance on 13 August.]

At Chur, a similar phenomenon was observed on three successive evenings, when bright objects were seen flying at an altitude of about 3,000 meters.

SEES "FLYING SAUCER" IN CENTRAL FRANCE -- Paris, L'Aurore, 19 Aug 54

Claud Coche, an industrial designer residing in the town of Vincennes, declared that in the afternoon of 17 August 1954, he had seen a "flying saucer" in the sky over Montlucon, Allier Department. He described what he saw as a luminous, brilliant white, disk-like object. The phenomenon was visible for several seconds before it was hidden by a cloud.

REPORT "FLYING CIGAR" AND "SAUCERS" IN NORTHERN FRANCE -- Paris, Le Figaro, 27 Aug 54

A 25-year-old man of Vernon, Eure Department, reported that during the night of 22 August 1954, he had seen, motionless in the sky, a large, luminous, cigar-shaped object, from which a flying saucer detached itself, assumed a vertical position, descended a short distance, leveled off, and silently disappeared at great speed. He stated that this silent appearance and flight was repeated in turn by four other saucers. The fifth saucer descended farther than the others and was clearly red in the center and black along the edge. [By the time the last flight was completed, the "cigar" had long since "volatilized" (sic). The entire phenomenon lasted about 45 minutes.]

Two constables of Vernon also reported having seen an elongated, luminous flying object during that night.
UNIDENTIFIED OBJECT OVER LYON -- Dakar, Paris-Dakar, 2 Sep 54

At 2035 hours on 31 August 1954, Henri Tardy is reported to have seen a flying object in the shape of a short, fat cigar flying from east to west over Lyon. The object was bluish-green in color and emitted sparks from its tail. It disappeared in a few seconds toward the radio beacon on Mount Verdun.

UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT OVER FRENCH EQUATORIAL AFRICA -- Lisbon, Diario de Manha, 21 Jul 54

Brasaville, 19 July (ANT) -- Monsignor Verhille, Apostolic Vicar of Fort Roussart, reported in the Brasaville newspaper La Semaine de l'AFR that he and others had sighted an unidentified flying object over Leketi in the Likabla-Kossaka region of the Middle Congo on 18 June 1954 at 1935 hours. A luminous globe, it came from the north toward the Leketi Mission. It suddenly stopped, rose and dropped, stopped again, gyrated, and seemed to shake. A noise like that of an airplane engine, heard until that moment, also stopped. Seen through binoculars, the object had a dark mass in the center with light rays of unequal length coming out of it alternately. It went through its rising, falling, and stabilizing movements for 15 minutes, then shot back over the northern horizon.

UNIDENTIFIED OBJECTS OVER SOUTHERN RHODESIA -- Leopoldville, Le Courrier d'Afrique, 25 Jul 54

J. H. Flanagan (a policeman) and some friends recently observed six unidentified objects in the sky over Enkeldoorn, south of Salisbury. The objects, which were almost immobile, were visible for about 20 minutes but disappeared when night fell.

END
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SIGHTINGS OF UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS,
21 JULY-20 SEPTEMBER 1954

WESTERN EUROPE

Austria

"FLYING SAUCER" SIGHTED OVER BREGENZ -- Vienna, Neue Wiener Tageszeitung, 21 Aug 54

Bregenz, 20 August -- Three inhabitants of Hard, on the Lake of Constance, observed a "flying saucer" at about 2045 hours on 19 August. A carpenter named Fessler, his son-in-law, and Kloscher, a teacher, described it as follows: a small gleaming disk-shaped object, about twice as large as Venus, came from the southwest, flying in the direction of Pfänder. Suddenly, it turned and went in the opposite direction, making some abrupt zigzags over Bregenz. After about 2 minutes, it disappeared into a bank of clouds.

Finland

"FLYING SAUCER" OVER HELSINKI -- Paris, Franc-Tireur, 15 Sep 54

Several people report having seen a circular flying object over Helsinki, on the night of 14 September. The object, flying at an altitude of 900 meters, gave off an intense light and left a trail of reddish smoke about three times its diameter. It was visible for about 7 seconds.

APPROVED FOR RELEASE
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France

MANY PERSONS SEE UNUSUAL OBJECT OVER PARIS -- Paris, Le Parisien Libere, 3 Sep 54

Julyet, a resident of Paris, reported that on 30 August, he had seen a luminous, circular object flying over the city. After his report appeared in the press, many other persons [source omits names and addresses] reported that they had witnessed the phenomenon on the same day. Some of the reports indicate that the object was headed south-southeast, while other reports indicate a northeast direction; but, according to Claire Cordier and Andre Greffier, two natives of Angers, the object disappeared toward the north. [Claire Cordier reported a "disk" flying over her home town as well; see below.]

"FLYING DISK" SIGHTED IN WESTERN FRANCE -- Algiers, Alger Republican, 4 Sep 54

A disk-shaped object was [no date given] over several kilometers by various persons simultaneously, at about 2020 hours, flying over Angers and Livon-d'Angers, both in Maine-et-Loire Department, and over Chateau Gontier, Mayenne Department. The object was generally described as being round and emitting a smoke trail of an odd hue or green. According to Claire Cordier, a nurse in Angers, the object gave off a soft drone, and its glow was reminiscent of the light in neon tubes. The phenomenon was visible for several minutes.

UNIDENTIFIED OBJECTS SEEN IN SOME DEPARTMENT -- Dijon, Paris-Dijon, 10 Sep 54

A 27-year-old mason and his assistant report that recently (on ? September; see below) they saw a gray object resembling a truncated millstone with a large inverted dome hanging on it in a field about 200 meters from the road where they were incidentally near Acheux-en-Galinois, Yonne Department. The object oscillated slowly and seemed to have a closed door on its side. When the men had run about 50 meters to the left of it, the object began to fly away. Smoke was then visible from a sort of exhaust pipe on the underside. After an oblique flight of about 15 meters, the object rose vertically and disappeared. Police later found no traces of the object.

Paris, Le Parisien Libere, 14 Sep 54

Emile Renard, a mason, and Yves de Guillermont, his assistant, saw what they considered to be a "flying saucer" at 0.15 hours on 7 September. Both men are known to be very reliable. They stated that the object appeared never touched ground, but hovered above the ground like a helicopter. It was about 10 meters in diameter and 3 meters in height, did not glow, and departed noiselessly, trailing smoke as it took off. They saw the object for at least 3 minutes.

[Source contains three crude sketches of the object drawn by these men.]

"FLYING DISK" SIGHTED IN AISNE DEPARTMENT -- Paris, Le Parisien Libere, 16 Sep 54

At about 0030 hours on 7 September, Robert Chovel and his wife and father-in-law were driving home from the theater in Hirson, Aisne Department, when they saw a luminous, red-orange "disk" flying above the railroad track. The "disk" stopped suddenly, across the road, 30-40 meters from the ground. It
seemed to have on its upper side a small luminous tail forming an integral part of the object.

When the traveler reached the bridge at Biure, they saw what they believed to be a "flying saucer" increasing its altitude; as soon as the car lights beamed on it, the object started flying at great speed toward La Herie, 3-4 kilometers away, and disappeared.

UNUSUAL OBJECTS SIGHTED OVER NORMANDY -- Paris, Franc-Tireur, 16 Sep 54

At 0800 hours on 15 September, according to the report of Leclerc, a newspaper agent in Carpiquet, Calvados Department, a white point of light appeared in the sky and grew rapidly in size. It was oval-shaped and had unusual brilliance. The phenomenon lasted 2 minutes. The witness stated that he was not subject to hallucinations.

A similar phenomenon was reportedly seen by a farmer in Thanon, also in Calvados Department.

BELIEVE "FLYING SAUCER" PILOTS WERE SMUGGLERS -- Paris, Franc-Tireur, 16 Sep 54

[The following is additional information on reports of two cases cited in the Field roundup of 14 September 1954.]

Two of the alleged recent landings [on 10 September] in France of "flying saucers" are considered by the local air police to have been nothing more than the landing of planes used in smuggling. Furthermore, in one case, the farmer in Corrèze Department stated that the pilot uttered unintelligible words, yet the farmer was certainly no polyglot and could easily have been fooled. In the other case, in Valenciennes Department, the witness may have been sincere, but it should be noted that he had a cranial trauma 1 year ago and several nervous disturbances since. It is true, however, that in the latter case, the air police found four unusual marks on the railroad ties near the spot indicated by the witness, marks that could not have been made by the tools of railroad workers.

ITALY

STRANGE FLYING OBJECT SEEN IN TUSCANY -- Paris, Franc-Tireur, 1, Sep 54

Grosseto, 16 September -- Two inhabitants of Poggio Piana report the sighting of a round, white object making a strange, loud noise, flying near Grosseto in Tuscany, on 16 September. The object came to a standstill and then disappeared at high speed.

MAMMOTH OBJECT OBSERVED OVER ROME -- Dakar, Paris-Dakar, 20 Sep 54

Rome, 18 September (AFP) -- On the afternoon of 17 September, the control tower at the Ciampino air base observed a mysterious object shaped like half a cigar flying slowly at an altitude of about 1,200 meters. The object, leaving a trail of luminous smoke, was visible for about 40 minutes. Those watching the tower watched the object make a 400-meter dive and then regain its former altitude, moving from a horizontal to a vertical position. As the object took off toward the sea, the Ciampino tower notified the Pratica di Mare control tower,
30 kilometers from Roos, which picked up the object and followed it on its radar screen for about 20 minutes. The radar showed the presence of an antenna located at the center of the widest part of the object.

The Netherlands

"FLYING SAUCERS" OVER THE HAGUE -- Asterdam, De Telegraaf, 6 Aug 54

Ten firemen and their chief observed two "flying saucers" over The Hague on the night of 4 August between 2300 and 2400 hours. The objects were seen in the clear night air at a high altitude. They moved at incredible speed, at times remaining motionless for as long as 30 seconds. They were described as being flat ovals with a whitish-gray light. All of the observers agreed that the objects could not have been aircraft or balloons.

NEAR EAST/AFRICA

Turkey

SIGHT UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS OVER IZMIR PROVINCE -- Nichelia, Balkin Sezi, 31 Jul 54

Izmir, 30 July (Turkish News Agency) -- It has been reported that unidentified flying objects have recently been observed in the sky over Izmir Province. These objects, which have been sighted by thousands of persons in the city, appear as ovals or triangles and have been reported by many witnesses.

Izmir, Yeni Asir, 18 Aug 54

Op 17 August, at approximately 1000 hours, as the Ayvalik-iard was approaching the Bergama road intersection, passengers observed a silver-blue oval object in the air. Mustafa Tuncer, one of the passengers, stated that the object appeared to be very close to the ground and that it emitted a moaning sound, rose, and disappeared.

UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS OVER TURKELI PROVINCE -- Istanbul, Cumhuriyet, 21 Aug 54

Izmir, 27 August -- At approximately 1000 hours today, two unidentified flying objects were observed traveling at an altitude of 6,000 meters. One of the objects, which appeared from southwest of the town of Izmir, maintained its course and left in a northeasterly direction. The other object first rose to a considerable height and then disappeared in the same direction.

Uganda

"FLYING SAUCERS" SEEN IN EAST AFRICA -- Paris, Le Parisien Libere, 14 Sep 54

Kampala, 13 September -- A civil servant stated that he and several unspecified individuals saw a strange golden ball which appeared to be smaller than the moon yet larger than a star.

Several other inhabitants in East Africa also claim to have seen "flying saucers" recently.
FAR EAST

Singapore

STRANGE LIGHT SEEN OVER AIRFIELD -- Calcutta, Jugantar, 6 Sep 54

A mysterious light traveling at high speed was observed over Singapore on the night of 6 September. Several persons reported this sighting to the British aviation authorities at Calcutta (sic; Kallang?) Airfield. A British official said that at least six persons reported seeing the light. Rosemary Whitley, wife of a government radio engineer, said that she saw a huge white light traveling at a very high speed over the airfield.

END
INFORMATION REPORT

COUNTRY: At Sea - North Atlantic

SUBJECT: Unidentified Airborne Object

PLACE ACQUIRED: --

DATE ACQUIRED BY SOURCE: 4 Aug 50

DATE OF INFORMATION: 4 Aug 50

SOURCE: reported the following observations at 10:00 am EDT on 4 Aug 50 at

35° 35' North, 72° 24½' West.

---

1. On 4 Aug 50 at 10 am my ship, while on a heading of 245° true, with a smooth sea and clear weather, visibility 14 miles, barometer reading 30.03, was underway from Walton Nova Scotia, an East Coast US port. I was in the chart room just aft the bridge when Third Mate, who was at mid-bridge checking the compass, shouted that there was a flying object off the starboard bow. I immediately ascended the conning tower and by this time the object was on our starboard beam. It was traveling on a reciprocal course to ours about 50 or 100 feet above the water at an estimated speed of over 25 mph. From the conning tower I observed it with my binoculars for a period of approximately a minute and a half when it disappeared into the horizon in a north-easterly direction. I would estimate that the closest it approached my ship was one thousand feet and it was an oval, cylindrical shaped object the like of which I have never seen before. The object was quite small and I would judge that its diameter was approximately 10 feet. It had depth but to what extent I was unable to observe. The object made no noise and as it passed abeam our ship it appeared to pick up considerable speed. It was not flying smoothly but impressed me as having a churning or a rotary motion. It had a shiny aluminum color and sparkled in the sunlight.

2. I was on the main deck, port side, just forward of the bridge when the Third Mate shouted there was an object on our starboard bow. I looked off to the starboard and saw an object of elliptic shape looking like half an egg cut lengthwise traveling at a great rate of speed on a course reciprocal to our own. I immediately ran to the stern, port side, and with my glasses was able to observe the object disappearing into the horizon. From the time I was first alerted to its presence until it disappeared from sight, 35 seconds elapsed. I believe that it was traveling at a tremendous rate of speed, possibly faster than 500 mph. During the time I saw it, it was approximately 70 feet off the water and I judge it was approximately 10 miles away. I clearly saw its shadow on the water. I last observed it off the starboard quarter and it seemed to be increasing its speed and ascending. It had an elliptic shape and I could clearly see that it had three dimensions. It wobbled in the air, made no noise, and was a metallic white in color. The length was approximately six times the breadth and its belly had a depth of possibly 5'.
3. At 10:00 am on 4 Aug 50 as I was checking the compass at mid-bridge through a bridge port hole, I observed a flying object off the starboard bow. I immediately shouted to the Captain, who was in the chart room, and the Chief Mate, who was below on the port deck, of my observation and went out on the flying bridge myself. The object was approximately 70° above the horizon at a distance of 12 miles. It came toward us, then ran on a course reciprocal to ours and turned off into the horizon in the northeast. I clearly saw its shadow on the water. My impression of the object was that it was elliptical, not unlike a Japanese diamond box kite in shape. I have no idea of its size but the length was about six times the breadth and it had a depth of from two to five feet. It made no noise and was traveling at a tremendous rate of speed. As it traveled through the air, it made a spinning or wobbly motion. After it disappeared in the horizon, I saw it reappear several seconds later, ascending at an even faster speed than when I first observed it. I have no idea what this object was, I never saw anything comparable to it before, and it was one of the most frightening experiences I have ever had. I roughly estimate that the object traveled 28 miles during the 15 seconds I had it under observation.

[Collector's Note] The Chief and Third Mates were interviewed on 8 August by two Intelligence Officers. The Captain, who was absent at that time, was interviewed on 9 August by only one of the two Intelligence Officers. In describing the occurrence, the Chief and Third Mates reenacted their behavior at the time of sighting, and the period from the time the Chief Mate saw the object absen until he reached the after deck and saw it disappear off the starboard quarter was timed at 15 seconds. In laying the angles of observation out on a chart and assuming the object was ten miles distant and taking the time into account, it is evident it was certainly traveling at a very high rate of speed, which approximated 400 to 500 miles per hour. It will be noted that there is a tremendous discrepancy between the Captain's estimate of the speed and the estimate of the two officers which could not be explained as they were very careful in making their statements and asserted that their observations had been correct. All three men were quite evidently very much upset by the sighting. Aside from the discrepancies, it was quite evident to the Intelligence Officers who interviewed these men that they had certainly seen some very unusual object which they could not identify but was just as certainly not any conventional type of aircraft.

- end -
SOURCE: second interrogation.

1. During the period from May to September 1947 three light phenomena happening at intervals of about 15 minutes were seen almost every night between 9 and 10 p.m. local time. The phenomena were watched from the FJ camp in Khasha Aral, about 50 km southwest of Tashkent (41°18'N/69°15'E), U.S.S.R., in an east-southeasterly direction.

2. A darkened ball of fire was seen first; after about six seconds it reached the apex of a long-drawn out trajectory. During this time the ball had developed a trail of fire. Its color, which was bright red at the apex point, changed in color from pale green to white. Smoke trails, noises, or detonations were not noticed.

3. The distance of the trajectory from the point of observation was estimated at 60 to 80 km. The trajectory ran approximately from southwest to northeast; its length to the apex, which was from 5,000 to 12,000 meters high, was estimated at about 80 km. At the apex the ball of fire seemed about one-fifth the diameter of the full moon.

Field Comment: The measurements stated with respect to the trajectory must be received with reserve. It must be considered that neither the discharge nor the noise developed by the projectile were heard. For trajectory of phenomena, see annex.

**Washington Comment: This is a reinterrogation of the informant of 50-49149x.

1 Annex: One sketch showing trajectory.
Trajectory of Fire Balls Noted East of Tashkent

Fire ball trail of fire
point of extinction
trajectory box up point

horizon

1/2 1/2 1/2
4. "On July 24 at about 6:30 a big formation of 'flying saucers' was seen above
Amber. Mrs. [redacted] and her four children, two sons of 16 and 13 and two daughters
of 12 and 10, saw them coming in 'V' formation from the North and they could see
them for several minutes before they suddenly disappeared to the South."

- end -
1. On a warm, starry and moonlit evening in August 1953, three flying objects were observed moving over Ayuts F7 camp which was 30 km southeast of the southern perimeter of Shakhly, about 600 meters west of the Kostrov-Boroshakhtinsky highway, about 3 km southeast of the Ayuts mines and about 3,5 km east of Ayuts. The first flying object was observed at about 21:49, the second at about 22:45, and a third one was observed by fellow F7 at about 23:45. The objects moved from southeast to northwest, at a deviation of 70 to 75 degrees from the vertical line, and crossed approximately north of the camp. The fiery gleam accompanying the object disappeared over the partially lighted installations of the Ayuts mine. While moving over the camp, the course of the object deviated from the vertical line by about 70 degrees to the north. The flight altitude could not be estimated. No sound was heard while the objects were overhead.

2. The speed of the phenomena could not be compared with that of aircraft or Soviet jet fighters. The first object was observed departing toward the Ayuts mines for 3 or 4 seconds. The second body was seen for 6 or 7 seconds after passing the camp. It also disappeared in the direction of the Ayuts mine. No statements could be made about the third object. The two objects observed had a fiery gleam in a reddish color which was similar to that of planet Mars. It looked like a coast or shooting star. The approach of the phenomenon was not observed.

3. On the day following the evening of observation, the individual F7s differed considerably in their opinions about the phenomenon. Immediately after the observations, source and sub-source believed that the objects observed were rockets, similar to V weapons. After the objects had disappeared, no detonations were heard.

[Signature] For location of Ayuts F7 camp, see Annex.
2. **Field Comment.** All previous reports on flying objects observed in the Shakhly area mentioned that loud noises accompanied the action. The description of the noises indicated that the body was propelled by a rocket or fitted with a pulse-jet engine. The objects mentioned in the present report possibly flew at such a high altitude that the sound of the engines could not be heard. Another possibility is that a different phenomenon was observed, which, however, appears rather improbable.

3. **Field Comment.** The observation reported agrees with previous information and indicates that the body was propelled by a ramjet or a rocket engine.
1 2 3 4

1. Dou session
2. Aywa mine
3. Aywa river
4. Narrow Ganterside highway 1 mile, from south to north, flanked by
other roads for horse-rawn vehicles. Construction work on the section
south of Shadily was started in October 1959. The highway section was
opened for traffic in October 1951. A temperature of over +25 deg-
the highway was blocked by military units. The highway had a course
traversing the soil of the army which used modern road construction
techniques.

Auxiliary airfield, now under cultivation.
At about 2000 on 12 or 13 September 1945, a glow was observed from F4 Corp Field near Khorosha, south-west to north-east of the city at a corrected altitude of 1,000 to 1,500 meters and a constant speed. The weather was clear and cloudless.

The glow of fire was yellowish red in front and became dim red to the rear, but its shape did not change in the rear. No smoke was observed nor were sounds heard. The glow was observed for about 3 seconds during which it covered a distance of about 1,250 meters. This was not confused with an aircraft because no air activity was conducted during the period of observation.

Field report: The information confirms recent observations of unidentified flying objects in the Khorosha area.
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Sighting of Unconventional Flying Object

DATE DISTRIBUTED

8 NOV 55

PLACE ACQUIRED

4 Oct 55

THESE IS UNEVALUATED INFORMATION

SOURCE (A)

1. All tickets and reservations for us were arranged by [OMITTED]. The trip from
[OMITTED] to [OMITTED] being part of our itinerary approved by [OMITTED] officials. We
originally were scheduled to depart from [OMITTED] on 4 Oct 55
but due to a change in train schedules we were delayed and actually departed
on a later train which had better accommodations. Departing from [OMITTED]
at about six [OMITTED] o'clock, we traveled south at a speed of 25-30 mph, reaching
[OMITTED] before dark. After stopping at [OMITTED], our direction of travel
changed from south to west. At 7:10 o'clock exactly ten minutes after leaving
[OMITTED] and 15 or 20 minutes before our arrival at [OMITTED],
we noted the party who was in an adjoining compartment rushed in and exclaimed, "I
see it! I just saw a flying saucer!" We had been talking and had not looked
outside for some time. When we turned our attention to the window we did not
see anything unusual as the light was on in the compartment and it was twilight
outside.

2. We turned the light off as quickly as possible. At this time, I saw a shadowy
form above the horizon to the south. Its profile was not clearly outlined
against the sky but appeared to be shaped like a partially deflated balloon
with a slight discus on top. When I first saw it, it was off the ground and
rising rather slowly in a vertical direction. There were two sources of light
connected with the object, a white light on top and on the perimeter, a glow
which was about the same color as an aircraft engine exhaust with a
trace of pink. I have no estimate of its distance from us, possibly 1-2 miles.
From this perspective it appeared to be about the size of a balloon which is
rather stubby for fighter aircraft. It continued to rise vertically with the
light moving slowly around the perimeter in a clockwise direction giving the
appearance of a pinwheel. When it reached an altitude of 10-15 degrees
above the horizon, the spinning stopped, the glow remaining fixed on the side away
from us, and it then moved toward us at tremendous speed passing over the train.
I would estimate that it was much faster than a jet plane doing a fly-by.
The light on top disappeared as it came toward us. The object did not seem to
change size to any great extent as it came toward us. My viewing position at
the window, incidentally, was approximately six feet above the level of the
railroad track. I am six feet tall and I remember that once while standing
beside the train my head came up to the bottom of the window. When I was viewing
the object I was in a stopped position and was looking out of the bottom of the window.

APPROVED FOR RELEASE

Date

750...72
3. About 15-30 degrees to the left of the point at which I first saw the object, were two white lights which appeared to be searchlights. These were visible, one of which was directed parallel to the ground, the other one being at an angle to the ground. They did not move and apparently were used for ground illumination.

4. The object which I saw could not have been either a rocket or missile; 

5. Our itinerary included a trip from . . .

The incident described in this report was observed by four persons. 

The estimated time of departure from this place seems to be an error as it is approximately 36 miles from here to the point of observation of this object, whereas the average train speed was not in excess of 30 miles per hour. The train required to traverse this distance was in excess of one hour and ten minutes. An earlier time of departure is further indicated by the fact that one of the passengers took some color photographs in sunlight in [Redacted], just before departure.

The object was on the right side of the car, the compartments on the left. The only other compartments that were the passenger, a couple, a young couple and a girl, all about 27 years old. The general started about 6:30 stating that he had to get off at 2:30 o'clock in the morning. We did not see him again.

6. One of our party had retired to his compartment at about 6:15, the other two and I remained talking. Until about 7:00 o'clock we travelled parallel to 

7. I did not see anything immediately but in a second or so I saw what appeared to be two eyes or lights associated with something coming up vertically. I looked to the left and saw a stationary object which resembled a searchlight. It had a reddish glow but did not seem to project a beam. I then looked back at the car and noticed the object which appeared to be coming slowly toward us slightly above the horizon. I could not distinguish any shape or profile but it had one bright light and one dim one in the middle. I do not think it could have been a plane. It came toward us very slowly, lasting about one minute. It was 6-7 seconds from the time I saw it until it disappeared. There was no sound heard over the noise of the train. I rushed out of the compartment to the window in the corridor on the other side of the car but could see nothing.
1. At 1630, 4 Oct 55, I boarded a train at 4 Oct 55 in the company of one, one of whom shared a Wagon-Lit compartment with me; the other two occupied the adjoining compartment. The train ran very slowly, making every stop; I would estimate its overall speed at 20 mph. Exactly two hours and forty minutes out of 4 Oct 55, one of our group in the compartment next door entered my compartment and said, "Did you see that out there? I just saw a flying saucer." I and my compartment companion were about to laugh it off when the man from next door pointed out of the window again, and then we all saw the following sight.

2. On the left-hand side of the train, between the train and the field, there was a large air field. The evening was dark but clear. A huge search light illuminated the field itself. On a triangular object on the ground, which we would say was probably not more than two miles distant from the railroad. (Collector's comment: Source first estimated that the air field was about five miles away but, on further reflection, changed the distance to the object to two miles.) The size of the object was comparable to that of a 4 Oct 55 jet fighter, with a squat shape and in the form of an equilateral triangle. There were three lights on the object, one on each point of the triangle, presumably two wing lights and a tail light. As we watched, it was ejected from its launching site, making not less than three and not more than seven fast spirals in the air, after which it climbed extremely fast at about a 45 degree angle. We watched it climb and saw it reach a high altitude; the search light followed it all the way.

3. I wish to emphasize that this was no ordinary take-off but a launching procedure more like a missile ejection. Our companion from next door reported that this was the second launching in rapid succession.

4. I believe that the train at the time of the sighting was between 50 and 65 miles south of 4 Oct 55. While the four of us were still watching the object ascending, the steward came in and pulled down the blinds. When I began to protest the steward pointed toward the rear of the car and shook his head...
5. Our party had wished to make this part of the journey by air, but we were informed by [REDACTED] that there were no flights between [REDACTED] and [REDACTED]. It was the [REDACTED] people were surprised that our party had not flown and said that there were several flights a day.
In early November 1953 we received a letter from Mr. K. of Liege, Belgium, reporting retail and delivery information on a short, compact, 6x6 telescope which he manufactures for horticulturists. The following paragraphs are taken from Mr. K's letter:

As you Americans do not want to tell us what the so-called 'flying saucers' are, and show all, what they want (and this may be very important in the future), I sued your excellent telescopes for myself and my observation group which I am just starting.

"The UFOs (Unidentified Flying Objects) are coming more and more over this country, and even perhaps to the very nature of this land and its beautiful nights, we have less now as the sky than the busy Americans.

"Now telling us the primes, please remember that the packing must be almost zero-plated, because otherwise the local venditata may destroy the telescopes even before it reaches us."

End
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SOURCE

This is UNEVALUATED INFORMATION

1. In November 1955 I received a letter from my niece in Budapest. This letter contained the following interesting item (free translation):

   "The so-called flying saucers (rockets) [sic] for several weeks kept the people in a nervous state. These very fast speed flyers kept scientific groups very busy. I'm sure you heard already from the papers, 15 thousand km per hour was estimated on these flyers."

2. Included with the letter was the following sketch indicating the formation and suspected course of the above objects:

   [Sketch of objects and their movement from Budapest to Moscow]

3. This is the first time my niece has mentioned such objects in her letters.

- end -
1. During the early part of May 1956, I was told by several engineers, close associates whom I believe to be reliable, that the Ministry of Defense was alerted concerning the over-flight of a formation of unknown flying objects, flying in an easterly direction during that month.

2. My friends related that it appeared to be aircraft, flying in formation at an extremely high rate of speed at an approximately twenty-five thousand meters, were observed by radar units of the U.S. Air Defense Command. Nothing was done to disturb this flight, the probable reason being that the U.S. Air Defense Command had no weapons at its disposal to reach these intruders.

3. During the same period, May and June 1956, many reports originating from all sections of Hungary cited the appearance of "Flying Saucers". For two or three weeks, reports appeared almost daily, describing these objects as part-flying objects which could change direction of flight, acceleration and deceleration with utmost ease. My friends told me of discussions at the U.S. Technical Institute in which Hungarian's leading mathematicians, engineers and technicians took part, concerning these objects. It was decided that they did not exist and that if anything, the reports were initiated by U.S. propaganda. An official announcement appeared in "Hunindy Ney" declaring the entire "flying saucer" scare was a myth.

4. An interesting observation of one of my colleagues, however, was "Even if they don't exist, I hope they are on their way to bomb Moscow."

- end -
On 12 June at 11:00 a.m. local time, a flying object was seen from Iranian Julfa. 【【3.55, E 45°30」】】 traversing on a course from Rakhichevan 【R 30°15, E 45°20」】 to Iraq, Iraq. The object appeared to be a ball about one-half meter in diameter and had a trail one-half meter in length. It travelled at high speed at a height of about 2,000 feet and was visible for only a few seconds. The smoke trail left by the object drifted over Iranian Julfa from the ISGK and consisted of a trail about 5 or 10 centimeters in diameter.

Field report: also reported seeing a flying object at the same time and described it as being about the size of a football and moving at a height of 2,000 meters. He said the smoke trail left behind the object was visible for about 15 minutes.
AIRBORNE OBJECTS NEAR LENINGRAD

SIGHTING OF UNIDENTIFIED
6. Nothing of interest occurred during our Leningrad visit, and the evening of 11 July we departed by train for Moscow. The 10-hour trip was uneventful except for one incident which took place when we were about one hour out of the Leningrad station. I was looking out of the train window towards the staff when I noticed two bright lights with grey smoke trails rising vertically into the air. Because of the poor visibility and the distance involved, I could not tell whether the lights were pre-<

pulsion flames of some sort or whether they were integral parts of larger objects. I could not judge the speed of ascent, nor could I tell how far away the objects were, but they remained in view, still in ascent, for about 10 minutes. I called them to the attention of the above-named guide and he just laughed and said, "Maybe we're launching two more satellites."

I forgot the above incident until later in Moscow when the Russian-speaking member of the tour and I were given a short sightseeing trip around the city by a local official who shall call Ivanov and about whom I shall comment later. Ivanov remarked to Ivanov that we had seen two bright lights rising above the city and asked if he knew what they might have been. Ivanov was driving alone in the front seat of the car, and without turning he made a joking reference to Soviet tractor trails in the one made by our guide. When we held him that the lights appeared to be traveling too slowly to be tractors of that type he laughed again and said, "Well, maybe they were flying saucers." My friend, going along with the joke, said, "Stop kidding us Ivanov; we know what flying saucers look like." At this, Ivanov immediately pulled the car off the road, stopped, and turned around to face us, evidently flustered. "You do know that flying saucers look like," he inquired. When we registered surprise at his concern he started the car up again and changed the subject completely. We did not discuss with Ivanov or anyone else after that.
INFORMATION REPORT

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY

REPORT NO. 00-B-J,113,275

DATE PREPARED
27 October 1956

DATE DISTRIBUTED

PLACE AND DATE RECEIVED BY SOURCE

Baron Landgraf
10 Jul 56

DATA FOR INTELLIGENCE OPERATIONS OF INTEREST TO NORTHERN PUBLIC, WHICH IS CONDITIONALIZED IN REPORT

1. About 10 PM on the night of 10 Jul 56, while enroute from Landgraf to Rennes by train, we saw a bright light in the air which was trailed by a long trail of black smoke. It was such a bright for a plane. It may have been five to 15 miles distant and was under two thousand feet above ground.

2. We inquired of our guide that it was but not only a human race that it was probably a flying saucer. The guide was "Vosk" who had been with a party of which I was a member on a previous trip. Whether he knew what it was could not be determined.

[Editorial Comment: Your attention is invited to 00-B-J,074/06 from another source, in which the same incident is reported.]

-end-
On 6 December 1958 between 1835 and 1840 local time source observed, by
telescope, an artificial object having a continuous brightness of magnitude 3 (same
as the Belt of Orion) cross his position [field of vision] while source was
observing Mars. The object traveled north to south. There was no sign of disint-
egration, smoke, flash, or noise. There was no sign of any fall. Source did
not photograph the object, but he is sure that the object was identical with
Sputnik III. Subsequent observations proved negative.

Headquarters Comment: A preliminary check showed that Sputnik III was not within
source’s area of visibility at the time indicated, nor in the direction cited in
agreement with computed orbits. The carrier rocket for Sputnik III reentered the
earth’s atmosphere on 3 December 1958 according to a Soviet announcement.

Headquarters Comment: Evaluation requested of Air and OBI

Field Dissemination: None

TO: ACD, AF, NAV, IC, OSD, STATE, NRO
5. (FIELD COMMENT: OBJECT IMPACTED PRIOR TO REACHING THE CASPIAN.)
6. FIELD DISSEMINATION: MORE INDICATED.

END OF MESSAGE
11. At about 2030 hours a luminous orange ball was sighted on the left of the train. It appeared to be in flight and vanished while in the air. It was visible for a short time only and no additional information was obtained concerning it.
According to a rumor in Bury Creek in 1959, glowing objects flying at high speeds and accompanied by explosive sounds had frequently been observed in the desert region west of the town. It was believed that many Kazaks and Tadzhiks had left the area out of superstitious fear of these flying objects.
1. AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT WAS SIGHTED AT APPROXIMATELY 1:00 CIVIL TIME ON 17 JULY 1960. TRAVELLING IN A SOUTHEAST TO NORTHWEST DIRECTION OVER "AMIRSHAR, IRAQ (39° 46' N - 53° 34' E). THE OBJECT WAS IN VIEW AN ESTIMATED 15 TO 30 SECONDS. SEVERAL INDIVIDUALS WHO SAW THE OBJECT STATED THAT AFTER PASSING OVER THE OBJECT, IT MADE A GRADUAL TURN WEST BEFORE MIZING OUT. ALL WHO SAW THE OBJECT AGREED THAT IT APPEARED TO BURN OUT BEFORE DISAPPEARING OVER THE HORIZON.

2. SPEED WAS SUB-SONIC AS HEARD BEFORE SIGHTING; SOUND OF VARIABLE PITCH" LIKE AIR PASSING THROUGH TUBE OR PIPE.

3. TRAJECTORY: LOW AND QUITE FLAT BUT HEADING DOWNWARD. ORBIT DESCRIBED AS WILDCAT. ILLUMINATION: BRIGHT AQUAMARINE-COLORED WITH WHITE AND RED TAIL.

4. ONE PERSON WHO SAW THE OBJECT CLAIMED THAT IT APPEARED TO BREAK IN TW...
1. On 17 Jun 66, at 2147 hours, local time, on an international flight, I observed a strange phenomenon on the horizon. As we were approaching the Rudeshir beacon, located approximately 25 miles WSW of Mehrabad Airport, Tehran, we suddenly observed a brilliant white sphere approximating the coloration and intensity of a full bright moon. The sphere appeared suddenly and at the first sighting was approximately three times the size of a full moon. At the moment, we were flying at approximately 18,000 feet on a course of 010 degrees at a speed of about 250 knots. The sighting occurred just as we prepared to fly a circular pattern over the Rudeshir beacon prior to returning to a lower altitude and heading for Mehrabad Airport. We observed the sighting throughout the time we circled the Rudeshir beacon, a time we later calculated to be of four to five minutes duration. I would estimate the position of the sphere to be on a heading of 010 degrees and deep within the Soviet Union, perhaps north of 60 degrees latitude. During the four to five minutes that I observed the sphere, it continued to grow in size as its intensity diminished. Toward the end of this period it became very faint and its enormous size seemed to fill the sky. The base of the sphere appeared to rest on the horizon throughout the period it was observed, indicating that the center of the sphere was rising during the time that it was expanding. The weather conditions were excellent and the unusually clear sky afforded unobstructed visibility.

2. Another airliner at a slightly lower altitude also observed the phenomenon and we exchanged remarks about it. On landing at Mehrabad Airport some 20 hours later, we discovered that the phenomenon was not seen from the ground except possibly during the very last stages. At that point, since the intensity had so markedly diminished, it would go unnoticed unless
unless one were alerted to look for it. The reason for its being
observed from the ground is attributed to the abrupt rise of the very
high mountain range north of the city in the direction of the phenomenon.

3. I don't know what caused this strange occurrence which we observed. I
had never seen anything resembling it in over 20 years of flying experi-
ence. One theory advanced to me was that the sphere was a "false moon"
and that such a phenomenon was seen near Rome, Italy. My feeling is that
the sphere was formed by gases, perhaps from a man-made explosion. These
gases forming the sphere may have been illuminated by the sun from
the back side. If this were the case, it would account for the diminishing
intensity as the density of the gases decreased with the expansion of
the sphere.
2. He visited [redacted] who was noncommittal on the subject of UFO's. The scientist who served as translator, a radio astronomer, emphatically stated that he knew of no sightings of UFO's in the USSR and added with a laugh that if they were only seen in the US, they must be of Soviet origin.

3. At the [redacted] one of the astronomers mentioned that she had heard of some sightings of unidentified objects near the Caucasus. She was unaware of any study being made of them but was open-minded on the subject.

4. The main visit was to [redacted] who was very interested in the problem. He had read Messen's book (which has been translated into Russian) but did not accept his conclusions. [redacted] knew of some sightings in the northern part of the USSR, but said that reports of such sightings are not printed in Soviet newspapers because they are not regarded as scientific observations. (Comment: this is interesting in view of the readiness of Soviet newspapers to print rather fantastic reports of hypotheses and "observations" suggested by the most imaginative members of the scientific community. Apparently some official sanction is needed.) [redacted] has been interested in UFO reports of UFO's and readily accepts their reality. In fact, it is his personal opinion that the UFO's may originate on Venus. He is well aware of the negative effect of "enlightened" scientific opinion on the interpretation of questionable observations as he has had personal
5. At the little interest is shown in the UFO question. It was mentioned that he and several other astronomers had been out in the countryside one evening and had seen a curious, reddish object flashing through the sky that they were convinced was neither a satellite nor a meteorite. However, their immediate interpretation was that this object might have been a fragment of a satellite or rocket returning from orbit, burning up in the atmosphere. It was thought that UFO's had been seen in the USSR but he could not be specific as to locations and characteristics.

6. At the was shown. One of the astronomers, who was with the group mentioned by and confirmed the observation of a peculiar object. He did not discuss the experience in detail but clearly had been struck by the possibility that the object might have been a "saucer."

7. At the was considerable interest. The Institute included . However, the initial discussion of the problem was with the director of the Institute, . He too had read Menzel's book, but considered it an adequate treatment of the subject which he consequently felt was closed. He indicated that no observations of UFO's had been made by his staff and that they had received no reports of such observations. However, when the proceeded to indicate the results of McDonald's critical study of the problem, he readily conceded that there might be more to it and concluded by stating that it was "clearly still an open question."

8. Another astronomer mentioned that in the northern part of Kazakhstan there had been repeated sightings of ball lightning. had sent a team out to investigate and discovered that what was being seen were reflections of automobile headlights from an inversion layer.

9. Later, a discussion was held with . He was not very impressed with Menzel's book, but was also not impressed with the significance of the phenomenon. It is possible that some interest was kindled that might lead to additional investigations.

10. A stellar spectroscopist, was also interested in this problem. She was also dissatisfied with Menzel's book and felt that there was definitely an opportunity for additional research.

11. The general feeling one gets is that no official treatment of the UFO problem has been given in the USSR. Instead people refer to the US work, principally Menzel's book, to demonstrate the absence of real scientific problems. At the same time, there is almost universal awareness of the history and characteristics of the phenomenon often associated with considerable interest. The result is that a demonstration of the inadequacy of US Official explanations coupled with some proof of the reality of the observations might excite enthusiasm more rapidly among Soviet astronomers than among their US counterparts who are more strongly influenced by the official ridicule associated with UFO's in the US.
9. On one occasion, he was asked if the US forecast center was ever bothered with UFO sightings. He explained that at one time the center and in particular, had been plagued with calls and questions about UFO sightings. He said that some of their scientific balloon flights had prompted some of them. Now, he said, he never gets those calls anymore and half jokingly surmised that someone must have made a political decision that they were not to be sighted anymore.
Domestic Collection Division
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THIS IS UNEVALUATED INFORMATION

SOURCE

1. US scientists believe that low electromagnetic fields do not have a serious effect or astronauts, but high magnetic fields, oscillating magnetic fields, and electromagnetic fields can or do have considerable effect. There is a theory that such fields are closely associated with superconductivity at very low temperatures, such as in space. This in turn is related to the possible propulsion system of UFOs. There is a rumor that fragments of a possible UFO found...
in Brazil bore a relationship to superconductors and magnetohydrodynamics.

5.

6.

-end-
6. [Insert redacted text]

[Redacted text]

6. asked for [redacted text] personal opinion of the IFO phenomenon. He was told that 99 percent of these occurrences were traceable to either natural or man-made phenomena such as celestial movement, aircraft or artificial satellites, and that the other one percent cannot be explained—possibly an hallucination. Then asked, "Do you think it is possible... could there be something coming from outer space?"

7.

8.

9.

10.
1. An unusual incident was observed during a 10 September 1976 British European Airways (BEA) flight (number 831) from Moscow to London. Between 1800 and 1900 hours, the aircraft was cruising at an altitude of approximately 33 thousand feet (9,900 meters), apparently inside the border of Lithuania, when a blinding, single source, constant intensity, and stationary light was observed off the starboard flight path of the aircraft. The light's distance was estimated to be approximately 10 to 15 miles (16 to 24 kilometers) off of the aircraft's path and approximately five to six thousand feet (1,500 to 1,800 meters) below the aircraft, somewhat above a lower cloud layer. The light, which resembled a sodium vapor lamp (yellowish in color), and which was too intense to view directly for any period of time, completely lit the top of the lower cloud layer, giving it a glowing cast.

2. The light was of such interest that the BEA pilot came onto the aircraft's intercom network, stated that he was somewhat concerned over its presence, and said he had asked the Soviet authorities for an identification of its source. The Soviet authorities came back with a negative identification response, suggesting that he should not ask questions. The light was observed for approximately 10 to 15 minutes, until the aircraft had flown past and left the light source behind.

- end -
14. On one evening in late Summer 1973, Source observed an
unidentified phenomenon at Site 7. While watching a sport competition between Canada and the USSR on television, he stepped outside for some air and observed an unidentified sharp (bright) green circular object or mass in the sky. The object was situated 70 degrees away from the site at an angle of sighting of approximately 70 degrees. The altitude of the object was undeterminable. (Field Comment: Although there were no clouds in the sky that evening, source believed that the green mass would have been higher than cloud level. Source could not estimate the diameter of the object.) Within 10 to 15 seconds of observation, the green circle widened and within a brief period of time several green concentric circles formed around the mass. Within minutes the coloring disappeared. There was no sound, such as an explosion, associated with the phenomenon. (Field Comment: Source had no opinion as to what this phenomenon was. There was no resultant rumors. Source could not provide any further details.)
Another Soviet, who was a member of a committee the USSR has established to investigate unusual phenomena, in other words, flying saucers, gave a serious talk which was actually very humorous. His approach was, "Well, of course, we know there isn't anything to this alleged phenomenon (flying saucers), but on the other hand..." During this talk and other Soviets joked and laughed and obviously did not take the speaker's remarks seriously. He remarked later to another that the "flying saucer committee" consists of many politicians, theorists, historians, and similar type people. It has little if any scientific talent.
Mr. FRID, called, giving the following information:

"FLUGER" is a sports magazine similar to our (US) motor and aviation magazine and is published in Vienna, Austria. The article was originally taken from a Vienna newspaper (does not know which one).

Mr. Moore puts any credence in the story. However, through channels, has asked Military Attaché to see what they can find out about it.

Bryce R. Moore

APPROVED FOR RELEASE
DATE: 7-8-43
MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director (Intelligence)  

SUBJECT: USSR and Satellite Mention of Flying Saucers

1. A search of Russian press files has so far produced no factual evidence that subject has been mentioned in the Soviet Satellite press within the past two years. It is believed that a derisive comment was made in a Russian newspaper in 1963 on this subject but so far the article has not been found.

2. FID has one broadcast on this subject, dated 10 June 1957, which is quoted below:

Summary - In what appears to be Moscow's first mention of flying Saucers "Listener''s Bulletin" answers questions on the subject to the effect that "The Chief of Nuclear Physics in the US Naval Research Bureau" explained their recently used for stratospheric studies. US Government circles knew all along of the harmless nature of these objects, but if they refrained from denying "false reports," the purpose behind such tactics was to fan war hysteria in the country.

3. A State Department cable recently received from Budapest quotes the August 14th copy of Seabed Map as follows:

"Flying Saucer stories are another American attempt to fan war hysteria." Radar detection of saucers is quoted in the article and it comments on the ridiculous aspects of the source of the mystery. The article concludes that it is part of American military propaganda to prove the Western countries are threatening.

4. FID has been requested to alert the field stations to any mention of flying Saucers by Iron Curtain countries.

APPROVED FOR RELEASE  
DATE: [Redacted]

Assistant Director for Operations
APP Paris. Radio teleprinter in French to the Americas on 8 August 1952, carried the following item:

In the German magazine "Der Flieger", Dr. Waldermar Beck says that a flying saucer which recently fell at Spitsbergen has been studied by eminent Norwegian and German rocket experts. He writes that Mr. Horsel, a Norwegian expert in rocket construction went to the place where the flying saucer had fallen a few hours after it had been discovered in the mountains of Spitsbergen by Norwegian jet planes.

In the wreck of the apparatus the expert is said to have discovered a radio piloting transmitter with a nucleus of plutonium transmitting on all wavelengths with 936 hertz, a measure that has been unknown so far.

The investigation has also shown that the flying saucer crashed because of a defect in its radio piloting system. The saucer which carried no crew has a diameter of 47 meters. The steel used in the construction is an unknown alloy. It consists of an exterior disc provided at its peripheral with 16 automatic jets. This disc pivots around the central sphere which contains the measurement and remote control equipment. The measurement instructions have an inscription in Russian.

8 Aug. __________
3 Phillip item not used for
Directors Log. ________
Office Memorandum - UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO: Assistant for Operations, GIL

FROM: Chief, Contact Division, CO

DATE: 9 February 1953

SUBJECT: California Committee for Saucer Investigation

1. Recently, a member of the Los Angeles Office had occasion to hear Dr. Walter Riedel tell something of the activities of the California Committee for Saucer Investigation (GIL). His comments, as follows, may be of interest:

2. Dr. Riedel indicated he was formerly Chief Designer at the German Experimental Rocket Center at Peenemünde. He has been in the US as a "paperclip" scientist for some years. He is now a Project Engineer in the Aerophysics Department (Guided Missiles) of the North American Aviation Corp. He gave every impression of being a competent scientist, especially knowledgeable on rocket matters. He seemed a balanced person, not given to fixations.

3. GIL has been in operation some years, comprised of private individuals intrigued and scientifically interested in finding an explanation for "saucer" phenomena. To date, they have received some 1570 letters relating to reported sightings. Of this number, they have been able to immediately or quickly eliminate 75% as not worth follow-up. The great proportion of this 25% have been discarded upon further investigation. What was somewhat surprising to the writer was the exhaustiveness with which these investigations are being made. Not only are very careful calculations made, if the data exists, to check the possibility of the report being physically possible (e.g., in terms of lines of sight), but the individual reporting the sighting is investigated privately at his place of residence to establish a general background of reliability and credibility. Of the 25% investigated, perhaps 25 or so sightings have been established as "reliable" in the sense that no known existing explanation exists for them. Apparently, most of these have been forwarded to Wright Field, Dayton, Ohio, although there appears to be a time lag of some duration while GIL is making its own check and calculations. Dr. Riedel's description of one reported sighting by a TWA pilot and crew, and the follow-up with respect to it, was impressive to the writer in the thought applied, the pains taken, and the very careful application of scientific method.

4. So serious is GIL with respect to its investigation that Dr. Riedel indicated that they are going to execute a planned "hoax" over the Los Angeles area, in order to test the reaction and reliability of the public in general to unusual aerial phenomena. (The sightings reported over Malibu in the Los Angeles papers, 6F.30 Jan.-31 could possibly be this hoax.) From this experiment, they hope to ascertain how many people report an aerial visual phenomenon which has been conducted so as to be clearly visible to a large number of people in the area. They will also, of course, be able to test the variation of report details, etc. This experiment is designed to give a better background against future sightings can be evaluated.
5. Apparently, an eye and interest are also directed toward the U.S.S.R., for reactions to sightings as reported in the FAXUS are observed. This interest is also evident in the paper entitled "Rockets Behind the Iron Curtain," presented before the annual convention of the American Rocket Society in New York City on 4 December 1952, by George F. Sutton, also of Aerophysics Department (North American Aviation, Inc.) and associated with CAL.

6. Of incidental interest may be the fact that NAA (National Aeronautical Association) suggested politely and perhaps indirectly to Dr. Riedel that he disassociate himself from official membership on CAL.
12 March 1953

Dear [Name],

Assistant Deputy Director/Intelligence has asked me to forward to you for your information the enclosed report "Report of the Scientific Panel on Unidentified Flying Objects", dated January 17, 1953.

This report was prepared by a panel convened in January 1953 at the direction of the former Director of Central Intelligence, General Walter B. Smith, with the concurrence of the Intelligence Advisory Committee.

Copies are being transmitted to the Secretary of Defense; the Director, Federal Civil Defense Administration; and the Chairman, National Security Resources Board.

Sincerely yours,

[Name]
Secretary
Intelligence Advisory Committee

APPROVED FOR RELEASE
DATE 12 MARCH 1953
1. Pursuant to the request of the Assistant Director for Scientific Intelligence, the undersigned Panel of Scientific Consultants has met to evaluate any possible threat to national security posed by Unidentified Flying Objects ("UFOs") and to make recommendations thereon. The Panel has reviewed the evidence as presented by cognizant intelligence agencies, primarily the Air Technical Intelligence Center, and has reviewed a selection of the best documented incidents.

2. As a result of its considerations, the Panel concludes:
   a. That the evidence presented on Unidentified Flying Objects shows no indication that these phenomena constitute a direct physical threat to national security.

   We firmly believe that there is no residue of cases which indicates phenomena which are attributable to foreign artifacts capable of hostile acts, and that there is no evidence that the phenomena indicate a need for the revision of current scientific concepts.

3. The Panel further concludes:
   a. That the continued emphasis on the reporting of these phenomena does, in these paroxysms, result in a threat to the orderly functioning of the protective organs of the body politic.

   We cite as examples the clogging of channels of communication by irrelevant reports, the danger of being led by continued false alarms to ignore real
indications of hostile action, and the cultivation of a normal national psychology in which skillful hostile propaganda could induce hysterical behavior and harmful distrust of duly constituted authority.

4. In order most effectively to strengthen the national facilities for the timely recognition and the appropriate handling of true indications of hostile action, and to minimize the concomitant dangers alluded to above, the Panel recommends:

a. That the national security agencies take immediate steps to strip the Unidentified Flying Objects of the special status they have been given and the aura of mystery they have unfortunately acquired;

b. That the national security agencies institute policies on intelligence, training, and public education designed to prepare the material defenses and the morale of the country to recognize hostilities promptly and to react most effectively to true indications of hostile intent or action.

We suggest that these aims may be achieved by an integrated program designed to reassure the public of the total lack of evidence of inimical forces behind the phenomena, to train personnel to recognize and reject false indications quickly and effectively, and to strengthen regular channels for the evaluation of and prompt reaction to true indications of hostile measures.

/s/ Lloyd V. Berkner
Associated Universities, Inc.

/s/ H. P. Robertson, Chairman
California Institute of Technology

/s/ S. A. Gooden"t
Brookhaven National Laboratories

/s/ Luis V. Alvarez
University of California

/s/ Thorenk Fage
Johns Hopkins University
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MEMBERS</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
<th>FIELD OF COMPETENCY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr. H. P. Robertson (Chairman)</td>
<td>California Institute of Technology</td>
<td>Physics, weapons systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Lois W. Alverson</td>
<td>University of California</td>
<td>Physics, radar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Lloyd V. Barlaser</td>
<td>Associated Universities, Inc.</td>
<td>Geophysics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Samuel Goldwitz</td>
<td>Brookhaven National Laboratories</td>
<td>Atomic structure, statistical problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. T. Thornton Fuge</td>
<td>Office of Research Operations, Johns Hopkins University</td>
<td>Astronomy, Astrophysics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSOCIATE MEMBERS</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
<th>FIELD OF COMPETENCY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr. J. Allan Hynek</td>
<td>Ohio State University</td>
<td>Astronomy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Frederick C. Durant</td>
<td>Arthur D. Little, Inc.</td>
<td>Rockets, guided missiles</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>INTERVIEWERS</th>
<th>ORGANIZATION</th>
<th>FIELD OF COMPETENCY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brig. Gen. William N. Garland</td>
<td>Commanding General, ARIC</td>
<td>Scientific and technical intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. E. Marshall Caudell</td>
<td>Assistant Director, O/SI, CIA</td>
<td>Scientific and technical intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Ralph L. Clark</td>
<td>Deputy Assistant Director, O/SI, CIA</td>
<td>Scientific and technical intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Position</td>
<td>Organization</td>
<td>Field of Emphasis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Philip C. Strong</td>
<td>Chief, Operations Staff, O/SI, CIA</td>
<td>Scientific and technical intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Stephen T. Fossary</td>
<td>Acting Chief, Special Study Group, D/I USAF</td>
<td>Scientific and technical intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capt. Edward J. Ruppell, USAF</td>
<td>Chief, Aerial Photomosaics Branch, ITW, USAF</td>
<td>Scientific and technical intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. J. Dowdy Farnett, Jr.</td>
<td>The Ethyl Corporation</td>
<td>Aero Eng.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lt. R. S. Remhaz, USAF</td>
<td>USM Photo Interpretation Laboratory, Anacostia</td>
<td>Photo Interpretation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Harry Vee</td>
<td>USM Photo Interpretation Laboratory, Anacostia</td>
<td>Photo Interpretation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY PANEL ON
UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS
14 - 17 January 1953

EVIDENCE PRESENTED

1. Seventy-five case histories of sightings 1951 - 1952 (selected by
   ATIC as those best documented).

2. ATIC Status and Progress Reports of Project GEORGE and Project
   BLUE BOOK. (Code names for ATIC study of subject).

3. Progress Reports of Project STORK (code name for Battelle Memorial
   Institute contract work supporting ATIC).


5. Report of USAF Research Center, Cambridge, Mass., Investigation of
   "Green Fireball" Phenomenon (Project TWINS).

   Base (Project POUNCE).

7. Motion Picture Films of sightings at Trzesnoc, Utah, 2 July 1952
   and Great Falls, Montana, August 1952.

8. Summary Report of 69 selected cases of sightings of various
   categories (Formations, Blinking Lights, Hovering, etc.).

9. Draft of manual, "How to Make a PHONOGRAPH", prepared at ATIC.

10. Chart Showing Plot of Geographic Location of Unexplained Sightings
    in the United States during 1952.

11. Chart Showing Balloon Launching Sites in the United States.

12. Charts Showing Selected Actual Balloon Flight Paths and Relation
    to Reported Sightings.


15. Kodachrome Transparencies of Polyethylene Film Balloons in Bright
    Sunlight Showing High Reflectivity.
16. Motion picture of elevels in bright sunlight showing high reflectivity.
19. Sample Polyethylene "Pillow" Balloon (54 inches square).
21. Miscellaneous official letters and foreign intelligence reports dealing with subject.
22. Copies of popular published works dealing with subject (articles in periodicals, newspaper clippings and books).
I have read the report. I see nothing significant which should be reported to the deputies.
He said:

Mr. [redacted] would like to have you get someone to look over the material on the Scientific Panel on Unidentified Flying Objects. There may be something of interest there which should be reported to the [redacted] director. If there is nothing in the report, just forget it, and return the report.
Chief, Chicago Office

Chief, Contract Division

New Case — Radio Code Recording

[Redacted]

If you are interested in obtaining the wire recording of the radio
program in which the unidentified code was heard. If they will not
relinquish it permanently, please arrange to get it on loan.

2. It would appreciate return of [redacted] to this office.
Chief, Support Branch, C-I

17 March 1955

Chief, Contact Division, C-0

Radio Code Recording - Case

1. In compliance with your request of 25 February 1955
(see DCS number) we have obtained a tape copy of the wire
recording which contains a record of a message from
outer space. They judge the original wire recording too
highly to relinquish it, even to the government however,
they were overcrowded that we shared in interest.

2. They have recently heard that the Chicago has
radio operators who also claim to have heard such messages
so if you find this one interesting, we will be glad to treat
down the others. Our Chicago representative would appreciate
knowing that your conclusion was.

Inclusion: As stated above
THE DCI X DCI HAS RECEIVED A LETTER FROM LEON DAVIDSON X
LEON DAVIDSON WHITE PLAINS NEW YORK REQUESTING INFORMATION ON THE
RECORDING YOU RECEIVED FROM X
DAVIDSON REFERS TO SOME CORRESPONDENCE HE HAD WITH
YOU AND THEN GOES ON TO ASK FOR AGENCY COOPERATION IN LEARNING WHAT
THE CODE MESSAGE REVEALED. HE INDICATES THAT HE HAS RECENTLY TEST-
IFIED BEFORE THE HOUSE SUBCOMMITTEE ON GOVT INFORMATION AND SAYS THAT
HIS TESTIMONY WILL DISPLAY HIS INTEREST IN THIS SUBJECT.

WE ARE GETTING COPIES OF THIS TESTIMONY. MEANWHILE CAN YOU ADVISE
US AS TO HOW YOU GOT IN CORRESPONDENCE AND HOW HE LEARNED THAT THE
DCI WAS THE PROPER PERSON TO ADDRESS HIS REQUEST TO. ANY BACKGROUND
INFO YOU HAVE ON HIM WILL BE WELCOME.

ESE TOT: 251939Z
DATE 1

SUPPORT THAT THE TAPES WERE FORWARDED TO PROPER AUTHORITIES FOR EVALUATION AND NO INFORMATION WAS AVAILABLE CONCERNING RESULTS. THEN RECEIVED A SECOND LETTER DATED 19 X 19 MARCH FROM DAVIDSON IN WHICH HE SAID SINCE WAS NOT IN A POSITION TO MAKE ENQUIRIES HE WOULD LIKE ADDRESS OF PROPER PARTIES TO WHOM TO MAKE THEM. REPLIED THAT HE UNDERSTOOD THE PROPER ADDRESS FOR FORWARDING INFORMATION ON "FLYING SAUCERS" IS AIR TECHNICAL INTELLIGENCE CENTER, WRIGHT PATTERSON AIR FOR BASE. SUBSEQUENTLY, LEARNED THAT DAVIDSON MADE ENQUIRIES THERE. APPARENTLY THEY STALLED HIM.
8 MAY 1957

ATTACHMENT FILE Assistant to the Director

ATTENTION: Mr.

SUBJECT: Last Davidson letter to the FBI

1. Attached is a suggested reply to Mr. Davidson. It has been determined that the recording in question was forwarded to FBI and found to be horse code of US origin.

2. Arrangements have been made with AFII for a letter to be sent by them to Mr. Davidson explaining that they have found the record and telling him what the findings were.

Deputy Assistant Director for Operations

Attachment

D/00 - 3

Distribution: Address - Orig. A

D/00 copies: D/00 - 2

Conf. D/00 - 1

Case 1

E/C - 1

Doc. No. chrono

APPROVED FOR RELEASE

DATE: 8 MAY 1957
Mr. Leon Davidson
14 Prospect Street
White Plains, New York

Dear Mr. Davidson,

Reference is made to your 21 April 1977 letter addressed to Mr. Dallas. A survey of the intelligence community has resulted in the determination that the tape in question was analyzed by another agency of the government. We believe that you will receive another communication shortly from the Air Force which will answer your query as to the nature of the recording.

Yours truly,

J. Arnold Shaw
Assistant to the Director
Chief, Chicago Office

10 May 1957

Chief, Contact Division (Support)

Case 

1. Attached is a memorandum from OSI relating to their attempts to recover the analysis of the tape. Also attached is a memorandum to the DCI and a suggested letter for him to send to Mr. Davidson. We have learned that this suggested form was acceptable and is being mailed.

2. Will you please advise Air Force of the action taken and ask them to follow through. It might be well to have a copy of the letter which they send to Davidson for our files.

3. Comment was made about the fact that the attachment itself was.

Attachment
Mr. Leon Davison  
6th Prospect Street  
White Plains, New York

Dear Mr. Davison:

On behalf of Mr. Dulles, may I acknowledge and reply to your letter of April 21.

We have found that the tape you mentioned was analyzed by another agency of the Government and understand that you will hear directly from that agency in the near future as to the nature of the recording.

Sincerely,

Sgd J. Arnold Shaw

J. Arnold Shaw  
Assistant to the Director

O/DCI/JAShaw/rc/9 May 57
Distribution:
1 - Addresses  
1 - O/C thru AD/0  
1 - JAS  
1 - RE  
1 - Reading

APPROVED FOR RELEASE
DATE: 21 May 57
ESV NR12 ROUTINE GR68 141725Z CH DTC...141620Z

WA CITE CHGO 5468

SUPPORT

REFER CASE [Handwritten] AND TELECON. WHAT PROGRESS HAS BEEN MADE? THIS CORRESPONDENCE IS MORE THAN A MONTH OLD, I AM AFRAID THE LONGER WE PROCRASTINATE THE MORE FUEL WE ADD TO THE FIRE. ALSO, THE PEOPLE AT WRIGHT FIELD ARE HOLDING THEIR BREATHS AWAITING ADVICE. APPRECIATE REPLY SOONEST.

FILE:

CH TOT:14/1639Z
ESV TOT:14/1732Z

APPROVED FOR RELEASE
DATE: [Handwritten]
MEMORANDUM FOR: WARREN K. HARDER
THROUGH: W. F. ROBERTSON
SUBJECT: Unidentified Flying Objects (UFO)

The Air Force and CIA are both still following UFOs. (This is the subject of a report to be submitted later to the two agencies.) The Air Force has recently receivedogl~ of the Department of Defense (DOD) was the agency that was responsible for UFOs. The Air Force is still doing UFOs, but the intelligence function has been transferred to the CIA.

WHO IN CIA IS RESPONSIBLE FOR UFOs?

The DOD/USI, Phil Strong, specifically.

WHAT IS CIA DOING ON UFOs?

The following is a brief sketch of activity which I obtained from Phil Strong's memory: Five years ago in the summer of 1952, USI undertook a study of UFOs by EAC action. USI formed a panel consisting of the following members: Lloyd Berkner, President, Association of Universities; President, International Council of Scientific Boards; Member of the President's Scientific Advisory Committee (OSI); M. F. Robertson, Chairman, Physics Dept., Cal Tech; once WESI Civilian Director; Science Advisor to EAPK-Commander for two years; OSI Consultant.

Sam Goodzeit, Chairman, Department of Physics, Brookhaven National Laboratory; formerly member Combined Scientific and Military Team during post-war period examining German nuclear program.

MEMORANDUM

DATE: 20 NOV 67

APPROVED FOR RELEASE

[Signature]
Luie Alvarez, one of country's top
physicists at Berkeley, also in Los Alamos
and Dearborn, Mich. and Denver, has a very
considerable interest in this field. Apparently the
American Air Force and the British Air
Force have been very active in this field.

The US and British projects are
cooperative. An important difference
between the two is that in Britain they
appear as "soft" targets, whereas the
American targets appear to be "hard"
and probably nuclear weapons.

The British and American projects
appear to be very similar, at least in
their goals.

I asked Phil point-blank if the
unexplained category could include
actual secret Soviet advanced
aeronautical equipment. He replied,
"Conceivably, yes." However, speaking
from memory, it can be felt that the
possibility existed that if further
information was obtained, the remaining
small percentage of unknowns
might be explained.

I also asked Phil point-blank if there
were any special collection going on against
UFO targets. He replied that
there is no collection as such but that
designs advanced new techniques not
limited to flying saucer types was a high priority
collection requirement. In this regard he added that
OSI has no information concerning new Soviet
collection which would indicate
construction of flying saucer type aircraft. The
Applied Sciences Division of OSI and ATIC
work closely together in following radical new
designs and advances by the Soviets.

Since the study was made (17 January 1952)
OSI has maintained a watching process on UFOs. Although very few
reports are received, those which do appear are examined by the
following units: If concerning natural phenomena, they are turned
over to the Geo-Physics Unit of the Fundamental Sciences Division
in OSI. If they concern the hardware aspects of flying craft, they
are turned over to the former Weapons Unit concerned with aircraft
in the Applied Science Division of OSI. Gen. Walter, ATIC (Phil
Strong believe) maintains one or two officers following the UFO
question. This ATIC effort is all that is left of an earlier
larger Air Force Project called "Blue Book."

(Copy of Project report
dated 5 May 1955 is attached, conclusions are on p. 9.)

Concerning UFOs, John appears to be the first to
realize that nothing has been received of importance. Two or three
years ago reported seeing a flying saucer in vertical

take-off in the Soviet Union, but nothing was developed by
intelligence. (Analysis of this case is attached.) This incident is
the only "kill" that Phil can remember.
3. For your information I learned from Hoverture and Strong that the British and Canadians have a very sensitive project in this field. Apparently the Canadians run the project which has completed the design of a flying saucer. Hoverture states that he has seen the prototype. Hoverture describes the saucer as "just that" in shape with jets on the circumference. The jets are in vertical position for take-off and are shifted horizontally for forward movement. Strong, speaking from memory, believed the design called for operations at 60,000 feet or better. The responsible aircraft company is the Aviri, a jointly-owned British and Canadian outfit. According to Strong, the USAF initially provided some of the funds, but is no longer doing so. Hoverture advised that the Air Force has "some projects" along this line.

Office of the Director
Planning and Coordination Staff

PCB/DGI

0 - 
1 - File
2 - Chrome
ZAII 01 ROUTINE GR73 351533Z CI DTG...951333Z

WA CITE CHGO-8731

SCIENTIFIC

REFER FLYING SAUCER LETTER DAVIDSON, CASE COPY OF
ATIC LETTER NOT X NOT RECEIVED HERE. I ACCORDINGLY CALLED COL. BAIRD
AGAIN TODAY. HE ASSURES ME IT HAS GONE OUT AND COPY IS IN MAIL TO ME.
HE SAYS HE RATHER EXPECTS FURTHER ENQUIRY FROM DAVIDSON. I AM NOT X NOT
SURE I AGREE.

CH TOT195/1355Z
ESW TOT195/1536Z

APPROVED FOR RELEASE
DATE 24/IR/1976

D-#98
ESE NR16 ROUTINE GR79 2514272

CHC cite VA 21649

FROM SUPPORT 

CASE [CLOSED]

On basis of your conversation with ATIC X ATIC, we informed DCO's X DCO's Office that Davidson X Davidson would receive reply.

Did you get copy of letter to Davidson or if not X not have you been informed that the letter was in fact written? We would like to close this out with sure knowledge that the letter was written. Thanks.

ESE TOT: 29/14227

[Handwritten notes]
1. In accordance with telephone request made by
An 6 Nov 57 we obtained from
photographs of an unidentified flying object.
The photographs are forwarded herewith and may be retained for
30 days.

2. The print marked "A" is an enlarged photograph
of the original 16 mm movie strip on which Mr. Mayher took the
photos in 1952. The size of the UFO may be gauged in relation
to the perforations on the film which are of known standard size.
The large print marked "B" is an oversized enlargement made from
a stepped up negative of one of the original frames.

3. It may be of interest to know how the enclosed
prints were processed. Pictures were originally snapped on 16 mm
movie film from which a number of prints were made. Four by 5
negatives were processed from these prints, then 8 by 10 negatives
were processed from the latter. The three 8 by 10 prints are con-
tact prints of the 8 by 10 negatives. The large print, "B", is a
blown-up of one of the 8 by 10 negatives.

4. Background information follows:

5. Camera used was a 16 mm movie, focal length of
lens was 63 mm, speed 24 frames per second. Altitude of the UFO,
as estimated by source and other observers, was two thousand feet.
Maximum camera angle was estimated by source to be 45°. The UFO
appeared to have the shape of a sancer, i.e., a rotating oblate
spheroid which seemed to flatten out as speed increased. The UFO
was in view for about three minutes and was of a bright orange
color. At the time the film was taken the lens aperture of the
camera was f:2.7. Film emulsion was fast panchromatic. Time
elapsed between photographing the first image and the sixth image
(the first being the smallest at the top of the film strip) was
approximately .25 sec.

6. [Handwritten note: indicated that he would be glad to make
such negatives as he has available on a two weeks loan if they would
serve a useful purpose. The original negatives are in air force
hands.]

Approved for release
Date: 11/10/57
1. On 10 Dec 57 I returned the five photographs of flying saucers which were obtained from

2. asked if it would be possible for us to submit to him any evaluations which might have been made on these photographs and I replied that it was very doubtful but that I would pass on the request to headquarters. explained that he was attempting to set up a TV show to brief the public that if and when they ever see any U.F.O.'s, to call him and if possible take a photograph of the object so that he would be able to keep the public abreast of the latest developments.

3. He then asked me if it would be possible for him to mention on the program that an intelligence organization had viewed the photographs and thought they were of interest. My immediate reply was in the "negative". This was accepted graciously by

4. then asked me if he could write a letter to the "Director" asking his opinion as to the evaluation of the photos and to ask his permission to present this material on TV. I told him that I could not advise him about writing or not writing a letter but that he as a US citizen, interested in U.F.O.'s would have to make his own decision. With this, the interview was completed.

5. This memo is being forwarded for any interest it may have and to prevent any repercussions or flaps.
Major Donald L. Eyhoe, USA (Ret)
Director of HCAF
1576 Connecticut Avenue, N.W.
Washington 6, D.C.

Dear Major Eyhoe:

Thank you for your letter of January 12th.

As you can readily understand we do not normally
pass, outside of official channels, either affirmative
or negative information in response to an inquiry such
as yours. Would it be possible for you to tell us why
you require the information, so that we may have a
basis for an appropriate reply.

Sincerely,

J. L. Barma
Executive Officer

C/O: 22 Jan 59
to return five photographs of alleged UFO's which were obtained, 7 November 1957, by a CI/CO employee in response to an SBI requirement. While returning the photos he was returning the photos and asked if he might mention on a TV program that an intelligence organization had viewed the pictures and thought they were of interest. The reply was negative. Again he asked if he could write a letter to the CIA asking his examination of the photos and ask his permission to present the resulting material on TV. He replied that he would have to make his own decision about writing such a letter. This completed the interview.

3. We understand that [name] who has a reputation as possibly being overenthusiastic about UFO's, is well and favorably known to Major Keyhoe, and therefore, we believe that [name] has disclosed his contact to Keyhoe. If so, it appears that either [name] may have misunderstood [name]'s remarks or that Keyhoe may have misunderstood [name]'s story, or misinterpretation of the two.

4. Normally, since our field representatives are overt and carry credentials identifying their association with the Agency, we respond affirmatively to any outside inquires. In this case it seems that Keyhoe, by not being able to prove a CIA agent told a UFO witness not to make his information public.

5. Two possibilities for reply suggest themselves: (1) explain to Keyhoe that we have not normally provide the kind of information he wants in the absence of a reason for the inquiry and ask him why he wants to know. This might make out a reply leading back to his contention that a CIA agent told a UFO witness not to make public his information, and further that he has reason to believe [name] was the agent. Should this occur Keyhoe could then be referred to Mr. Farnam's memorandum to him on 17 April 1953 which explained that Agency records had been checked and revealed that no CIA employee at any time had ordered any UFO witness to remain silent about his observation.

(2) explain to Keyhoe that we do not normally provide the kind of information he wants in the absence of a reason for the inquiry and ask him why he wants to know. This might make out a reply leading back to his contention that a CIA agent told a UFO witness not to make public his information, and further that he has reason to believe [name] was the agent. Should this occur Keyhoe could then be referred to Mr. Farnam's memorandum to him on 17 April 1953 which explained that Agency records had been checked and revealed that no CIA employee at any time had ordered any UFO witness to remain silent about his observation.
6. We recommend that the second alternative above be tried first and base future action on Keyhce's reply. A suggested draft reply is attached.

Chief, Contact Division
Office of Operations

Enclosures:
As Stated
cc: DCJ/0

Distribution:
Cgrg & 1 - Address
1 - Disc [redacted]
1 - Index (Control)
Dr Leon Davidson is on our backs again. He wants a verbatim translation of the "space" message and the identification of the transmitter from which it came. Your attention is called to a letter to Davidson from Wallace & Elwood, Capt., USAF, ATIC & ATIC, dated 5 X 5 August 1957 X 1957, in which Elwood tells Davidson the message was in identifiable Morse code and from a known US & US licensed radio station. This was intended to satisfy Davidson that he did not not in fact have a space message. He is not not satisfied and explains that the characteristics of the sounds on the tape recording of the message are not not Morse-type. Can you obtain from ATIC the message translation, and the transmitter, shortly? We'd like to dismiss this man once and for all. If you cannot obtain this information, Davidson is going to pressure us for permission to use Chicago Office letterhead and other US & US Government letterhead he has received in this matter in an article for some space magazine. We are sending by express this date a publication of Davidson's criticizing the Air Force for concealment of information on flying saucers. Incidentally, Davidson is no no fool and it appears that ATIC is treating him as one if they think he can be satisfied with a sop such as Captain Elwood's.
CHICO CITE WA 26258

FROM SUPPORT

CASE (CLOSED)

As we informed your office there is no translation available nor is there any record available except for what you know. Davidson

X Davidson has been approached by ATIC X ATIC and this same message was given him again. He asked for it in writing which I refused to do. Davidson then said he would write to ATIC X ATIC. We are all resigned to more letters but we do not think you should inject your name into the matter. He has already received a couple of letters from ATIC, two from the DCI's X DCI's office and two visits from

suggest you simply ignore the letter unless it was registered. If this was the case please advise. Otherwise don't do anything more about it.

This coordinated with and

19/23192
Chief, Detroit Office

20 December 1957

Chief, Contact Division

File: [Redacted]

Re: Opposed for Release

Date: 24 Dec '57

Your memo dated 12 December 1957. Some Subject

1. Your reaction and replies to source's requests were excellent. Regarding paragraph 2 of reference memo we did not receive evaluations of photography which source submitted. For your information only, the material was reviewed at a "high level" and returned to us without comment.

2. The subject of UFO was under the purview of CIA for a limited time only. This was caused by a request from the "high level" which stressed from all of the public. Given to recent UFO sightings, it appears that the request has been satisfied because the case has been closed and the subject dropped by CIA. Any letter which source writes to the DOD would probably be forwarded to the Air Force for their information. We would suggest to source that any correspondence on the subject be directed to the Air Force.

3. It appears that source is trying to set himself up as the local clearing house for UFO sightings. If source desires to show his photographs on TV this is the perspective, naturally without any CIA involvement, and we could have no objection. Thanks for advising us as soon and as completely as you did.
WE neglected to mention in CHGO-9229 X 9229 that our contact with
Leon Davidson X Leon Davidson was person-to-person. He was in
Chicago for a scientific meeting. Davidson explained that he is doing
an article for a space magazine. He wants the translation of the
message and the emitting station proving the space message to be a
hoax, or he wants permission to picture government letterheads,
ours and ATIC's X ATIC's. In his article showing government avoidance
of his inquiries. He explained that he had received no X no
satisfactory answer to his request of US and ATIC X ATIC in nearly a
year. Told Davidson he would communicate with him shortly
supplying the information he requested if possible, or explaining
that nothing further could be done. RE Davidson's wish to use
government letterhead in event he cannot get message translation,
Advised this would be imprudent and suggested he not X not
do it without the express permission of the agencies concerned.
Davidson agreed this was best. Davidson was calm and pleasant but
very determined. In view of your WA-26258 X 26258 we wish to bow
out of this thing, but urge that Headquarters, X X X X AND ATIC X ATIC
concern themselves with this man and try to
satisfy him. Please do not X not let us down on our agreement to
communicate with him. We are committed.

CH: TOT 12/1798Z

APPROVED FOR RELEASE

XST TOT 12/8/1941Z
1. We have contacted Dr. Davidson by telephone advising him that we cannot resolve his problem concerning the space message and its transmitter because records on the matter have been destroyed by the evaluating agency. Davidson accepted this statement with the comment that he had been told this before, that he now had a second article for publication concerning Air Force handling of space sightings in the hands of the Pentagon security review people (which he thought was mild enough to pass them), and he hinted that he might wish to take up again at a later date the matter of this particular message.

2. We appreciate that there have been many cooks in the kitchen on this dish and that, as a result, the extraordinarily noncommittal and evasive answer we were instructed to give Davidson was perhaps the only one possible if we were to avoid crossing up previous statements of our own, and other involved agencies, to this man. But the answer was hardly fair to Davidson, and one not likely to be fully accepted by him.

3.  

4. We are sure more will be heard from Davidson.
SUPP!P.T DR. L!O~ DAVIDSON'S LATEST PUBLICATION ON SPACE SAUCERS ENTITLED: "THE AIR FORCE AND THE SAUCERS, PART THREE: THE CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY BECOMES INVOLVED WITH SAUCERS." PLEASE NOTE PAGE 13 X 13 "VISIT TO THE CIA X CIA OFFICE IN CHICAGO." IN THIS SECTION DAVIDSON DID NOT WITHHOLD NAME BECAUSE ASKED HIM TO, BUT BECAUSE HE DIDN'T REMEMBER IT WELL ENOUGH TO RISK MISSPELLING. SEE THE ADDRESS CLIPPED FROM THE OUTER ENVELOPE. THE "SOUND-PROOFED CONFERENCE ROOM" WAS A CONVENIENT CONFERENCE ROOM ON THE FIRST FLOOR OF THE COURT HOUSE USED BY ANY COURT PEOPLE WHO WISH TO GO IN THERE. DID, IN FACT, PROMISE TO GET THE CODE TRANSLATION AND THE IDENTIFICATION OF THE TRANSMITTER FOR DAVIDSON IF POSSIBLE, AND IN ANY EVENT TO GIVE HIM SOME RESPONSE WITHIN A WEEK OR SO. DID NOT X NOT ASK DAVIDSON TO KEEP SECRET THE CHICAGO CIA X CIA LOCATION, BUT ADVISED DAVIDSON NOT TO USE CIA X CIA LETTERHEAD IN HIS FORTHCOMING ARTICLE (BEING FORWARDED UNDER BUCKSLIP) WITHOUT FIRST CLEARING WITH CIA X CIA AUTHORITIES IN WASHINGTON. THE MATTER OF CIA'S CHICAGO LOCATION NEVER CAME UP AND THIS IS SHEER DRAMA AIMED AT MAGAZINE STORY APPEAL. IN OUR TELEPHONE CONVERSATION ON 8 JANUARY 1958 X 8 JANUARY 1958 DAVIDSON ADVISED THAT HIS NEXT ARTICLE (APPROXIMATELY THE ONE HE IS FORWARDING TO YOU) WAS IN THE HANDS OF "PENTAGON SECURITY REVIEW PEOPLE." IF POSSIBLY, THEN, THIS ARTICLE IS NOT YET ON THE PRESS. IN ANY EVENT, AGAIN WE URGE THAT IN VIEW OF THE FACT THAT DAVIDSON HAS BEEN DEALING WITH CIA X CIA, HE BE PUT IN TOUCH WITH FOR FURTHER (INTERMINABLE) BUSINESS, AND WE BE RELIEVED OF THIS Chore.

CH TOT 31/15292
E8W TOT 31/16292
AS HE PROMISED DAVIDSON X DAVIDSON SENT A COPY OF HIS ARTICLE TO THE PENTAGON. THEY SENT IT TO US WITHOUT COMMENT AND WE SENT IT TO COLONEL CROGAN X COLONEL CROGAN, SPEC ASST TO DCI X DCI WITH REMARK THAT WE DID NOT X NOT SEE THAT ANYTHING COULD BE DONE ABOUT IT.
HE AGREED.

REFERENCE LAST SENT OF YOUR TwX. WE DO NOT X NOT WANT ANY BUSINESS WITH DAVIDSON. IF HE WISHES TO CONTACT THE AGENCY HE ALREADY KNOWS ENOUGH NAMES. WE DO NOT X NOT SEE THAT ANY PURPOSE WILL BE SERVED BY GIVING HIM ANY MORE NAMES OR ADDRESSES. IN ADDITION, IT IS FELT THAT WE WOULD ONLY ENCOURAGE MORE SPECULATION BY HIM AND WE TO CONTACT HIM AGAIN OR TO OFFER HIM A NEW CHANNEL IF HE SHOULD CONTACT US. SO UNLESS THERE ARE OVERRIDING REASONS IN THE FUTURE WE DO NOT X NOT WANT INVOLVED IN THIS MESS. SORRY TO LEAVE IT WITH YOU BUT THE ONLY PURPOSE WHICH WOULD BE SERVED BY OTHER ACTION WOULD BE TO GET YOUR OFFICE OFF THE HOOK, BY INVOLVING SOMEONE ELSE IN A MATTER IN WHICH WE WANT NO X NO INVOLVEMENT.

APPROVED FOR RELEASE
DATE: __________

[Signature]
1. Major Hynnes's question concerning alleged efforts by CIA Agents to prevent UFO witnesses making public their sightings
experiences, suggests a possible misinterpretation of the policy governing the relationship of Contact Division field personnel
and their sources.*

2. A deliberate effort is made to apprise each source of Contact Division that the relationship between the source and the
Agency is confidential in nature. This caveat is not intended to impose a security restriction on the information imparted by the
source but to minimize the disclosure of the Agency's relationship with the source. It is conceivable that some confusion may result
through failure of a source to distinguish between revelation of his relationship with the Agency and revelation of whatever
information he possesses. The former is and should be maintained on a confidential basis; the latter is the property of the source to
reveal or withhold as he judgment dictates.

3. On 6 November 1957 a directed collection request for UFO
information was levied on Contact Division by the Office of
Scientific Intelligence under [illegible]. Responses to the require-
ment were forwarded to Collection Staff, OSI on 14 November 1957.

4. Contact Division has a detailed history of Dr. Leon
Davidson's activities in the field of UFO's and outer space communications. ATIC has also communicated with Dr. Davidson to their mutual
disatisfaction.

5. Copies of the pertinent Contact Division field correspondence
are enclosed.

Acting Assistant Director for Operations

Enclosures:
(1) Memo to Chief, CD/00 from Chief, Detroit Office,
dated 7 Nov 57, Subject, Case
(2) Memo to Chief, CD/00 from Chief,
dated 12 Dec 57, Subject, Case
(3) Copy of TKV to HODR, 58-21863, Deleted
CD/00 [illegible] 1 April '58
Distribution: Orig 81 - Addresses, 1 - Acting Chief, CD/00, 2 - CD/00,
1 - Stayback

APPROVED FOR RELEASE
C O T E  \ 1/1/58

#109
MENORADUM FOR: Assistant to the Director

THROUGH: Deputy Assistant Director for Operations

SUBJECT: Inquiry by Major Donald E. Keyhoe

1. Apparently Major Keyhoe is persisting in his effort to find out if a CIA agent told witnesses of unidentified flying objects not to make public information about their sightings. As background for the following is a quotation from his 13 March 1953 memorandum to the DIA:

"We also should like to know whether it is true that in one or more cases agents of the CIA have told UFO witnesses not to make public information in regard to their sightings.

'We have one report to the effect that a witness has interrogated recently about a sighting which involved a photograph and that he was perceptually told not to make this matter public or reveal the fact that he had been interrogated by CIA representatives. If this is not true we should like to know, so that we can assess the value of any similar reports. If it is true and the witness has said he can name the two CIA agents involved then we should like to know on what authority he was ordered to keep silent. Since the Air Force states there is no security involved and I have personally been assured by Air Force officials and by high-ranking Naval Academy classmates of my own that I was in no way doing a disservice to the country by my own revelations, I am seriously concerned as is our board - with this apparent censorship. If it does exist, we should like to know under what laws, and by what authority, it has been established, and also the reasons for requesting witnesses to keep silent."

The 13 March memorandum was referred to the AD/0 by the AD/II for comment; a copy of our reply is attached.

2. In addition, a CD/00 staff employee assigned to AD/0 on 12 December 1957 is turned........
Major Ronald K. Kayhoe, USMC (Ret)
Director of MCRD
1536 Connecticut Ave., N. W.
Washington 6, D. C.

Dear Major Kayhoe:

Thank you for your letter of January 12th.

As you can readily understand we do not normally pass, outside of official channels, either affirmative or negative information in response to an inquiry such as yours. Could it be possible for you to tell us why you require the information, so that we may have a basis for an appropriate reply.

Sincerely,

J. E. Laramie
Executive Officer

Orig: CD/CO
FRED: O/DIC
Dist: 1
Orig - Add.
1 1
1 1

APPROVED FOR RELEASE
DATE: 21 Jan 78
D-4112
National Investigations Committee

As a poster, we have no connection with the...\n
\[
\text{\textbf{INVESTIGATION COMMITTEE}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{ON AFTERPHENOMENA}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{WASHINGTON D.C.}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{FEBRUARY 15, 1960}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{Dear Sir}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{Respectfully,}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{Agent}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{The subject has no connection with classified}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{information.}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{I hope you will be able to answer the question about}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{the subject's identity.}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{Sincerely,}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{Agent}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{The subject has no connection with classified}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{information.}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{I hope you will be able to answer the question about}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{the subject's identity.}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{Sincerely,}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{Agent}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{The subject has no connection with classified}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{information.}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{I hope you will be able to answer the question about}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{the subject's identity.}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{Sincerely,}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{Agent}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{The subject has no connection with classified}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{information.}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{I hope you will be able to answer the question about}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{the subject's identity.}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{Sincerely,}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{Agent}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{The subject has no connection with classified}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{information.}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{I hope you will be able to answer the question about}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{the subject's identity.}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{Sincerely,}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{Agent}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{The subject has no connection with classified}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{information.}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{I hope you will be able to answer the question about}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{the subject's identity.}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{Sincerely,}}
\]

\[
\text{\textbf{Agent}}
\]

\[
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TO</th>
<th>NAME AND ADDRESS</th>
<th>INITIALS</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Assistant to the Director</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks:**

Mayor's assistance in preparing this rather
penny-pinching request that it would be
erect to something.

Essentially no any more to give in
but as any means were to make him edit.

**cc:** Index (Central)
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DATE: 24 Nov 71

**D-15**
Major Donald F. Keyhoe, USMC (Ret.)
Director of NCAP
1536 Connecticut Avenue, N. W.
Washington 6, D. C.

Dear Major Keyhoe:

Receipt of your letter of February 12, 1959 is acknowledged.

I regret that I still have insufficient information upon which to base an appropriate answer to your question. However, I assume that the conversation you refer to concerns paragraphs 16 and 17 of your letter dated 13 March 1958. If so, my reply of 17 April 1958 furnishes all the information available on the subject.

Sincerely,

J. S. Earman
Executive Officer

CD/00
Distribution:
Original - Addressees
1

5 Mar 59
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D-116
TO: Chief, Contact Division

FROM: Chief, Detroit Office

DATE: 16 December 1960

SUBJECT: Sighting of Unusual Object

1. If the USAF is still interested in unidentified objects in the sky they may be interested in the following report given to us by

2. "At about 6:24 pm Wednesday, 28 December 1960, I had the radio on and was listening to a program (the second three star report which would fix the exact time). I looked out to the east (about due east) and saw an object that looked like a meteor except that it was extremely large and almost like a disc, not like a point of light. It was a greenish light (just off white), but green and quite visible to me. I may not have picked it up as soon as it appeared but it was visible to me at an angle of an elevation of about 50 to 55 degrees and it came down to within about 20 degrees elevation. Of course it is impossible to estimate distance as I didn't know what I was looking at, my first impression was that it would land in the Detroit River. It was moving at an angle to the vertical of about 10 degrees, running off to the right or the south. It eventually burned out before it got to the ground. The thing which astonished me about it was that it is not the meteorite season and it was much bigger than anything I had ever seen. It was definitely incandescent, and of a very high temperature.

3. "During my class a little later I asked if any of the students had seen this object. Out of 25 in the class, was the only one who saw it. He said he was going east on Hines Drive. He thought it was going to land at the end of Hines Drive. Hines Drive runs east and west. He said he was about two or three miles west of Telegraph and when asked about where it was going to land he said about Telegraph. Telegraph is about three miles west of where I was, so that means he judged that it was closer. This error in his estimate verifies that it must have been a sizable object because it was east of me and it looked big to me. He said he was driving fairly fast when he saw it, but had exactly the same impression I did."

4. "Another student who was not in my class last night, said he saw it. However, I have not had an opportunity to question him.

5. "This was not flying saucer stuff. I say that only in the sense that I have worked all my life with high temperatures. I am a doctor here in dynamics and physics and I know a high temperature when I see it. That's why I would say the object was way up in temperature. I thought it might be related to some part of a missile or something similar. It was going at a high velocity and had to in order to reach the temperature it was at."
19 Jan 65

Patrol Lieutenant

Capt Pat. Officer, Putney, Naval Air Sta.
Picked up on Radar 3 consecutive tracks on Dec 19th. 1st track
3:15 and 3:30 P.M.

Initial sighting 2 objects 10 miles apart. 2nd J 1.6 miles south
S E of Putney, Naval Air Sta. 3rd objective going 37 miles per hour in
north-westerly direction of estimate speed 600 miles per hour. Plant off surface about 10 miles
out of Putney.

3rd sighting on screen U F O S W of Putney, which
made 160 km and dropped off
screen, on same date about same
time. Checked with British F B station
of Salisbury, who had picked up message from U. S. Coast Guard
relative to refueling sightings
of U F O.

Radar beam data all kinds of
U F O S. Estimated in range between 8000 to
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Please request from 19 Jan 65

New Case

asked OSL to evaluate eafe on
what has happened in last 12 weeks on
unidentified flying objects by 21 Jan 65.

3 yrs ago Mayor Hale, Retired, organized
the National Investigations Committee on
Aerial Phenomena (CNICAP) 15-30 Jan.

At JF 10 Washington, D.C. (I) is believed
that Hale is no longer with organization

Current issue of Time magazine has article by
R. Morse describing phenonema & stating that
AF is trying to downplay it. Magee
AF denied event to the public.

Several articles in newspaper recently on
flying saucers.

Above info given to Mylene
19 Jan 65

Deadline for info - Shino. 21 Jan 65
Undiscovered Flying Objects

Hart Questionnaire Committee

What has happened in last 10 weeks on flying objects

9 or 10 weeks ago unusual

Current Time Magazine article by Pluto describing planets + objects
to downgraded because it doesn't want it to get out.
Since June 58 with Dr.

Acting Directors - Hall, Richard D.

National Science Foundation, D.O.B.

on G.L. (NSF)
Chief Petty Officer, Patuxent Naval Air Station, picked up on radar 3 consecutive trackings: December 19th, 1964, between 3:15 and 3:30 P.M.

Initial sighting 2 objects 10 miles apart
2nd sighting picked up on screen 39 miles S.W. of Patuxent Naval Air Station traveling towards base at estimated speed of 6 thousand miles per hour. Lost it off screen approximately 10 miles out of Patuxent.

3rd sighting on screen one UFO 8 miles N.E. of Patuxent which made 160° turn and dropped off screen. On same date about same time, checked with an FAA station at Salisbury, Maryland which had picked up messages from U.S. Coast Guard reporting sightings of UFOs.

Based on radar beam data, altitude of UFOs estimated in range between 2000 to 25000 feet.
Memorandum

TO: Chief, Contact Division  
FROM: Chief,  

DATE: 25 January 1965

SUBJECT: National Investigation Committee on Aerial Phenomena (NICAP) Case

1. This confirms conversation 19 January 1965, at which time various samples and reports on UFO sightings procured from NICAP were given to OSI for transmittal to OSI. The information was desired by OSI to assist them in the preparation of a paper on UFO's.

2. In accordance with request, we met on 19 January 1965 with Mr. Richard Harris Hall, Acting Director of NICAP. Though Major William Kehoe, founder of NICAP, is still listed as Director of the organization, we gather that he is present on the premises at 1536 Connecticut Avenue, N. W., only infrequently.

3. The material which was given to us on loan by Mr. Hall is representative of the type of information available at NICAP. Their past and present correspondence from all over the US relative to UFO sightings is voluminous. They have slack periods, as was the case in December 1964, thus there were no "Investigator's" reports immediately available for the month of December. NICAP has active Committees scattered throughout the US. Investigators active with these committees call upon the sources of reported UFO sightings to obtain first hand, eye witness accounts of the sightings. A printed form, prepared by the Air Force for NICAP's use, is utilized during the interview, and submitted to NICAP headquarters along with the source's eye witness account as told to the investigator. It was our understanding that copies of these reports go directly to various Air Force bases. There apparently is a strong feeling on the part of NICAP officials, i.e., Kehoe and Hall, that the Air Force tends to downgrade the importance of UFO sightings because they (the Air Force) does not care to have too much made of the sightings by the US press. We were told by Mr. Hall that there have been instances where the Air Force has attempted to intimidate witnesses and get them to sign false statements relative to UFO sightings.
4. The most recent UFO sighting of considerable interest to NICAP was the series of pick-ups of UFO's on the radar screen of the Patuxent Naval Air Station between 1500 and 1530 on 19 December 1964. This incident was reported in the press as a single sighting, a UFO approaching Patuxent at speeds up to 3800 miles per hour. The Air Force a day or so later stated in the press that the blip was caused by faulty radar equipment. Actually, according to Hall, who talked with an unidentified person close to the situation, there were three separate sightings:

(a) Two UFO's about 10 miles apart, southeast of Patuxent, approaching at a high rate of speed, disappeared from the screen;
(b) A single UFO picked up 39 miles southeast of Patuxent, altitude estimated somewhere between three thousand and 25 thousand feet, approaching base at estimated speed of six thousand miles per hour. UFO lost from screen about 10 miles out;
(c) A single UFO eight miles northeast of Patuxent, approaching at high rate of speed, made 180° turn, and dropped off the screen.

The Federal Aviation Agency (FAA) station at Salisbury, Maryland, was contacted to determine if any reported UFO's; a radio operator had received a message from a US Coast Guard ship reporting "visual objects sighted" in same locale at approximately the same time of day. Hall did give us the name of one of the radar operators at Patuxent—a Chief Pinkerton.

5. There was another UFO sighting reported in the area by the Washington Post within the last week or 10 days. Several men watching from the windows of the old Munitions Building on Constitution Avenue watched several UFO's on the horizon traveling at high rates of speed. They have promised to fill out NICAP's sighting questionnaire, which Hall says we are welcome to see when available.

6. [Handwritten note: Informed us that she is requesting a security clearance on Mr. Hall predicated upon biographic information provided by [Handwritten name].]
10 APRIL 1967

ROUTINE

CONTACTS/NEW YORK

FROM

NEW CASE

1100 1 1

1. ORD FOR TRAINING NEEDS THIRTY (30) DAY LOAN OF FILM = UFO-FACT OR FANCY = SPONSORED BY IBM AND RECENTLY SHOWN ON CBS.

2. IF YOU CANNOT GET FILM ON LOAN, CAN YOU PURCHASE COPY AND AT WHAT PRICE.

3. PLEASE TWX REPLY.

ORD
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D-(#14)
182325Z CITE CONTACTS/NEW YORK 7866
CONTACTS/WASHINGTON

RE CASE AND CONTACTS/WASHINGTON 76335, BELIEVE ESTABLISHED AGENCY CHANNELS IN NEW YORK WILL HANDLE ORD REQUEST FOR "UFO-FACT OR FANCY" MOST EXPEDITIOUSLY. IF WE GET INTO THESE ROUTINE FILM ACTIONS IT GENERALLY TENDS TO CONFUSE THE HARMONIOUS RELATIONSHIP ON FILM PROCUREMENT.

BT
Nothing But the Facts on UFOs

or

Which Novosti Writer Do You Read?

1. Whether you believe in unidentified flying objects (UFOs) or not, Novosti (APN -- the Soviet "unofficial" news agency) agrees with you. We are forwarding attached reproductions of two articles which argue both sides of the issue:

   a. "Unidentified Flying Objects" by Felix Zigel, Doctor of Science (Technology), Assistant Professor, Moscow Aviation Institute, appearing in the February 1968 issue of Soviet Life (counterpart to USIA's America) for which APN supplies all materials; and


The first article takes UFOs quite seriously; the second laughs them off and even claims the U.S. publicizes them to divert people from its failures and aggressions.

2. It is not surprising that two writers take opposing views on so controversial a subject, or that one adds a dollop of propaganda. It is surprising to find any disagreement on any subject in Soviet media, especially in materials made available by the same agency to approximately the same readership. The irony is obvious.

3.
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2 unclassified articles (para 1)

1
"FLYING SAUCERS"? THEY'RE A MYTH!

Villem Lyustiberg; ARN Science Commentator

In the last 20 years publication of literature on "unidentified flying objects" has advanced to one of the first places in the world. This problem is dealt with by numerous associations and clubs.

Are these "flying saucers" just imagination or reality? Thousands of recorded statements by eye-witnesses seem to show that "there must be something in it". But let us try to look all these materials from a different stand. So, as the convinced supporter of the "saucer" theory say almost every time, you can draw your own conclusion while we give you.

"Facts Only"

Kenneth Arnold, an American pilot, is the "godfather" of flying saucers. It he who noticed in 1947 nine shining discs flying in formation at the speed of about 3,000 km an hour.

The next encounter with saucers had a tragic outcome. In January 1948, an immense flying saucer appeared over the Nix US Air Base. Pursuing it Captain Thomas Malthell perished. The last thing he reported from an altitude of 9,000 metres was that he saw an object and was going to approach it.

This story found extensive response. Many considered it to be a stern warning of unknown envoys from other planets to leave them alone. There was a great deal of a talk about the mysterious death of the pilot. It was received as undeniable proof of the existence of mighty forces still unknown to us.

But the results of a thorough investigation by US authorities received much less publicity. The mysterious "saucer" proved to be nothing but a thin-walled plastic balloon made by US Naval Forces under the secret "Skyhawk Operation" project.

Such balloons could rise to an altitude of 30,000 metres. Meanwhile, Thomas Malthell pursuing it, forgot that he had no oxygen apparatus on board his craft.

We know of stories about encounters and even hand-to-hand fights with pilots of craft landing from other planets. They were shot at, but without success.

A saucer out down a tree on the Amazon River shore and disappeared in the turbid stream. It was never recovered. Another saucer glided over the earth like...
an injured bird and almost crashed before the eyes of witnesses. But "having sp out" several pieces of metal, it levelled out its course and flew off. Deliver to the police, the metal proved to be ordinary tin.

An abandoned silvery disc was found in the deep rock-coal seams in Norwegian coal mines on Spitzbergen. It was pierced and marked by micrometeor impacts and bore all traces of having performed a long space voyage. It was sent for analysis to the Pentagon and disappeared there.

Nothing but a saucer put out of commission a high-voltage power transmission line in 1965 and thus plunged several large American cities into darkness for six hours.

But the most thrilling masterpiece of this sort was probably the "Interview w: a Man from Venus" published at the close of 1967 by the West German Stern magazine. This materialized blue-eyed "superman," a version of Nietzsche's "blond beast", proved to be a secret service agent of the Pentagon. He was 190 cm tall, spoke excellent English (it was English indeed!) and could breathe freely in our atmos:phere without any devices. His modest fibre suitcase contained an unusual silvery suit, flexible like silk and so hard that a diamond drill broke off it.

The man from Venus said that he could walk freely in such a suit over the surf:ce of the hottest stars, ignoring all powerful gravitational fields.

Maybe these "facts" will do?

How Can They Be Studied?

It is easier to ask this question, than to answer it. These flying saucers -- they are like Our Lady: they appear to those who believe in them. And they per:sistently fail to show themselves to air observation posts, meteorologists and astronomers, i.e. precisely to those who can give us accurate information and trust:worthy descriptions of a flying object.

Experiments that do not repeat themselves, or the chance appearance of a phenomenon always either handicap the possibility of investigation considerably or exclude it altogether.

Nowhere in the world is there EVEN ONE trustworthy stereoscopic photograph making it possible to trace from two points simultaneously the outlines of a solid flying object clearly. All photographs that exist have been made with single-lens cameras and always leave a margin for doubt.

A series of such photographs amazingly resembles a straw hat with a black silk ribbon, thrown into the air. Others undoubtedly prove to be internegatives obtained from two combined slides, for instance, that of a landscape and that of a strangely designed craft drawn on white paper. Modern photo:graphing techniques allow photographs to be produced which no expert will ever prove to be counterfeit. They are real. But they do not show strangers from space; they show quite ordinary earthly objects unexpectedly foreshortened -- pots, pans, plastic toys, and the like.

Are there photographs which evoke no doubts whatsoever? Yes, there are. They always show shining objects of a circular or oval shape with vague outlines. Most
likely these photographs show ball lightning. Incidentally, the nature of the lightnings has not been fully elucidated yet either, due to the vagueness of the place and time of its formation. However, no one ever thought of ascribing a cosmic origin to it.

Even with a superficial analysis, mysterious flares on clouds proved to be reflections of electric welding, warning lights of airplanes in the area of airport or distant summer lightning. And the November 1967 "saucer" over Sofia proved to be a high-altitude NATO reconnaissance balloon.

Among the most serious works devoted to an investigation of the problem, there are two which ought to be mentioned. One of them is the book "Flying Saucers" by Donald Menzel who explains almost all cases of their appearance by disturbances in the Earth's or Sun's atmosphere. Our planet travels in the upper layers of the Sun's atmosphere where clots of high-temperature plasma are moving freely.

The other is a book by Frank Edwards. It is a collection of statements, notes and records of eye-witness testimony classified into several sections. True, Edwards often qualifies his source as a witness who did not wish to have his name mentioned but who may be fully trusted, or that the trustworthiness of the witness is confirmed by numerous of his countrymen, but he cannot mention his name so far for a number of reasons. That's not very convincing, is it?

For Whom Is It Not a Myth?

They are those for whom science is a business. Taking advantage of the lively interest of people for everything that is strange and unusual, numerous lecturers in the West appear before audiences with reports and stories, invite eye-witnesses to such lectures, and demonstrate photographs and slides. Most of these lecturers are nothing but ordinary quacks. True, there are people who are sincerely convinced in the truth of what they are talking about. They strive to draw the interest of the public to certain phenomena but, we are sorry to say, there is more harm than use in that.

The Americans Lesly and Adamski are the most outright frauds among them. Using their "good friendly relations" with strangers from other planets, the enterprising businessmen "visited" Mars, the Moon and Venus, learned from our cosmic neighbours to treat by simple and accessible methods such diseases as cancer, glaucoma, hypertension and others which afflict the human race. Their lectures, motion-pictures, books and medical practice have brought them many hundred thousand dollars out of the pockets of trusting listeners and patients already.

We may trace a clearly defined regularity in the appearance of large numbers of flying saucers. And, strange as it might seem, this regularity is closely connected with earthly events.

The first "cycle" of four years began in 1947. The number of saucers always grows sharply on the eve of presidential elections in the USA. This is difficult to explain. Maybe people on other planets lay bets as to who will win in the next elections -- the republicans or the democrats. Perhaps, these saucers appear in order to divert the voters' thoughts from the again non-fulfilled presidential programme and promises to make the country a "great" and "prospering" society.

There is another cycle. True, it is not determined by time. Saucers flooded the earth's atmosphere in 1951, in the months when the American troops were waging a ruthless, annihilating war against the Korean People's Democratic Republic.
The next invasion of saucers was in 1965-1966, when 35 American advisers in South Vietnam were quickly replaced by half-a-million-strong army equipped with the latest arms and intending to rout the National-Liberation Front within two weeks and when the President of the United States of America, without declaring war and in violation the constitution of his own country, gave the order that the peaceful population of North Vietnam be subjected to brutal bombings.

In short, when newspaper circulation drops, when readers get tired of economic and politics and when they are to be diverted from "irrelevant" questions, the Western businessmen resort to three reliable, "always fresh" sensations: Flying Saucers, the Sea Serpent (sometimes it is substituted by the Loch Ness Lake monster) and the Snow Man.

It is much nicer to read about mysterious craft from Venus than to think of the future, of the wage freeze, of growing prices or unemployment. Statesmen in imperialist countries resort to this "information" quite deliberately. For them the flying saucers are not a myth, but a well-camouflaged means for misinforming the people. And nothing but that.

(Moskovsky Komsomolets, February 16. In full)

THE END
FLYING OBJECTS

Unidentified Flying Objects
February 1963

First Account

The purpose of observing a phenomenon that had not been observed before was to verify its reality and establish how it moved. The observation was conducted from a balloon升空器 by a team of observers, including a pilot, a navigator, and a physicist. The phenomenon was observed at a distance of about 300 meters from the balloon, and it appeared to be a small, white, glowing object. The observers recorded its movement and noted that it was moving at a constant speed and direction.

Second Account

This accounts for the observation of an object that was reported to be flying at a high altitude. The observers noted that the object was moving at a constant speed and direction, and that it was emitting a bright light. They also recorded that the object was moving in a straight line and that it was not affected by any atmospheric conditions.

Third Account

The observation was conducted from a balloon升空器 by a team of observers, including a pilot, a navigator, and a physicist. The phenomenon was observed at a distance of about 300 meters from the balloon, and it appeared to be a small, white, glowing object. The observers recorded its movement and noted that it was moving at a constant speed and direction.

Fourth Account

Not one but several reports came from astronomers at the Mountain Astrophysical Station, 1958, about the observation of a light object. The object was recorded as being brighter than the Moon, and it was moving in a straight line.

The object was observed by several astronomers at different locations, and it was recorded as being brighter than the Moon. The object was also observed by several astronomers at different locations, and it was recorded as being brighter than the Moon. The object was also observed by several astronomers at different locations, and it was recorded as being brighter than the Moon. The object was also observed by several astronomers at different locations, and it was recorded as being brighter than the Moon.

The object was observed by several astronomers at different locations, and it was recorded as being brighter than the Moon. The object was also observed by several astronomers at different locations, and it was recorded as being brighter than the Moon. The object was also observed by several astronomers at different locations, and it was recorded as being brighter than the Moon.
The IFF system is designed to be used in military aircraft, allowing pilots to communicate with each other, air traffic control, and ground-based personnel. It is an essential component of modern air combat and serves as a crucial link in the chain of communication between aircraft and ground stations.

The IFF system operates by using a combination of radar and electronic means to identify and track aircraft. It consists of an interrogator, which sends out signals, and an responder, which responds to the interrogator's signals. The system can be used to identify friends and foes, to track the location of aircraft, and to provide real-time information about the air situation.

The IFF system was developed during World War II by the British as a means of preventing friendly fire casualties. It has since been adopted by many countries and is now an integral part of military aviation. The IFF system is continually being updated and improved to meet the demands of modern warfare.
1. WE ARE ATTEMPTING TO PROVIDE SOME SORT OF ANALYTICAL GUIDANCE ON THE REFERENCE. IN THE MEANTIME, PLEASE FORWARD BY TWX THE FULL NAME OF THE SOURCE OF THE REFERENCE AS WELL AS HIS AFFILIATION. IN ADDITION, PLEASE ADVISE WHETHER THE MATERIAL WAS CLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL AT THE REQUEST OF THE SOURCE.

2. IN VIEW OF THE UNIQUE QUALITY OF THIS INFORMATION WE REQUEST THAT YOU PROVIDE THIS INFORMATION ASAP.
CONFIDENTIAL 141445Z APR 76 STAFF
CITE DCD/
TO: PRIORITY DCD/HEADQUARTERS.
ATTN: DCD/
FROM: DCD/ UFO RESEARCH
SUBJECT: CASE UFO RESEARCH
REF (A): DCD/HEADQUARTERS 14596
(??) FORM 618 DATED 9 APRIL 1976, UFO STUDY.

1. SOURCE'S FULL NAME IS
   HE IS EMPLOYED AS

2. REFERENT B MATERIAL CLASSIFIED CONFIDENTIAL AT HIS
   REQUEST. SOURCE SEEKS GUIDANCE FROM CIA UFO EXPERTS AS TO
   MATERIAL IN HIS REPORT THAT SHOULD REMAIN CLASSIFIED.
15 April 1976

The UFO study was turned over to Dr. (ADVISER) who was also briefed on the developments to date. Dr. (NAME) said he would show the study to a few people to determine possible implications of the interim information and would be back to us soon on this matter.
16 APRIL 1976

ATTN: [Redacted]

FROM: [Redacted]

SUBJECT: DCD CASE - UFO RESEARCH

REFERENCES: A) [Redacted]
B) [Redacted]

1. PER THE REQUEST IN REFERENCE (B), WE ATTEMPTED TO OBTAIN ANALYTICAL GUIDANCE ON THE UFO SUBJECT.

2. WE CONTACTED THE A/DDsat (DR [Redacted]) TO SEE IF HE KNEW OF ANY OFFICIAL UFO PROGRAM AND ALSO TO ATTEMPT TO ANSWER SOME OF THE QUESTIONS POSED BY [Redacted] DR [Redacted] EXHIBITED INTEREST IN [Redacted] WHICH WAS HANDCARRIED TO HIS OFFICE. AFTER A SHORT EXAMINATION OF ITS CONTENTS DR [Redacted] ADVISED US THAT HE WOULD PERSONALLY LOOK INTO THE MATTER AND GET BACK TO US. AS WE DISCUSSED IN REFERENCE (A) DR [Redacted] HAS SINCE CONTACTED US AND RELAYED THE FOLLOWING INFORMATION.

3. IT WOULD APPEAR TO BE BEST IF YOU ADVISED [Redacted] THAT HE SHOULD...
It does not appear that the government has any apparent program in progress for the identification/solution of the UFO phenomena. Dr. [redacted] feels that the efforts of independent researchers, [redacted], are vital for further progress in this area. At the present time, there are offices and personnel within the agency who are monitoring the UFO phenomena, but again, this is not currently on an official basis. Dr. [redacted] feels that the best approach would be to keep in touch with and in fact develop reporting channels in this area to keep the agency/community informed of any new developments. In particular, any information which might indicate a threat potential would be of interest, as would specific indications of foreign developments or applications of UFO related research.

4. Dr. [redacted] has advised us that he would evaluate any additional information we might receive as well as disseminate significant developments through appropriate channels should it be warranted.

5. We wish to stress again, that there does not now appear to be any special program on UFOs within the intelligence community and this should be relayed to [redacted].
6. IN VIEW OF DR... WILLINGNESS TO REVIEW ADDITIONAL
INFORMATION RECEIVED ON THE UFO PHENOMENA WE WILL KEEP SUBJECT
CASE OPEN TO YOUR OFFICE FOR THE PRESENT.

7. PLEASE KEEP US ADVISED OF ANY NEW DEVELOPMENTS.
Regarding our recent discussion, I have enclosed some material in which you may be interested.

In particular, please note attachment A. Our source obtained it.

Our source felt that the material might be of interest to the US Government and that it should be evaluated by the Agency. The source also felt that it could be analyzed outside the context of a UFO connection if necessary to remove it from a controversial subject.

As before we are faced with the problem of having UFO related data which is deemed potentially important for the US by our SLI source, evaluated. As you are aware, at this time there is no channel or working group to which we can turn for this type of analysis and dissemination. Thus, if it is acceptable to you, we will continue to periodically send you or your designee of any new or potentially important.

(Continued)
Continued

developments which might arise from current independent scientific research on the UFO phenomena.

If you feel that offers some potential we can obtain a more detailed report through our source.

Attachments:
Attachment A:
Attachment B:
Attachment C:
On 25 June 1976, [redacted] met with [redacted] of ORD [redacted] regarding possible interest by that office in the UFO case. [redacted] was provided copies of the [redacted] memo as well as the original [redacted]. These items were provided at his request.

[redacted] felt that there may be some ongoing ORD interest, depending on the evaluation of the material provided.

[redacted] asked that we attempt to obtain additional info on the [redacted] system which we agreed to do. (A TWX was sent to this effect to the ORD Office on 25 June 1976.)

[redacted] asked that the ORD interest be kept at a low profile until some evaluation could be made, but at the same time indicated that he would be in touch with me on a fairly regular basis.

25 June 1976
SUBJECT: CASE 7 - UFO RESEARCH/ORD REQUEST FOR ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.

REFERENCE: A. B.

1. ORD HAS EXHIBITED SOME INTEREST IN THE WORK OF A QUALIFIED ANALYST IS CURRENTLY ATTEMPTING TO EVALUATE SYSTEM AND HAS REQUESTED ADDITIONAL INFORMATION.

2. WE NOTE THAT IN SOME OF THE EARLIER CORRESPONDENCE, FROM YOUR OFFICE (REFERENCE B) MENTION WAS MADE OF THE POSSIBILITY OF OBTAINING MORE COMPLETE DESCRIPTION OF SYSTEM. IF THIS POSSIBILITY STILL EXISTS, ORD WOULD APPRECIATE SEEING WHATEVER IS AVAILABLE.

3. PLEASE KEEP US ADVISED OF ANY NEW DEVELOPMENTS.
At a recent meeting to evaluate so material from DCD, you mentioned a personal interest in the UFO phenomena. As you may recall, I mentioned my own interest in the subject as well as the fact that DCD had been receiving UFO related material from many of our S&T sources who are presently conducting related research. These scientists include some who have been associated with the Agency for years and whose credentials remove them from the "nut" variety.

The attached material came to my attention through these sources and it appears to have some legitimate SI or community interest potential.

The work being carried out by Dr. [redacted] should, in the view of our S&T sources, be evaluated by the Agency or community.

In view of the expertise associated with your office, as well as your interest in the subject, I felt you might like to see the material.

[If you need additional information or if you feel there is some potential, I would be glad to discuss this with you. If not, please feel free to destroy the material.]
2417/62 SEP 76 STAFF

TOD IMMEDIATE DIRECTOR.

REF:

1. [Redacted]

2. [Redacted]

3. 23 SEPT WITH PERSONAL REQUEST TO INVESTIGATE UFO SIGHTED IN MROCCO.

4. [Redacted]

5. [Redacted]

6. [Redacted]
Memorandum

TO: Chief, Domestic Collection Division

ATTN: 

FROM: Chief, Minneapolis Office

SUBJECT: Report of UFO at Time of Soviet Satellite Failure

DATE: 9 February 1978

1. At 4:30 on 8 February 1978, [redacted] who works for the [redacted], reported information that might relate to the Soviet satellite that fell in Canada on Tuesday, 24 January. He said that while going to work a week ago Friday, 27 Jan, he was on the bridge in [redacted] and he observed an odd object coming down in the sky. No description was obtained.

2. We said we would pass his information to the Air Force, and if they wanted to contact him for more information we would give the Air Force his name and telephone number.

3. For the record, in the event that anyone is tracking UFO's, we forward the following:

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]

APPROVED FOR RELEASE

DATE [redacted]

[Redacted]

[Redacted]
HERMANHOF FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Office Responsibilities for Non-Conventional Types of Air Vehicles

REFERENCE: Memorandum for the Record, 14 June 1954, this subject

1. Reference memorandum is hereby rescinded.

2. Henceforth, ASD will conduct all surveillance of available information on this subject. All other CSI divisions will provide such technical consultative assistance to ASD as it requires to discharge its assigned responsibility in this field. ASD will request a project of the requisite scope when appropriate for inclusion in the CSI Production Program.

3. ASD will maintain the CSI files on this subject. All other divisions will forward their files to ASD and thereafter terminate their filing activities on this subject.

[Signature]
HERBERT SCOVILLE, JR.
Assistant Director
Scientific Intelligence

DISTRIBUTION:

Cigr - AD/SI
1 - Elect/SI
1 - FIA/SI
1 - DAD/3/SI
1 - CS/SI
1 - C/SI
1 - ASD Chief
MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

SUBJECT: Comments on OO-B-90229, Sighting of Unconventional Aircraft

1. The distance and lighting conditions under which the source sighted the unusual object would make it extremely difficult to determine just what was being seen. In some degree, the description fits a vertical take-off aircraft or a missile or aircraft launched from a zero length rail. The zero length launching could most certainly be accomplished only with a rocket which would produce a large flame and smoke which would have been very noticeable. The spiraling of the object as it left the launcher does not fit very well with a vertical take-off aircraft.

2. The very small amount of details given in the referenced report does not permit an identification of the object sighted. It does, however, tend to indicate that it was not a "flying saucer". In 1954, the Scientific Advisory Board to the Chief of Staff, USAF, appointed an ad hoc committee of three scientists to evaluate project "Y". The committee found that several factors had been overlooked or minimized in their development, such as payload, drag factors, and mechanical design problems, to such an extent that the committee recommended against support for the project. Air Force support of the project now is in basic research concerned with vertical thrust which modifies considerably the flying saucer concept.

Enclosure: OO-B-90229 Report

Robert Strauss, Jr.
Assistant Director
Scientific Intelligence

36541
DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE  
HEADQUARTERS FOREIGN TECHNOLOGY DIVISION  
WRIGHT-PATTerson AIR FORCE BASE, OHIO 45433

TO:  

CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
DEPUTY DIRECTOR FOR SCIENTIFIC INTELLIGENCE  

ALLEE:

DECLASSIFICATION OF THE "REPORT OF THE SCIENTIFIC PANEL ON UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS"  

FROM:  

ERIC L. SCHNEIDER, COLONEL, USAF  
DEPUTY FOR TECHNOLOGY AND SUBSYSTEMS

JUL 12 1972

1. Request that consideration be given to declassify the "Report of the Scientific Panel on Unidentified Flying Objects", dated 17 January 1953.

2. This particular report has been referred to in a number of articles by the news media and by some of the more vocal UFO hobby clubs.

3. Request that consideration be given to declassifying the report to "UNCLASSIFIED" or "FOR OFFICIAL USE ONLY".

FOR THE COMMANDER  

ERIC L. SCHNEIDER, COLONEL, USAF  
DEPUTY FOR TECHNOLOGY AND SUBSYSTEMS
Dear Mr. Head,

I am still unable to communicate a Scientific Advisory Board as instructed. We have instructed Dr. E. E. Anderson to communicate directly with Mr. W. H. Bowen, Dr. R. S. Boas, and myself to make. After the recommendations were included, the report received two conclusions and one recommendation which were included in Tab A of the report. Very recently, the Air Force has requested that the conclusions of this report be declassified so that they may be read in the press. A copy of the report is included here for your information.

I have discussed this matter with Dr.Anderson and Dr. Koret, who agree that the conclusion mentioned in paragraph 2 of the recommendations included if you wish to can be declassified. I have, as well as this advice, will not move to a declassification of the conclusions of paragraph 2 of the recommendations in paragraph 3. It is our feeling that the recommendations of the Board with this report should not be declassified that paragraph 2 would be retained as editors with the conclusion and that the final six lines of paragraph 2 can stand as written.

I have quoted the Air Force as to whether the cases of the Board and the Board would be true. They have pointed out that cases could be used only within military service and would not be given to the press. Only in those cases of the Board at times to either only it should be read and that it should be given for the use of the cases. They may well be used when necessary.
We would be very much appreciated as you would let us know
soon to us that the above proposal is to be the Air Force inte-
rated into the Fairchild Aircraft. We must agree with the following
paragraph, however.

"All questions concerning the Fairchild Aircraft must be
sent to the Fairchild Aircraft Company."

Larry Williams
Fairchild Aircraft

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]
1. The article in the French newspaper L'Amour was not very sufficient or suitable to prove the identification of the body. The tone of the article gives the impression that the writing has been censored. Therefore it could be possible that the authorities were trying to conceal the fact that the body had been identified. In the case of L'Amour, the article has not been published. In this case of L'Amour, the information about the body has been censored. In the case of the Blue Book, the information, a combination of facts and events, has been kept all information private. In other cases of similar acts, the percentage of the facts that are published amounts to less than 50%.

It is through oral tradition of the U.S. G. reported in various newspapers to build on publicity of certain additional items that could prove it to be clear that it is of the Blue Book syndrome, and that strictly on identification could be made just as has happened in a number of similar cases.

2. To do additional investigation with the Air Force Police Federal Identification System on records of unidentified flying objects, the families that are prone to investigate in foreign countries as well as to the United States.

3. It is time that on 7 October 1954 there will be 50,200 million miles from the earth, and the moon's position in a long period time. Any, however, we will have a rare opportunity to study solar eclipse with an electronic device that is available. Processing the moon's visibility, it is now attached importance to the possibility that the opportunity for another scientific study. However, on the moment, this cannot

Copied From Nearly Illegible Original


Mr. Wilson:

A copy of which is attached and marked a) for present purposes and b) for the General Assembly.

L. A copy of which is attached and marked a) for present purposes and b) for the General Assembly.

(Signed)

[Name]

[Signature]

Date: 1st April 1947

[Signature]

[Name]

[Date]
MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Office Responsibilities for Non-Conventional Types of Air Vehicles

REFERENCE: Memorandum for the Record, 14 June 1954, this subject

1. Reference memorandum is hereby rescinded.

2. Henceforth, ASD will conduct all surveillance of available information on this subject. All other OSI Divisions will provide such technical consultative assistance to ASD as it requires to discharge its assigned responsibility in this field. ASD will request a project of the requisite scope when appropriate for inclusion in the OSI Production Program.

3. ASD will maintain the OSI files on this subject. All other Divisions will forward their files to ASD and thereupon terminate their filing activities on this subject.

HERBERT SCHOVILLE, JR.
Assistant Director
Scientific Intelligence

DISTRIBUTION:
Orig - AD/SI
1 - ASD/SI
1 - Elec/SI
1 - FSA/SI
1 - DAD/P/SI
1 - CS/SI
1 - OS/SI
1 - AD chrono
Dr. Lloyd V. Berkner
President
Associated Universities, Incorporated
Room 6920
350 Fifth Avenue
New York 1, New York

Dear Lloyd:

You will recall in January 1953, we constituted a Scientific Advisory Panel on Unidentified Flying Objects with Drs. H. F. Robertson as Chairman, Samuel Goudsmit, Luis W. Alvarez, Thornton Page, and yourself as members. After its deliberation, the Panel reached two conclusions and made two recommendations which were included as Tab A of the report. Very recently, the Air Force has requested that the conclusions of this report be declassified so that they may be used in the press. A copy of the report is enclosed herewith for your information.

I have discussed this matter with Dr. Robertson and Dr. Goudsmit who agree that the conclusion contained in paragraph 2 and the recommendation contained in paragraph 4a can be declassified. But, they, as well as this Agency, will not agree to a declassification of the conclusion in paragraph 3 or the recommendation in paragraph 4b. It is our feeling that the association of the Panel with this Agency should not be disclosed; that paragraph 1 could be rewritten to eliminate this connection; and that the final six lines of paragraph 4 can stand as written.

I have queried the Air Force as to whether the names of the Panel members would be used. They have replied that names would be used only within official circles and would not be given to the press. Yet, as such information has a tendency at times to filter out, it should be recognized that, if approval is given for use of the names, they may well become common knowledge.
It would be very much appreciated if you could let me know as soon as possible what your reaction is to the Air Force declassification proposal; whether you agree with Dr. Robertson, Dr. Goodenow and myself on limiting any declassification; and whether you would approve or disapprove the use of your name, granted that Agency connection with the Panel is withheld.

Very best regards.

Sincerely,

Philip G. Strong
Deputy Assistant Director

Enclosure

UFO Report (Secret)
Dr. Thornton Page
Johns Hopkins University
Baltimore, Maryland

Dear Dr. Page:

You will recall in January 1953, we constituted a Scientific Advisory Panel on Unidentified Flying Objects with Drs. H. P. Robertson as Chairman, Samuel Goudsmit, Luis W. Alvarez, Lloyd V. Berkner, and yourself as members. After its deliberation, the Panel reached two conclusions and made two recommendations which were included as Tab A of the report. Very recently, the Air Force has requested that the conclusions of this report be declassified so that they may be used in the press. A copy of the report is enclosed herewith for your information.

I have discussed this matter with Dr. Robertson and Dr. Goudsmit who agree that the conclusion contained in paragraph 2 and the recommendation contained in paragraph 4a can be declassified. But, they, as well as this Agency, will not agree to a declassification of the conclusion in paragraph 3 or the recommendation in paragraph 4b. It is our feeling that the association of the Panel with this Agency should not be disclosed; that paragraph 1 could be rewritten to eliminate this connection; and that the final six lines of paragraph 4 can stand as written.

I have queried the Air Force as to whether the names of the Panel members would be used. They have replied that names would be used only within official circles and would not be given to the press. But, as such information has a tendency at times to filter out, it should be recognized that, if approval is given for use of the names, they may well become common knowledge.
It would be very much appreciated if you could let me know as soon as possible that your reaction is to the Air Force declassification proposal; whether you agree with Dr. Robertson, Dr. Goudsmit and myself on limiting any declassification; and whether you would approve or disapprove the use of your names, granted that Agency connection with the Panel is withheld.

Sincerely,

Philip G. Strong
Deputy Assistant Director

Enclosure
UFO Report
Mr. David can believe that the Central Intelligence Agency is withholding information from him which would prove that this Agency is, to quote his letter to you "... solely responsible for creating the flying saucer furore..." He believes further that this information, as contained in the Scientific Advisory Panel Report on UFO's, dated 11 January 1953, (Secret), was supplied with the declassified and "official" version of this report, but that there has only been served to convince the public that they are withholding information which would prove his claims. This is in spite of the fact that the information was first made public on 10 May 1953 that "The complete report of the panel has not been fully declassified for security reasons. The formal report is not available to the general public for distribution or reproduction," and "For your information, the classified portion of the formal report and the unofficial supplement did not deal specifically with UFO's but on other matters of intelligence significance which affect the national security." In addition, Major Cooper went to great lengths, in this four page letter, outlining to Mr. David all of the information currently available on the subject of UFO's interpreting the formal report of the Scientific Advisory Panel, overcoming an obstacle of...
In summary, Mr. Davidson's belief that this agency is involved in the "Flying Saucer" field is being used as a tool in psychological warfare is entirely unfounded. His indication that CIA is misusing persons in leading them to believe in Flying Saucers is also entirely unfounded. This agency, in conjunction with the Air Force investigating, in 1953, the threat to national security of the then prevalent flying saucer reports, since that time, CIA has not participated in any flying saucer activities and has referred all correspondence to the U. S. Air Force.

Very truly yours,

[signature]

[Name]

General Counsel

Enclosures:
1. Letter, 26 June 1961,
   from L. Davidson
2. Letter, 29 May 1958,
   from Major Cochran

Distribution:
Grig. 1 - Pd
1 - General Counsel
   1 - Inspector General
   1 - UO/51
   2 - ASD/51

[Signature]
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DATE OF LETTER</th>
<th>FROM</th>
<th>TO</th>
<th>SUBSTANCE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14 April 1958</td>
<td>Exec/CIA</td>
<td>Davidson</td>
<td>Davidson's letter referred to Air Force.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27 April 1958</td>
<td>Davidson</td>
<td>DCI</td>
<td>Desires Secret report for public dissemination. (Air Force has action.)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15 May 1958</td>
<td>Davidson</td>
<td>Exec/CIA</td>
<td>Letter forwarded by Chapin to Air Force for reply with acknowledgment letter from Chapin to Davidson.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20 May 1958</td>
<td>Department of Air Force</td>
<td>Davidson</td>
<td>Answer to Davidson's letters of 27 April to Air Force and CIA. Attempts to clarify the purpose of withholding certain information for security reasons.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 July 1958</td>
<td>Davidson</td>
<td>DCI</td>
<td>Inquires whether CIA is carrying out Sociological Warfare in creating UFO stories.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 June 1961</td>
<td>Davidson</td>
<td>Congressman Karth</td>
<td>Accuses CIA of creating UFO rumor and misleading persons such as Major Keymus.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** In addition, we have on file letters from Mr. Davidson to Members of the 1953 Panel requesting in essence their supporting him in obtaining information which would implicate CIA or the OCB in a "1954 type of thought control developing in America."
Sec. 1.4

1. Interoperability for "simplified unity states"

2. Information for the "simple, mutually related" data: capabilities for the conventional types of "unity"

3. Overall impact of the analysis of "simplified unity states" (report issued in March)

4. The division proposes to handle this responsibility in the following manner:

a. Data will be maintained in the Archiving raw report, with, in the form of the input under key provision information relating to "simplified unity states" or research or development.

b. These reports will be made available for any further development or analysis.

c. Further reports will be made available for any further development or analysis.

Sec. 1.5

5. General and administrative data, during which an analysis and the revised status will be maintained in a file.

6. A file of similar intelligence reports is handled by the division, with the summary of the data maintained on
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SCIENTIFIC ADVISORY PANEL ON UNIDENTIFIED
FLYING OBJECTS
14-17 January 1953

Evidence Presented.

1. Seventy-five case histories of sightings 1951-1952
   (selected by ATIC as those best documented).

2. ATIC Status and Progress Reports of Project
   GRUDGE and Project BLUE BOOK (code names for ATIC
   study of subject).

3. Progress Reports of Project STORIK (Institute
   contract work supporting ATIC).

4. Summary Report of Sightings at Holleman Air Force
   Base, New Mexico.

   Investigation of "Green Fireball" Phenomena
   (Project TWINKLE).

6. Outline of Investigation of UFO's Proposed by
   Kirtland Air Force Base (Project POUNCE).

7. Motion Picture Films of sightings at Tremonton,
   Utah, 2 July 1952 and Great Falls, Montana,
   August 1950.

8. Summary Report of 89 selected cases of sightings
   of various categories (Formations, Blinking Lights,
   Hovering, etc.).

9. Draft of manual: "How to Make a FLYOBERT", pre-
   pared at ATIC.

10. Chart Showing Plot of Geographic Location of
    Unexplained Sightings in the United States during
    1952.

11. Chart Showing Balloon Launching Sites in the
    United States.

12. Charts Showing Selected Actual Balloon Flight
    Paths and Relation to Reported Sightings.
15. Kodachrome Transparencies of Polyethylene Film Balloons in Bright Sunlight Showing High Reflectivity.
16. Motion Picture of Seagulls in Bright Sunlight Showing High Reflectivity.
19. Sample Polyethylene "Pillow" Balloon (54 inches square).
21. Miscellaneous Official Letters and Foreign Intelligence Reports Dealing with Subject.
22. Copies of Popular Published Works Dealing with Subject (articles and periodicals, newspaper clippings).
COMMENTS AND SUGGESTIONS OF UFO PANEL

General.

The Panel Members were impressed with the lack of sound data in the great majority of case histories. Among the case histories of significant sightings discussed in detail were the following:

Bellefontaine, Ohio (1 August 1952); Tremonton, Utah (2 July 1952); Great Falls, Montana (15 August 1950); Yaak, Montana (1 September 1952); Washington, D.C. area (19 July 1952); and Haneda A.F.B., Japan (5 August 1952), Port Huron, Michigan (29 July 1952); and Presque Isle, Maine (10 October 1952).

After review and discussion of these cases (and about 15 others, in less detail), the Panel concluded that reasonable explanations could be suggested for most sightings and "by deduction and scientific method it could be induced (given additional data) that other cases might be explained in a similar manner". The Panel pointed out that because of the brevity of some sightings (e.g., 2-3 seconds) and the inability of the witnesses to express themselves clearly (semantics) that conclusive explanations could not be expected for every case reported. Furthermore, it was considered that, normally, it would be a great waste of effort to try to solve most of the sightings, unless such
action would benefit a training and educational program (see below). The writings of Charles Fort were referenced to show that "strange things in the sky" had been recorded for hundreds of years. It appeared obvious that there was no single explanation for a majority of the things seen.

On Lack of Danger.

The Panel concluded unanimously that there was no evidence of a direct threat to national security in the objects sighted. Instances of "Foo Fighters" were cited. These were unexplained phenomena sighted by aircraft pilots during World War II in both European and Far East theaters of operation wherein "balle of light" would fly near or with the aircraft and maneuver rapidly. They were believed to be electrostatic (similar to St. Elmo's fire) or electromagnetic phenomena or possibly light reflections from ice crystals in the air, but their exact cause or nature was never defined. If the term "flying saucers" had been popular in 1943-1945, these objects would have been so labeled.

Air Force Reporting System.

It was the Panel's opinion that some of the Air Force concern over UFO's (notwithstanding Air Defense Command anxiety over fast radar tracks) was probably caused by public pressure. The result today is that the Air Force
has instituted a fine channel for receiving reports of nearly anything anyone sees in the sky and fails to understand. This has been particularly encouraged in popular articles on this and other subjects, such as space travel and science fiction. The result is the mass receipt of low-grade reports which tend to overload channels of communication with material quite irrelevant to hostile objects that might some day appear. The Panel agreed generally that this mass of poor-quality reports containing little, if any, scientific data was of no value. Quite the opposite, it was possibly dangerous in having a military service foster public concern in "nocturnal meandering lights". The implication being, since the interested agency was military, that these objects were or might be potential direct threats to national security. Accordingly, the need for deemphasization made itself apparent. Comments on a possible educational program are enumerated below.

It was the opinion of one of the Panel members that the "saucer" problem had been found to be different in nature from the detection and investigation of German V-1 and V-2 guided missiles prior to their operational use in World War II. In this 1943-1944 intelligence operation (CROSSBOW), there was excellent intelligence, and by June

3
1944 there was material evidence of the existence of "hardware" obtained from crashed vehicles in Sweden. This evidence gave the investigating team a basis upon which to operate. The absence of any "hardware" resulting from unexplained UFO sightings lends a "will-of-the-wisp" nature to the problem. The results of the investigation, to date, strongly indicate that no evidence of hostile act or danger exists. Furthermore, the current reporting system would have little value in the case of detection of enemy attack by conventional aircraft or guided missiles; under such conditions "hardware" would be available almost at once.

Artifacts of Extraterrestrial Origin.

It was interesting to note that none of the members of the Panel were loath to accept that this earth might be visited by extraterrestrial intelligence beings of some sort, some day. What they did not find was any evidence that related the objects sighted to space travelers. One of the Panel members, in his presentation, showed how he had eliminated each of the known and probable causes of sightings leaving him "extra-terrestrial" as the only one remaining in many cases. His background as an aeronautical engineer and technical intelligence officer could not be slighted. However, the Panel could not accept any of
the cases cited by him because they were raw, unevaluated reports. Terrestrial explanations of the sightings were suggested in some cases, and in others the time of sighting was so short as to cause suspicion of visual impressions. It was noted by others of the Panel members that extra-terrestrial artifacts, if they did exist, are no cause for alarm; rather, they are in the realm of natural phenomena subject to scientific study, just as cosmic rays were at the time of their discovery 20 to 30 years ago. This was an attitude in which another of the Panel members did not concur; as he felt that such artifacts would be of immediate and great concern not only to the U.S. but to all countries. (Nothing like a common threat to unite peoples!) It was noted that present astronomical knowledge of the solar system makes the existence of intelligence beings (as we know the term) elsewhere than on the earth extremely unlikely, and the concentration of their attention by any controllable means confined to any one continent of the earth quite preposterous.

Tremonton, Utah, Sighting.

This case was considered significant because of the excellent documentary evidence in the form of Kodachrome motion picture films (about 1600 frames). The Panel
studied these films, the case history, ATIC’s interpretation, and received a briefing by representatives of the USN Photo Interpretation Laboratory on their analysis of the film. This team had expended (at Air Force request) approximately 1000 man-hours of professional and sub-professional time in the preparation of graph plots of individual frames of the film, showing apparent and relative motion of objects and variation in their light intensity. It was the opinion of the P.I.L. representatives that the objects sighted were not birds, balloons or aircraft, were "not reflections because there was no blinking while passing through 60° of arc" and were, therefore, "self-luminous". Plots of motion and variation in light intensity of the objects were displayed. While the Panel Members were impressed by the evident enthusiasm, industry and extent of effort of the P.I.L. team, they would not accept the conclusions reached. Some of the reasons for this were as follows:

a. A semi-spherical object can readily produce a reflection of sunlight without "blinking" through 60° of arc travel.

b. Although no date was available on the "albedo" of birds or polyethylene balloons
in bright sunlight, the apparent motions, sizes and brightnesses of the objects were considered strongly to suggest birds, particularly after the Panel viewed a short film showing high reflectivity of seagulls in bright sunlight.

c. P.I.L. description of the objects sighted as "circular, bluish-white" in color would be expected in cases of specular reflections of sunlight from convex surfaces where the brilliance of the reflection would obscure other portions of the object.

d. Objects in the Great Falls case were believed to have probably been aircraft, and the bright lights such reflections.

e. There was no valid reason for the attempt to relate the objects in the Tremonton sighting to those in the Great Falls sighting. This may have been due to misunderstanding in their directive. The objects in the Great Falls sighting are strongly suspected of being reflections of aircraft known to have been in the area.

f. The intensity change in the Tremonton lights was too great for acceptance of the P.I.L. hypothesis that the apparent motion and changing
intensity of the lights indicated extremely high
speed in small orbital paths.

g. Apparent lack of guidance of investigators by those familiar with UFO reports and explanations.

h. Analysis of light intensity of objects made from duplicate rather than original film. The original film was noted to have a much lighter background (affecting relative brightness of object) and the objects appeared much less bright.

i. Method of obtaining data of light intensity appeared faulty because of unsuitability of equipment and questionable assumptions in making averages of readings.

j. No data had been obtained on the sensitivity of Kodachrome film to light of various intensities using the same camera type at the same lens openings.

k. Hand "jitter" frequencies (obtainable from early part of Tremonton film) were not removed from the plots of the "single pass plots" at the end of the film.
The Panel believed strongly that the data available on this sighting was sufficient for positive identification if further data is obtained by photographing polyethylene "pillow" balloons released near the site under similar weather conditions, checking bird flight and reflection characteristics with competent ornithologists and calculating apparent "G" forces acting upon objects from their apparent tracks. It was concluded that the results of such tests would probably lead to creditable explanations of value in an educational or training program. However, the Panel noted that the cost in technical manpower effort required to follow up and explain every one of the thousand or more reports received through channels each year (1,900 in 1952) could not be justified. It was felt that there will always be sightings, for which complete data is lacking, that can only be explained with disproportionate effort and with a long time delay, if at all. The long delay in explaining a sighting tends to eliminate any intelligence value. The educational or training program should have as a major purpose the elimination of popular feeling that every sighting, no matter how poor the data, must be explained in detail. Attention should be directed to the requirement among scientists that a new phenomena, to be accepted, must be completely and convincingly documented.
In other words, the burden of proof is on the sighter, not the explainer.

Potential Related Dangers.

The Panel Members were in agreement that although evidence of any direct threat from these sightings was wholly lacking, related dangers might well exist resulting from:

a. Misidentification of actual enemy artifacts by defense personnel.

b. Overloading of emergency reporting channels with "false" information ("noise to signal ratio").

c. Subjectivity of public to mass hysteria and greater vulnerability to possible enemy psychological warfare.

The first two of these problems may seriously affect the Air Defense intelligence system, and should be studied by experts, possibly under ADC. If UFO's become discredited in a reaction to the "flying saucer" scare, or if reporting channels are saturated with false and poorly documented reports, our capability of detecting hostile activity will be reduced. More competent screening or filtering of reported sightings at or near the source is
required, and this can best be accomplished by an educational program.

Geographic Locations of Unexplained Sightings.

The map prepared by ATIC showing geographic locations of officially reported unexplained sightings (1952 only) was examined by the Panel. This map showed clusters in certain strategic areas such as Los Alamos. This might be explained on the basis of 24-hour watchful guard and awareness of security measures near such locations. On the other hand, there had been no sightings in the vicinity of sensitive related AE establishments while there were occasionally multiple cases of unexplained sightings in non-strategic areas. Furthermore, there appeared to be no logical relationship to population centers. The Panel could find no ready explanation for these clusters. It was noted, however, that if terrestrial artifacts were to be observed, it would be likely that they would be seen first near foreign areas rather than central U.S.

Instrumentation to Obtain Data.

The Panel was of the opinion that the present ATIC program to place 100 inexpensive 35 mm. stereo cameras (Videon Cameras) in the hands of various airport control tower operators would probably produce little valuable
data related to UFO's. However, it was recognized that such action would tend to allay public concern in the subject until an educational program had taken effect. It was believed that procurement of these cameras was partly the result of public pressure in July 1952. With the poor results of the year-long Project TWINKLE program of 24-hour instrumentation watch (two frames of film showing nothing distinguishable), a widespread program of skieswatching would not be expected to yield much direct data of value.

There was considerable discussion of a possible "sky patrol" by amateur astronomers and by wide-angle cameras. It was pointed out that at present a considerable fraction of the sky is now -- and has been for many years -- under surveillance every clear night in several meteor and aurora observing programs as well as sky mapping programs at the various locations listed below. Although the attention of these astronomers is largely directed toward identified rather than unidentified objects, no case of any striking unidentified object is known to the Panel. Such an object would most certainly be reported if found on patrol plates.

A case was cited where an astronomer refused to interrupt his exposure in order to photograph an alleged sighting in a different part of the sky, suggesting that if
a program of watching could be an adjunct of planned astronomical programs, little cost would be involved and that the trained astronomical personnel might photograph a sighting of an unidentified object.

It was agreed by the Panel that no government-sponsored program of optical nation-wide sky patrol is worthwhile at the present time, and that the encouragement of amateur astronomers to undertake such a program might have the adverse effect of over-emphasizing "flying saucer" stories in the public mind. However, the issue of radar-scope cameras for recording peculiar radar echoes would serve several purposes, including the better understanding of radar interference as well as identification of UFO's.

Radar Problem of Mutual Interference.

This characteristic problem of radar operation wherein the pulse signal (of approximately the same frequency) from station A may be picked up on the screen of station B and show as a high-speed track or series of dots was recognized to have probably caused a number of UFO reports. This problem was underlined by information received indicating ADC concern in solving this problem of signal identification before service use of very high-speed aircraft or guided missiles (1955-1956). One
Panel member believed that one answer to this problem was the use of a "doppler filter" in the receiving circuit. Another suggested that the problem might be better solved by the use of a "controlled jitter" wherein the operator receiving "very fast tracks" (on the order of 1000-12,000 mph) would operate a circuit which would alter slightly his station's pulse frequency rate. If the signal received on the screen had been caused by mutual interference with another station, the track would now show itself at a different distance from the center of the screen, if it still appeared at all. Such a technical solution was thought to be simpler and would cost much less than a "doppler filter".

Unexplained Cosmic Ray Phenomena.

Two reported cases were examined: one at Palomar Mountain, California, in October 1949, when cosmic ray counters went "off scale for a few seconds", apparently while a "V" of flying saucers was observed visually; and two, a series of observations by the "Los Alamos Bird Watchers Association" from August 1950 to January 1951, when cosmic ray coincidence counters behaved queerly. Circuit diagrams and records were available for the latter, and a Panel member was also quickly to point out that the recorded data were undoubtedly due to instrumental effects.
that would have been recognized as such by more experienced observers.

The implication that radioactive effects were correlated with unidentified flying objects in these two cases was, therefore, rejected by the Panel.

Educational Program.

The Panel's concept of a broad educational program integrating efforts of all concerned agencies was that it should have two major aims: training and "debunking".

The training aim would result in proper recognition of unusually illuminated objects (e.g., balloons, aircraft reflections) as well as natural phenomena (meteors, fireballs, mirages, noctilucent clouds). Both visual and radar recognition are concerned. There would be many levels in such education from enlisted personnel to command and research personnel. Relative emphasis and degree of explanation of different programs would correspond to the categories of duty (e.g., radar operators; pilots; control tower operators; Ground Observer Corps personnel; and officers and enlisted men in other categories). This training should result in a marked reduction in reports caused by misidentification and resultant confusion.

The "debunking" aim would result in reduction in public interest in "flying saucers" which today evokes a
strong psychological reaction. This education could be accomplished by mass media such as television, motion pictures, and popular articles. Basis of such education would be actual case histories which had been puzzling at first but later explained. As in the case of conjuring tricks, there is much less stimulation if the "secret" is known. Such a program should tend to reduce the current gullibility of the public and consequently their susceptibility to clever hostile propaganda.

Members of the Panel had various suggestions related to the planning of such an educational program. It was felt strongly that psychologists familiar with mass psychology should advise on the nature and extent of the program. Also, someone familiar with mass communication techniques, perhaps an advertising expert, would be helpful. The teaching techniques used for aircraft identification during the past war were cited as an example of a similar educational task. The amateur astronomers in the U.S. might be a potential source of enthusiastic talent "to spread the gospel". It was believed that business clubs, high schools, colleges, and television stations would all be pleased to cooperate in the showing of documentary type motion pictures if prepared in an interesting manner.
The use of true cases showing first the "mystery" and then the "explanations" would be forceful.

To plan and execute such a program, the Panel believed was no mean task. The current investigatory group at ATIC would, of necessity, have to be closely integrated for support with respect to not only the historical cases but the current ones. Recent cases are probably much more susceptible to explanation than older ones; first, because of ATIC's experience and, secondly, their knowledge of most plausible explanations. The Panel believed that some expansion of the ATIC effort would certainly be required to support such a program. It was believed inappropriate to state exactly how large a Table of Organization would be required.

The Panel believed that, with ATIC's support, the educational program of "training and debunking" outlined above might be required for a minimum of one and one-half to two years. At the end of this time, the dangers related to "flying saucers" should have been greatly reduced if not eliminated. Cooperation from other military services and agencies concerned (e.g., Federal Civil Defense Administration) would be a necessity. In investigating significant cases (such as the Tremonton, Utah, sighting), controlled experiments might be required. An example would be the
photographing of "pillow balloons" at different distances under similar weather conditions at the site.

The help of one or two psychologists and writers and a subcontractor to produce training films would be necessary in addition. The Panel considered that ATIC's efforts, temporarily expanded as necessary, could be most useful in implementing any action taken as a result of its recommendations. Experience and records in ATIC would be of value in both the public educational and service training program envisaged. At least one Panel member was of the opinion that after public gullibility lessened and the service organizations, such as ADC, had been trained to sift out the more readily explained spurious sightings, there would still be a role for a very modest-sized ATIC section to cope with the residuum of items of possible scientific intelligence value. This section should concentrate on energetically following up those cases which seemed to indicate the evidence of unconventional enemy artifacts. Reports of such artifacts would be expected to arise mainly from Western outposts in far closer proximity to the Iron Curtain than Lubbock, Texas!

Unofficial Investigating Groups.

The Panel took cognizance of the existence of such groups as the "Civilian Flying Saucer Investigators"
(Los Angeles) and the "Aerial Phenomena Research Organization (Wisconsin)". It was believed that such organizations should be watched because of their potentially great influence on mass thinking if widespread sightings should occur. The apparent irresponsibility and the possible use of such groups for subversive purposes should be kept in mind.

Increase in Number of Sightings.

The consensus of the Panel was, based upon the history of the subject, that the number of sightings could be reasonably expected to increase again this summer.
Honorable Gordon H. Scherer
House of Representatives
Washington 25, D.C.

Dear Mr. Scherer:

In your letter of 24 August you forwarded a copy of a letter from Mrs. Catherine Carter Golden of Cincinnati in which she discussed the subject of unidentified flying objects. Mrs. Golden seemed particularly interested in the pictures taken in Brazil and shown on the Dave Garzew show.

The photograph referred to by Mrs. Golden was taken by a free-lance photographer. Contrary to her information, this photograph was not developed in the presence of persons other than the photographer.

Mrs. Golden has corresponded with the Department of State and the Air Force for some time on the general subject of unidentified flying objects. The Department of the Air Force has primary responsibility for investigating information pertaining to UFO’s. Mrs. Golden recently contacted the State Department on the subject of the alleged Brazilian sighting and her query was referred to the Department of the Air Force whose reply is attached. This Agency has no information other than that which has already been provided the Air Force on this subject.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Enclosure

Distribution:
Orig & 1 - Addressee
1 - OSI
1 - Leg. Counsel - subject
1 - Leg. Counsel - chrono
National Investigations Committee on Aerial Phenomena (NICAP)

Appears to be a fairly loose structure but rather efficient. Stuart Nixon (background in investigative journalism) is currently Executive Director. He along with John Acuff and Charles Miller (backgrounds unknown) make up the organizations Editorial Review Board which is responsible for policy, including the newsletter, press and other media relations as well as special reports and programs.

This board relies heavily on both a loosely structured advisory group and a fairly well developed and well placed network of investigators. The advisory group is made up of experts in many disciplines including physics, astronomy, anthropology, medicine and psychology. This group also includes some ex-CIA and Defense Intelligence types who advise on investigative techniques and NICAP Government relations. There does not seem to be any logical or systematic program by which these advisors are chosen, but rather the procedure seems to be to simply offer one's services to the organization through either Nixon or the Review Board. Often the advisor simply joined NICAP (a rather easy task since all it takes is a specified membership fee) found something out about the organization and then made their qualifications known to the Review Board.

The system of investigators is a good one. Nixon has divided the country into regions and has established "investigators" in each of the regions. Any member of NICAP is encouraged to request a form by which one can apply for this position. The requirements for the position include a minimum age of 25, formal training or experience in some branch of science, or other specialized background applicable to systematic collection of information, the ability to cover an area of at least 50 miles in diameter or one hour of driving time. As of a few months ago some 35 investigators were located throughout the country, with NICAP in the process of establishing even more. A breakdown of their backgrounds looked like the following: 7 Ph.Ds, 22 As or Bs, 23 BA/BS, 1A and 2 4th college training but no degrees. Occupationally they included 4 physical scientists, 13 engineers, 3 college profs, 15 specialists, including doctor, technician, computer programmer and businessman. Five of the 35 are pilots. Also utilized as "legmen" for the investigators are investigators assistants. All investigators carry NICAP credentials identifying them as investigators for NICAP.
NICAP currently operates on a $40,000 yr. budget and has 3,500 dues paying members. Both the membership and the budget may be expected to increase substantially due to the recent UFO flap.

A computer project codenamed "Project Access" is currently in the mill. An effort is being made to develop some sort of pattern analysis out of the 15,000 or more raw reports reportedly in NICAP's files. This apparently will take the following form:

1. event— including time, place, terrain, weather, speed, color, shape and other information

2. principals— including data on all witnesses and others involved in reports such as bio data, medical info and psychological aspects

3. investigation— including evaluation of reports and special notes from the regional investigator on witness discrepancies in testimony etc...

The following is apparently the current organizational structure and relationships within NICAP:

```
        PRESS
         /
        /  
       /    /
     EDITORIAL REVIEW BOARD
  ODDS OF EXO-DIE (i.e. NINTY)
        /     /
      STAFF <------->
        /     /
       /    /
      ADVISORY GROUP

Scientific Community

REGIONAL INVESTIGATORS

OTHER UFO GROUPS + RESEARCH
```
Saucer Station Shut At Shirley Bay: 'Nothing Came of It'

The Transport Department: Whether Mr. Smith, who is Flying Saucer Station's iner in charge of the broadcasting and telecommunication division, will be able to return to the station to work on it is in doubt. The station, which is operated by the Transport Department, has been closed down and the equipment is being removed. The decision was made after a review of the station's operations by the Government's Defence Research Board.

Mr. Smith was told that the station would be closed down, and that the equipment would be removed. He was also told that the station would not be reopened.

The station was closed down after a review of its operations by the Government's Defence Research Board. The board concluded that the station was not essential to the country's defence, and that its closure would not affect the country's security.

Mr. Smith was told that the station would be closed down, and that the equipment would be removed. He was also told that the station would not be reopened.

The station was closed down after a review of its operations by the Government's Defence Research Board. The board concluded that the station was not essential to the country's defence, and that its closure would not affect the country's security.

The station was closed down after a review of its operations by the Government's Defence Research Board. The board concluded that the station was not essential to the country's defence, and that its closure would not affect the country's security.
American Air Force has labeled this picture a hoax!

I am concerned about the effect of this on our campaign to end situation open-ended in this country. But I am also concerned as to what effect this will have on our relations with Brazil. I have not heard anything from our U.S. Embassy in that country about this incident. I have copies of the newspaper articles in which many sensational incidents are frankly and openly denied. I have not received a single report on censorship in Brazil from Mr. Oreste Ferron, Brazil's best known UFO researcher. The censorship there is in Brazil is largely on the inside and we don't know much about it. There have been an average of about thirty reports for fifty months, and sometimes there are more than thirty in a ten-hour period. You are these well-informed people to react to suppress their President and several high-level officials.

I have written to Mr. Scherer, but the American Embassy in Brazil has been slow and I don't know when this dangerous situation will be corrected. I do not want the Project Blue book report to say that the CIA has involved in the UFO phenomena but has denied many possible incidents. I have talked to many people in Brazil, and I am not in a position to say who else has the truth. The people whom are not supposed to know anything about the incident are the ones who have had the reports over a year. As General O'Keeffe said last December, and Senator Johnson said recently, UFOs are serious business.

If you care, lend your own by making a stand for open Congressional hearings. And if you feel you can't do that, at least do something about the Brazilian affair. Thank you.

Sincerely,
[Signature]
Pursuant to the provisions of Section 102 of the National Security Act of 1947 and for the purposes announced in Paragraphs d and e thereof, the National Security Council hereby authorizes and directs that:

1. The Director of Central Intelligence shall formulate and carry out a program of intelligence and research activities required to solve the problem of instant positive identification of unidentified flying objects.

2. This effort shall be coordinated with the military services and the Research and Development Board of the Department of Defense, with the Psychological Strategy Board and other governmental agencies as appropriate.

3. Upon call of the Director of Central Intelligence, Government departments and agencies shall provide assistance in this program of intelligence and research to the extent of their capacity provided, however, that the ICO shall avoid duplication of activities presently directed toward the solution of this problem.

4. The Director of Central Intelligence shall disseminate information concerning the program of intelligence and research activities in this field to the various departments and agencies which have authorized interest therein.
In the USA sightings were reported at various locations; the list of sound data in the report includes:

1st event unclassified, 2nd event classified, 3rd event classified, 4th event unclassified.

The report concluded that reasonable explanations could be suggested for most sightings, and that in some cases it could be inferred (given additional data) that other causes might be explained in a similar manner. The report pointed out that because of the identity of some sightings (e.g., 2-6 seconds) and the similarity of the witnesses, they expressed themselves clearly (unanimous). The panel therefore concluded that a consistent explanation would not be expected for every case reported. Furthermore, it was considered that, normally, it would be a great waste of effort to try to solve most of the sightings, unless there were clear indications that a training and educational program (for whom) was needed. The sightings of Charlie Hunt were referenced to show...
and "strange things in the sky" had been reported for hundreds of
years. It appeared obvious that there was no single explanation
for a majority of the things seen. The presence of radar and astro-
physical specialists on the final proof of value at once in their
confident recognition of phenomena related to their fields. It was
agreed that specialists in such technical fields as psychology,
meteorology, astrophysics, and military air operations
would extend the ability of the panel to recognize any new cate-
gories of Little-Known phenomena.

SUMMARY

The panel concluded unanimously that there was no evidence of
a direct threat to national security in the objects sighted,
instances of "Foo Fighters" were cited. These were explained
phenomena sighted by aircraft pilots during World War II in both
European and Far East theaters of operation wherein "balls of light"
would fly near or with the aircraft and maneuver rapidly. They were
believed to be electrostatic (similar to St. Elmo's fire) or electro-
repulsive phenomena or possibly light reflections from ice crystals
in the air, but their exact cause or nature was never defined. Both
Robertson and Airman had been concerned in the investigation of
these phenomena, but David T. Criggo (Professor of Geophysics at
the University of California at Los Angeles) is believed to have
been the most knowledgeable person on this subject. At the time
"flying saucers" had been popular in 1945, these objects would
From these we conclude that in at least two
cases involving an object sighted was categorized by Bolling
and others as probably "Unidentified Flying Objects," to date unexplained but in
disappearance they were not happy thus to dismiss the sightings by
calling them none. But the feeling that these phenomena can
not beyond the scope of present knowledge of physical evidence.

It is the writer's opinion that one of the Air Force
reports, "UFO's, " (notwithstanding Air Defense Command usually over that
region tracts) was probably caused by public pressure. The results
"Flying in that the Air Force has instituted a fine channel for
receiving reports of nearly anything anyone sees in the sky and
falls to understand. This has been particularly encouraged in pop-
ular articles on this and other subjects, such as space travel and

diluting fiction. The result is the mass receipt of low-grade reports
which tend to overload channels of communication with material quite
important to military objects that might come day appear. The Naval
staff report generally that this mass of poor-quality reports containing
little, if any, scientific facts are of no value. Quite the opposite,
it has possibly dangerous in having a military service factor public
concern in "nostrum monitoring lights." The implication being,
also the interested agency was military, that these objects were
merit to potential direct threats to national security. Accordingly,
the need for declassification rules itself apparent. Comments
in a possible educational or (perceived) ever deteriorated below.
In the opinion of Dr. Robertson, that the "enemy" problem
has proved to be different in nature from the detection and
identification of German V-1 and V-2 guided missiles during
their operational use in World War II. In the 1943-44 intelligence
operation (Operations), there was excellent intelligence and by
June 1944 there was substantial evidence of the existence of "highers"
which were attacked with very limited evidence. This evidence gave the
1945's investigation team a basic upon which to operate. The absence of any
"WBNs" revealing from unexplained V-1,8, sightings lead to a "wild-
crude" approach to the NIBO problem. The results of those investigations,
to date, strongly indicate that no evidence of hostile and
neutral objects. Furthermore, the current reporting system would
have little value in the case of detection of any attack by com-
mercial content or guided missiles under such conditions "forwarded"
would be considered almost as a case.

PART 3 OF REPORT FOR 1946

It was interesting to note that none of the members of the
Panel were able to accept the idea that this earth might be visited by extrat-
planetary intelligent beings of some sort, even now. What they did
not find was any evidence that related the objects sighted to space
travel. In his presentation, he showed how he had elimin-
ated each of the known and probable causes of sightings, leaving his
"object-orientation" as the only remaining in many cases. Further-
heu background as an astronomical engineer and technical intelligence
... (subject omitted) could not be clarified. However, the Panel could not accept any of the claims by Mr. Proctor because they were only unconfirmed reports.

Various explanations of the sightings were suggested in some cases and in others the time of sighting was so short as to cause suspicion of visual illusion. It was noted by the Panel that terrestrial artifacts, if they did exist, are not always easily ruled out, and that they are in the realm of natural phenomena subject to scientific study, just as cosmic rays were at the time of their discovery 20 to 30 years ago. This was an attitude in which Mr. Proctor did not concur, as he felt that such artifacts would be of immediate and great concern not only to the U.S. but to all countries. (Nothing like a common threat to unite peoples!)

The Panel noted that present astronomical knowledge of the solar system makes the existence of intelligent beings (as we know them) extremely unlikely, and the examination of their attention by any controllable means confined to any one continent of the earth quite preposterous.

In the event of such a phenomenon being sighted, this case was considered significant because of the excellent documentary evidence in the form of Enstrom motion picture films (about 1600 frames). The Panel studied these films, the case history, NASA's interpretation, and received a briefing by representatives of the U.S. Photo Interpretation Laboratory on their analysis of the film. This term had expired (at Mr. Proctor's request) approximately...
... an hour of professional and sub-professional time in the preparation of graph plots of individual frames of the film, close-up and relative motion of objects and variations in their radiance intensity. It was the opinion of the P.I.I. representatives that the objects sighted were not birds, balloons, or aircraft.

As such objects because there was no blinding while peering through 60° of solid and view, the plane, final dissolving. Blood of solid and variation in light intensity of the objects were dissolving. Until the Panel members were impressed by the evident dissolving, intensity and extent of effect of the P.I.I. team, they could not accept the conclusions reached. Some of the reasons for these were as follows:

a. A semi-spherical object can readily produce a reflection of sunlight without "blinding" through 60° of our traversal.

b. Although no data was available on the "albedo" of birds or polyesterene balloons in bright sunlight, the apparent motions, sizes and brightnesses of the objects were considered strongly to suggest birds, particularly after the Panel viewed a short film showing high reflectivity of convexite in bright sunlight.

c. P.I.I. assumption of the objects sighted as "circular, whitish-white" in color would be expected in cases of specular reflections of sunlight from convex surfaces where the immittance of the reflection would obscure other portions of the object.
4. Objects in the Great Falls case were believed to have
probably been aircraft, and the bright lights such reflections.
5. There was no valid means for the attempt to relate the objects
in the Enniskillen sighting to those in the Great Falls sighting.
This may have been due to misunderstanding in their
description. The objects in the Great Falls sighting are
similarly reported of being reflections of aircraft known to
have been in the area.
6. The intensity change in the Enniskillen lights was too great
for acceptance of the F.R.O. hypothesis that the apparent
motion and changing intensity of the lights indicated extremely
high speed in small orbital paths.
7. Apparent lack of guidance of investigators by those familiar
with F.R.O. reports and explanations.
8. Analysis of light intensity of objects made from duplicate
rather than original film. The original film was noted to
have a much lighter background (affecting relative brightness
of object) and the objects appeared much less bright.
9. A kind of obtaining loss of light intensity appeared feasible
because of immobility of apparatus and questionable
exposure in taking average of readings.
10. A data has been obtained on the sensitivity of Enniskillen
film to light of various intensities using the same camera
type at the same lens openings.
...The "(other)" evidence (whether the film really part of the
original film) was not pertinent in the place of the
"original film" on the cut of the film.

The trial believed strongly that the site available on the
military and classified site identification of further data
obtained by photographing polychromatic light. Balloon released
over the site under similar weather conditions, checking third light
and reflection characteristics with competent orthophotonists and
evaluating approach to cover every site. Temporary objects from their approach
formula. It was concluded that the evidence at such level would prob-
ably lead to constructive examination of value in an environment or
in living person. However, the trial found that the cost in various,
imposing effects required to follow up and replicate every one of the
first few or more reports received through channels such years (s) at
high) would not be justified. It was felt that there will always be
exceptions, for which complete data is lacking, that can only be
explained with discrepancies in the effect with a long time delay. It
was also found that long delay in explaining such being tendency to old
military involvement lines. In other words, the training program should
have an overall purpose and plausibility to popular feeling that every
finding, no matter how from the story, must be explained in details.

The evidence should be directed to the requirement that all evidence be
explained, that is, clearly and unambiguously and completely be
explained. In other words, the burden of proof is on the higher...
EXHIBIT C

The final decision was in agreement with ONS opinion that:

1. A threat of any direct threat from these sightings was
2. Highly unlikely related events might well exist coinciding with
3. Misidentification of actual enemy aircraft by defense personnel.
4. Contradiction of actual reporting demands with "false"
   information (false "signal noise" analogy—finite).
5. The capability of pilots to spot intruder and greater rate
   capability to report this psychological weapon.

Although not the concern of "the first two of these problems
by directly affect the Air Defense Intelligence system and should
be studied by experts, possibly using BBC. If U.S.O. is to become
modified in a reaction to the "kept secret" soma, or if reporting
channels are saturated with false and poorly documented reports,
the capability of detecting intruder activity will be reduced.

We also noted that care should precedent on following of reported
sightings at or near the source as required, and that this care best
be accomplished by an electrical program.

EXHIBIT D: MAPPING OF REPORTED SIGHTINGS

The map prepared by ONS listing geographic locations of officially
reported unexplained sightings (1952 only) was examined by the Panel.

This map allowed analysis in certain strategic areas such as Los Alamos.
This might be explained on the basis of 24-hour watchful guard and
upward to security measures now used at Moscow. On the other
hand, there had been no sightings in the vicinity of sensitive
aircraft installations while there were considerable multiple
sightings of unidentified aircraft in non-strategic areas. Further-
more, there appeared to be no logical relationship to population
centers. The panel would find no ready explanation for these
sightings. It was noted, however, that at comedian sightings were to be observed.
As would be likely that the would be seen from fixed foreign assets in the
immediate future.

EXPERIMENTAL STRATEGIES
The panel was of the opinion that the present ANU program to
fly 200 inexpensive 5 m. stereo cameras in the hands of various
airport control tower operators would probably produce little valu-
able data related to U.F.O.'s. However, it was recognized that
such efforts would tend to allot public concern in the subject until
an educational program had taken effect. It was believed that pro-
cedure of these cameras was partly the result of public pressure
in July 1952. With the poor results of the year-long Project
5000 program of 20-hour instrumentation watch, (two frames of
film showing nothing distinguishable), a widespread program of sky-
watching would not be expected to yield much direct data of value.

There was considerable discussion of a possible "sky patrol" by
matador spacecraft (Kurische) and by wide-angle cameras (Lapo). Dr. Lapo
and Dr. Robertson pointed out that at present a considerable fraction

[Handwritten note]
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Of the director, I have been to many ground-universe observations
in order to record events and assist observing programs on
other sky-scanning programs of the various institutions listed below.

While the location of these observatories is largely different,
several similar rather than identified objects, in case of any
positive unidentifiable object is known to PdP, PnC or Dr. Lundy. Each
of these until now certainly be reported as found on patrol planes.

One case cited there an astronomer refused to interrupt his
program in order to photograph an alleged sighting in a different
part of the sky. This led Dr. Lundy to say that, if a program of
unnoteworthy could be an object of planned astronomical programs, little
need would be involved and that the trained astronomical personnel
after photographing sighting of unidentifiable object.

The locations of some of these programs and their directors are

5. Harvard University, Cambridge, MA 02138 (meteor patrol)—
Harlow
6. Harvard Observatory, University of Chicago, Chicago, IL 60637
(catalog programs)—Hodgins, (meteor patrol), Noyes (astrophysics),
Hodgins (radar metrology)
7. University of Alaska, Fairbanks (observations)—Bryce
8. Zoon observatory, Ottawa (meteor)—William
9. Bell Telephone Laboratory, California (sky map)—Neeson
10. Eidg Observatory, California (sky map)—Eidg
In the appeal by the Panel that no government-sponsored program of radio which takes any part in war is worthwhile at the present time, and that the encouragement of amateur operators to undertake such a project might help the adverse effect of over-publicizing "flying saucer" stories in the public mind. However, the claim of radio-survey

A few operating amateur radio clubs could serve several purposes, including the better understanding of noise interference as well as identification of U.F.O.s.

This significant problem of radio operation thwarted the pulse signal (of approximately the 110 kHz frequency) from station A may be"ually tuned to the output of station B and then on a high-speed track to obtain the desired results. This problem was understood by information received indicating an increase in solving this problem of signal identification before actual use of very high-speed aircraft or guided missiles.

During the belief that can result from this problem, the use of a "pull-down filter" in the receiving circuit. [For example], it was suggested that the problem might be better solved by the use of a

"monotone filter" which the operator receiving "very fast trunks" (in the order of 200,000 b.p.m.) would operate a circuit which would allow slightly his station's pulse frequency note. If the signal received on the other had been caused by natural interference with another station, the tone would not thus stand at a different distance.
The "real" case of the matter, as it was reported at 11:45, involved a technical definition of objects and would cost much less than the actual case.

Postscript:

Two reported cases were submitted out of Palermo, California. In 1948, there were no official reports on UFOs for a few months. Apparently, until 1949, a large number of observations by the "Los Angeles Red Tapes Association" during August 1940 to January 1949, there were no official reports submitted. Even then, accurate diagrams and records were available for the latter. The question was able to point out that the processed data were unlikely due to extraterrestrial objects that would have been recognized as such by more experienced observers.

The implication that radioactive effects were correlated with unidentified flying objects in those two cases was, therefore, rejected by the Panel.

Postscript:

The Panel's concept of a broad educational program integrating efforts of all concerned agencies was that it should have two major stages: teaching and "dealing".

The training aim would result in proper recognition of unusual illuminated objects (e.g., balloons, aircraft reflections) as well as natural phenomena (meteors, fireballs, mirages, atmospheric effects).

Both visual and radar recognition are common. There would be many
Some in such situations have suggested: psychological tests are not as much 

psychological. Objective empirical and degree of exploration of different 

program would correspond to the categories of study (e.g., police training). 

They should be correlated with other data (e.g., the Office of Economic 

Affairs and evaluation are in other categories). Field testing should 

expert in a medical profession in respects based by misidentification 

of reactions (cognition).

"Washing" can result in reaction in public interest 

in "wearing cancer" which today causes a strong psychological reaction. 

This condition could be accomplished by mass media such as television, 

motion pictures, and popular publications. Facts of such condition would 

to extend core behaviors that have been practiced at least but never 

explained. As the case of conjuring table, there is much less 

stimulation of the "gloom" is known. Such a program should tend to 

reduce the current readiness of the public and consequently their 

willingness to allow hostile propaganda. The Panel noted that the 
general scheme of Russian propaganda based on a subject with no 

criminal possibilities for exploitation might indicate a possible Russian 

cultural policy.

Hence of the Panel had various suggestions related to the plan- 

ning of such an educational program. It was felt strongly that 

psychological training with new psychology should arise in the nature 

and content of the program. In this connection, Dr. Harley Cordell 

(Princeton University) was suggested. Cordell phrased "Attraction from
A study in the psychology of peace, written about the famous
Dr. Halsey radio broadcast in 1958) and has since performed advanced
research studies in the field of psychology. The names of Dr. Halsey,
(University of Michigan) and Dr. Halsey were mentioned as possibly
studying in psychology. Also, someone familiar with
such research studies of perhaps an advertising expert, would be
helpful. Another idea was mentioned as possibly a valuable channel
of communication reaching a mass audience of certain levels. Dr. Halsey
suggested the U. S. Navy (U.S.) Special Enlargement Center, Santa Monica, be
as a potentially valuable organization to assist in such an educational
program. The teaching techniques used by this agency for aircraft
identification during the past was cited as an example of a similar
educational task. The Jan Family Co., which aids World War II training
films (motion picture and slide strips) was also suggested, as well as
Hanna-Barbera, Inc., animated cartoons. Dr. Halsey suggested that
such a program in the U. S. might be a potential source of cultivation
and talent, "to spread the gospel." It was believed that business
drama, high schools, colleges, and television stations would all be
planned to cooperate in the showing of documentary type motion pictures
prepared in an interesting manner. The use of true cases showing
first the "mystery" and then the "explanation" would be feasible.

To plan and execute such a program, the Panel believed was no
small task. The current investigative process at NH would, of necessity,
have to be closely integrated for support with respect to not only the
The report notes the existence of various groups such as the "American Flying Saucer Investigators" (Los Angeles) and the "Minnesota Flying Saucer Organization" (Minneapolis). It was believed that such organizations should be watched because of their potential...
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Location/Institution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R. H. Wilson</td>
<td>President</td>
<td>California Institute of Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John J. Kohls</td>
<td>Vice President of Student</td>
<td>California Institute of Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. M. Bates</td>
<td>Dean</td>
<td>California Institute of Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. W. Brown</td>
<td>Registrar</td>
<td>California Institute of Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. L. White</td>
<td>Associate Dean of Students</td>
<td>California Institute of Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. B. Black</td>
<td>Dean of Engineering</td>
<td>California Institute of Technology</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J. E. White</td>
<td>Dean of Science</td>
<td>California Institute of Technology</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Institute of Technology**

- **Basic Engineering Program**
- **Mechanical Engineering Program**
- **Electrical Engineering Program**
- **Civil Engineering Program**
- **Chemical Engineering Program**
- **Aerospace Engineering Program**
- **Computer and Information Science Program**
- **Biomedical Engineering Program**
- **Environmental Engineering Program**
- **Nuclear Engineering Program**
- **Materials Science and Engineering Program**
- **Management Science and Engineering Program**
- **Engineering Management Program**
- **Engineering Economics Program**
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Company Or Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>John Doe</td>
<td>CEO</td>
<td>Acme Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jane Smith</td>
<td>COO</td>
<td>Beta Industries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Brown</td>
<td>CFO</td>
<td>Gamma Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mrs. Johnson</td>
<td>CTO</td>
<td>Delta Technologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Williams</td>
<td>CEO</td>
<td>Echo Corporation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miss. Davis</td>
<td>COO</td>
<td>Foxtrot Industries</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
M. M. January 1973


2. Final Report on Project BLUE and Project WHISPER (also issued the last copy of subject).


6. Studies of Unexplained UFO's, prepared by National Air Missle Center (Project BLUE).


9. Summary Report of 66 selected cases of sightings of various hypotheses (UFOs, Ballistic Missiles, unidentified objects, etc.).

10. First of many 'How to Make a JUINEX', prepared at AEF.


13. Chart Showing Selected Noves 1 Balloon Flight Paths and Relation to Reported Sightings.


15. Chart Showing Categories of Unidentified Sightings.

18. Summary picture of unexplained objects showing high reflectivity.
20. Samples of classified M.I. reporting forms and copies of pertinent
    Air Force, Navy and Army reports relating to subject.
22. "UFOs on Film Coverage", OCT 562 (Manual illustrating unusual
    optical characteristics of spacecraft)
23. Miscellaneous submitted letters and foreign intelligence reports
    dealing with subject.
24. Copies of popular published works dealing with subject (articles
    in popular magazines, newspaper cuttings and books).
Office Memorandum • UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO : Dr. Mackie, OSI
FROM : Dr. Stone, OSI (AW)
SUBJECT: Flying Saucers

DATE: 15 March 1949

1. A rapid perusal of your documents leaves one confused and inclined to supineness.

2. The following considerations seem not to have been included in the survey:

   a. No suggestion is noted that there is a possibility that many of the objects may be "free" meteorological sounding balloons.

   b. If a true "flying saucer" is to be involved, it is extremely unlikely that they be found over the U. S. because:

      (1) U.S. developments would be closely coordinated with USAF or commercial designers.

      (2) Foreign aircraft development would hardly be tested at such a range from home areas, even if fuel could be supplied.

      (3) Guided aircraft at a range of several thousand miles are beyond any known capabilities, including ours.

   c. What is the psychological probability that any object seen briefly against "zero" background will be circular, or oval, in appearance?

   d. Has any one commented on the curious time distribution of the observations? Note chart below:

   ![Chart showing the number of sightings per month from February to December.]

   Is there any midsummer madness involved? Are asteroids prominent in that season? Etc., etc.
From: Commanding Officer and Director
To: The Chief of Naval Operations
Attn: Office of Naval Intelligence
Via: The Chief of Naval Research

Subj: Sighting of Unidentified Object—Report of

Enclos: (A) Statement of C.B. Moore, General Mills
Aeronautical Research, Minneapolis

1. Enclo (A) is a statement submitted to this activity by
Mr. C. B. Moore (General Mills Aeronautical Research) who
sighted and tracked an unidentified object on 24 April 1949
while engaged on Special Devices Center Project 8-0-1-1 in
the vicinity of White Sands Proving Ground.

2. Mr. Moore’s statement is forwarded as significant because
of the detailed telemetering data it contains and because
the object’s variation of azimuth and elevation might indicate
some degree of controlled flight.

3. The observer is known to the Special Devices Center as a
graduate mechanical engineer with an Air Force Reserve captaincy
in meteorology. Mr. Moore, prior to his employment by General
Mills, headed the New York University constant level balloon
research program for the Air Force, and can be considered to be
a competent, mature, and highly experienced observer.

cc: (with enclo) J. R. Ruhse nerger
    Director
    Central Intelligence Agency
OBJECT REPORT

On 24 April 1949, at 3 miles north of Arrey, New Mexico, (107° 19'/W 32° 52'/N) 4 Navy enlisted men from White Sands Proving Ground (Chief Akers, Davidson, Fitzsimmons and Koorman) and I saw a rapidly moving object while making a pibal wind run. We had released a 350 gram balloon at about 1020 MST and were following it with a standard ML-47 (David White) Theodolite. After the 1030 reading, Davidson took over the theodolite, and Akers and I looked up to find the balloon with naked eye. We thought we had the balloon when we saw a whitish spherical object right along the direction the theodolite (45° elevation and 210° azimuth) was pointing. The object was drifting east rapidly (5°/sec. as estimated by stopwatch and width of fingers) but we had thought to encounter similar winds on the balloon. When the difference in angle between the theodolite and supposed balloon became apparent, I took over the theodolite and found the true balloon still there, whereas I abandoned it and picked up the object after it came out of the sun. (The computed bearing of sun was 120° azimuth and elevation 60°) The object was moving too fast to crank the theodolite around, therefore one of the men pointed the theodolite and I looked.

The object was an ellipsoid about 2½ : I slenderness ratio, length about 0.2° subtended angle, and white in color; except for a light yellow of one side as though it were in shadow. I could not get a hard focus on the object due to the speed at which the angles changed. Therefor I saw no detail at all.

The Azimuth angle continued to decrease as the object continued on a north heading, growing smaller in size. At around 20° - 25° Azimuth, the Azimuth held constant and the elevation angle began increasing from the 25° minimum to about 25°. The object then apparently disappeared due to distance after a total time of observation of about 60 seconds.

The object was not a balloon and was some distance away. Assuming escape velocity, a track was figured which put the elevation about the station of about 300,000 feet over the observed period. If this is true, the flight would have probably gone over the White Sands Proving Ground, Holloman Air Force Base and Los Alamos.

We made another pibal wind run 15 minutes later. This balloon burst after an 88 minute flight of 95,000 feet only 13 miles due south of us. Therefore this object could not have been a free balloon moving at such angular speed below 50,000 feet.

Information is desired if this was some new or experimental aircraft or for any explanation whatsoever.

/"/ C.B. Moore
C.B. Moore
General Mills Aeronautical Research
300 E. Hennecin Avenue
Minneapolis, 13, Minnesota
GENe- 0071 X 86

NOTE:
No clouds in sky, no haze.
No noise, very quiet in area (no cars, planes or other engines running).
No trail, no exhaust visible.
No odor.
MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director/Intelligence

SUBJECT: Recent Sightings of Unidentified Objects

In the past several weeks a number of radar and visual sightings of unidentified aerial objects have been reported. Although this office has maintained a continuing review of such reported sightings during the past three years, a special study group has been formed to review this subject to date. O/CI will participate in this study with O/CI and a report should be ready about 15 August.

RALPH L. CLARK Acting Assistant Director Scientific Intelligence

[Redacted]

Copy From Nearly Illegible Original
INFORMAL

Deputy Assistant Director/SI
1 August 1952

Acting Chief, Weapons & Equipment Division

"Flying Saucers"

1. Pursuant to your request for overall evaluation of "flying saucers" and associated reports, the following is pertinent:

a. Of 1000 to 2000 such reports received by ATIC, a large percentage are clearly "phony." An equally large percentage can be satisfactorily explained as known flights of currently operational U.S. equipment (aircraft, weather balloons, etc.), and many others are undisguisedly natural phenomena (meteorites, clouds, aberration of light caused by thermal inversion or reflections, etc.).

b. Less than 100 reasonably credible reports remain "unexplainable" at this time; regarding these reports, there is no pattern of specific sizes, configurations, characteristics, performance, or location. The sources of these reports are generally no more or less credible than the sources of the other categories. It is probable that if complete information were available for presently "unexplainable" reports, they, too, could be evaluated into categories as indicated in "a" above.

2. Notwithstanding the foregoing tentative facts, so long as a series of reports remains "unexplainable" (interplanetary aspects and alien origin not being thoroughly excluded from consideration) caution requires that intelligence continue coverage of the subject.

3. It is recommended that CIA surveillance of subject matter, in coordination with proper authorities of primary operational concern at ATIC, be continued. It is strongly urged, however, that no indication of CIA interest or concern reach the press or public, in view of their probable alarmist tendencies to accept such interest as "confirmatory" of the soundness of "unpublished facts" in the hands of the U. S. Government.

4. The undersigned has arranged with the Commanding Officer of the Air Technical Intelligence Center at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio, for a thorough and comprehensive briefing related to this subject on 8 August 1952. Subsequent to obtaining full details, a detailed analysis will be prepared and forwarded.

[Signature]
Minutes of Branch Chief's Meeting of
11 August 1952

The meeting was at 0830 in Mr. Steele's office with a quorum consisting of Mr. Sullivan, Chairman, Mr. Ahern, Mr. Barnard, Mr. Engle, Dr. Fairchild, Dr. Fondiller, Dr. Gordon, Dr. Mardikian and Mr. Rejala. Mr. Sullivan opened the meeting by saying that a project is to be started in the P&E Division on "Flying Saucers." It was suggested by Dr. Odarenko that this project be set up to maintain a file to establish outside contacts on such matters and to build up the up-to-date knowledge of the possibilities to permit the Division and office to take a stand and to formulate an opinion as might be required.

ATIC has the job of finding out about these "flying saucers" and keeping records. It is responsible for getting information for CIA. All members of the P&E Division are to look into this project and see what they can contribute to this problem. Each Branch was appointed a representative:

Mr. Elby for Physics, and Mr. Barnard for Electronics and Communications.

Mr. Gordon is Project Officer for the P&E Division. He is to find out who is handling the project in ORS and get a past history of what has been found out in the field of meteorology, radar and the other sciences. He should secure reports from ATIC and bring us up-to-date on what has been done.

Mr. Steele wanted to know if P&E should be in the position to answer requests coming to us. Since ATIC has a standard form for reference purposes, requests would be answered through contact with them. Air Force has local agents to look into any questions coming to them. ATIC has a record, it was believed, to cover the whole world.

It was stated that Mr. S. Jossom, who may be a special officer in
A-2, may be able to contribute something to this problem. Mr. Sullivan gave a summary of the 5 years that Mr. Strong spent in the building at that time, no specific problem to be discussed. The meeting was adjourned.

Distribution:

* Where so many "flying saucers" have been reported of late. Although Mr. Strong saw many of the there and traveled the area extensively, no unusual phenomena were ever mentioned or seen.
MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director (Intelligence)

SUBJECT: USSR and Satellite Mention of Flying Saucers

1. A search of Foreign Documents Division files has so far produced no factual evidence that subject has been mentioned in the Soviet Satellite press within the past two years. It is believed that a derisive comment was made in a Russian newspaper in 1943 on this subject but so far the article has not been found.

2. FBI has one broadcast on this subject, dated 10 June 1951, which is quoted below:

   Summary - In what appears to be Moscow's first mention of Flying Saucers "Listener's Haidag" answers questions on the subject to the effect that "The Chief of Nuclear Physics in the US Naval Research Bureau" explained them recently as used for stratospheric studies. US Government circles knew all along of the harmless nature of these objects, but if they refrained from denying "false reports, the purpose behind such tactics was to fan war hysteria in the country."

3. A State Department cable recently received from Budapest quotes the August 11th copy of Szabad Nap as follows:

   "Flying Saucer stories are another American attempt to fan war hysteria." Radar detection of saucers is quoted in the article and it comments on the ridiculous aspects of the source of the mystery. The article concludes that it is part of American rulers propaganda to prove the Western countries are threatening.

4. FBI has been requested to alert the field stations to any mention of Flying Saucers by Iron Curtain countries.
Flying Unidentified Objects (Flying Saucers)

1. Attached is a proposed letter to Secretary Lovett from the DCI recommending the initiation of fundamental scientific research with respect to the nature and causes of unidentified flying objects (Flying Saucers).

2. While it is recognized that the implications of Flying Saucers are of primary concern to the Defense Department in carrying out its responsibility to defend the United States, it is also believed that intelligence must request of appropriate authority such assistance as it needs to carry out its responsibility. It is believed that the scientific research required and the intelligence research contingent thereon can be most fruitful if they go hand in hand.

3. Recommendations:
   a. That the IAC concur in the proposed letter to the Secretary of Defense.
   b. That the IAC request the Watch Committee to give close attention to indications which may reflect Russian actions are being taken with respect to or on the basis of cognizance of Flying Saucers or with respect to the state of United States public opinion in respect of Flying Saucers.
INTELLIGENCE FOR: Secretary of Defense

SUBJECT: Unidentified Flying Objects (Flying Saucers)

1. The IAC has reviewed the current situation concerning unidentified flying objects which have caused extensive speculation in the press and have been the subject of concern to Government organizations. The Air Force, within the limitations of manpower which could be devoted to the subject, has thus far carried the full responsibility for investigating and analyzing individual reports of sightings. Since 1957, approximately 1500 official reports of sightings have been received and, of these, about 20% are as yet unexplained.

2. It is my view and that of the IAC that this situation has possible implications for our national security with respect to the vulnerability of the U.S. to air attack. Intelligence, however, cannot discharge its responsibilities with regard to estimating the capabilities of an enemy to create and use such phenomena against the U.S. unless we first determine through scientific research whether or not such phenomena can in fact be generated and controlled by humans.

3. It is therefore recommended, that the Department of Defense undertake an expanded scientific research program to reveal the nature of the various phenomena which are causing these sightings and means by which these phenomena may be identified immediately. It is also recommended that in such a project there be close cooperation between those conducting the research and scientific and technical intelligence research. The IAC agencies are prepared to do their part in such a project.

D.C.T.
MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence
THRU: Deputy Director (Intelligence)

SUBJECT: Flying Saucers

1. PROBLEM

To determine:

a. Whether there are national security implications in the problem of "unidentified flying objects" i.e. flying saucers;

b. Whether adequate study and research is currently being directed to this problem in its relation to such national security implications; and

c. What further investigation and research should be instituted, by whom, and under what agency.

2. FACTS BEARING ON THE PROBLEM

a. OSI has investigated the work currently being performed on flying saucers and has found that:

(1) The only unit of government currently studying the problem in the Directorate of Intelligence, DIA, which has charged the Air Technical Intelligence Center (ATIC) with responsibility for investigating the reports of sightings.

(2) At ATIC there is a small group consisting of a reserve Captain, two lieutenants and two secretaries to which come all reports of sightings through official channels, and which conducts investigation of the reports either itself or through consultation with other Air Force officers or with civilian technical consultants.

(3) A world-wide reporting system has been instituted and major Air Force bases have been ordered to make interceptions of unidentified flying objects.

(b) The research being carried on is strictly on a case basis and appears to be designed solely to attempt a satisfactory explanation of each individual sighting as it occurs.

(5) ATIC has concluded an arrangement with Fatellite Memorial Institute for the latter to establish a machine indexing system for official reports of sightings.

[Handwritten note]

24
(6) Since 1947, AIEC has received approximately 1503 official reports of sightings plus an enormous volume of letters, phone calls and press reports. During the month of July 1952 alone, official reports totaled 250. Of the 1503 reports, Air Force carries 20% as unexplained and of those received January through July 1952 it carries 25% unexplained.

3. DISCUSSION

a. OSG entered into its inquiry fully aware that it was coming into a field already charged with partisanship, one in which objectivity had been overridden by numerous sensational writers, and one in which these are pressured for extravagant explanations as well as for oversimplification. The OSG team consulted with a representative of Air Force Special Studies Group; discussed the problem with those in charge of the Air Force Project at Wright Field; reviewed a considerable volume of intelligence reports; checked the Soviet press and broadcast indexing; and conferred with three OSG consultants, all leaders in their scientific fields, who were chosen because of their broad knowledge of the technical areas concerned.

b. OSG found that the AIEC study is probably valid if the purpose is limited to a case-by-case explanation. However, the study makes no attempt to solve the more fundamental aspect of the problem which is to determine definitely the nature of the various phenomena which are causing these sightings, or to discover means by which these causes and their visual or electronic effects may be immediately identified. Our consultant panel stated that these solutions would probably be found on the margins or just beyond the frontiers of our present knowledge in the fields of atmospheric, ionospheric, and extraterrestrial phenomena, with the added possibility that our present dispersal of nuclear waste products might also be a factor. They recommended that a study group be formed to perform these functions:

(1) Analyze and systematize the factors of information which form the fundamental problem;

(2) Determine the fields of fundamental science which must be investigated in order to reach an understanding of the phenomena involved; and

(3) Make recommendations for the initiation of appropriate research.

Dr. Julius A. Stratton, Vice President of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, has indicated to OSG that such a group could be constituted at that Institute. Similarly, Project Lincoln, the Air Force air defense project at MIT, could be charged with these responsibilities.
b. CONCLUSION

a. The flying saucer situation contains two elements of danger which, in a situation of international tension, have national security implications. These are:

(1) Psychological - With world-wide sightings reported, it was found that, up to the time of our investigation, there had been in the Russian press no report or comment, even satirical, on flying saucers, though Andre Gromyko had made one humorous mention of the subject. With a State-controlled press, this could result only from an official policy decision. The question, therefore, arises as to whether or not these sightings:

(a) Could be controlled,

(b) Could be predicted; and

(c) Could be used from a psychological warfare point of view either offensively or defensively.

The public concern with the phenomenon, which is reflected in the United States press and in pressure on inquiry upon the Air Force, indicates that there is a fair proportion of our population which is mentally conditioned to the acceptance of the incredible. In this fact lies the potential for the touching-off of mass hysteria and panic.

(2) Air Vulnerability - The United States Air Warning System will undoubtedly always depend upon a combination of radar screening and visual observation. To have ensured the present capability of delivering an air attack against us, yet at any given moment now, there may be current a dozen or more unidentified sightings plus many unofficial. At any moment of attack, we are now in a position where we cannot, on an instant basis, distinguish hardware from phantom, and as tension mounts we will run the increasing risk of false alerts and the even greater danger of falsely identifying the real as phantom.

b. Both of these problems are primarily operational in nature but each contains readily apparent intelligence factors. From an operational point of view, three actions are required:

(1) Immediate steps should be taken to improve identification of both visual and electronic phantoms so that in the event of an attack, instant and positive identification of enemy planes or missiles can be made.
(2) A study should be instituted to determine that, if any, utilization could be made of these phenomena by United States psychical warfare planners, and that, if any, defenses should be planned in anticipation of Soviet attempts to utilize them.

(3) A national policy should be established as to what should be told the public regarding the phenomena, in order to minimize risk of panic.

c. Intelligence problems include:

(1) The present level of Russian knowledge regarding these phenomena.

(2) Possible Soviet intentions and capabilities to utilize these phenomena to the detriment of US security interests.

(3) The reasons for silence in the Soviet Press regarding flying saucers.

d. Intelligence responsibilities in this field as regards both collection and analysis can be discharged with maximum effectiveness only after much more is known regarding the exact nature of these phenomena.

e. The problem transcends the level of individual departmental responsibilities, and is of such importance as to merit consideration and action by the National Security Council.

f. Additional research, differing in character and emphasis from that presently being performed by Air Force, will be required to meet the specific needs of both operations and intelligence.

5. RECOMMENDATIONS

It is recommended that:

a. The Director of Central Intelligence advise the National Security Council of the security implications inherent in the flying saucer problem until the request sent, under his statutory coordinating authority, to Director of Central Intelligence be executed to initiate through the appropriate agencies, either within or without the government, the investigation and research necessary to solve the problem of instant positive identification of "unidentified flying objects".

b. DOD, under its assigned responsibilities, and in cooperation with the appropriate statutory bodies, immediately investigate possible offensive or defensive utilization of the phenomena for psychological warfare purposes both for and against the United States, advising these agencies carries with it: internal security of any pertinent
findings affecting their areas of responsibility.

c. On the basis of these programs of research, CIA develop and recommend for adoption by the National Security Council a policy of public disinformation which will minimize the risk of panic.

[Signature]

E. Mitchell Chandell
Assistant Director
Scientific Intelligence

OSI/Stranglrd (11 September 1952)

Orig. & 1 - Addresses
1 - Ad/CI
1 - Daily Reading

For use: 1 - Subject
1 - Chrono
not limited
13 October 1952

MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director (Intelligence)

SUBJECT: Flying Saucers

1. Flying unidentified objects (Flying Saucers) have been the subject of Air Force observation and analysis because of the possibility that such objects might conceivably be an attack on the U.S. If they are not, it is conceivable that the enemy might take advantage of the confusion created by the unrealized Flying Saucers to use at his convenience some air weapon against the United States.

2. Thus far Defense Department conducted research in this area has been confined to the effort by A-2 through ATIC with the result that an explanation has been provided on all but 20 per cent of the total 1500 reported sightings of Flying Saucers.

3. Determination of the scientific capabilities of the USSR to create and control Flying Saucers as a weapon against the United States is a primary concern of the CIA/OSI. Its review of existing information does not lead to the conclusion that the saucers are USSR created or controlled. It is the view of OSI that collection of intelligence information on the capabilities of the USSR to produce, launch, and control Flying Saucers and the analysis of such data as might be collected cannot be very effective until there is adequate fundamental scientific research launched to clarify the nature and causes of Flying Saucers and to devise means whereby they might be instantly identified.

4. The major scientific intelligence problems in respect to Saucers are:

   a. What is the present level of Soviet knowledge regarding these phenomena?

   b. What are possible Soviet capabilities to utilize these phenomena to the detriment of US security interests?

   c. What effect do flying saucers have on our warning system.

   

   JH
5. Conclusions:

a. The instigation of fundamental scientific research is the primary responsibility of the Defense Department.

b. The DCL should dispatch to Secretary Lovett along the lines of the attached. The cover sheet explaining to the IAC why the proposed letter is submitted is also attached (we should do the draft 4 the date with A-2 before IDC).

c. It is far too early in view of the present state of our knowledge regarding Flying Saucers for psychological warfare planners to start planning how the United States might use U.S. Flying Saucers against the enemy.

d. When intelligence has submitted the National Estimate on Flying Saucers there will be the time and basis for a public policy to reduce or restrain mass hysteria.

[Signature]
James Q. Reber
Assistant Director
Intelligence Coordination
MEANDERING TO: Director of Central Intelligence

THROUGH: Deputy Director (Intelligence)

FROM: Assistant Director, Office of Scientific Intelligence

SUBJECT: Flying Saucers

1. PROBLEM—To determine: (a) Whether or not there are national security implications in the problem of “unidentified flying objects”; (b) whether or not adequate study and research is currently being directed to this problem in its relation to such national security implications; and (c) what further investigation and research should be instituted, by whom, and under what aegis.

2. FACTS AND DISCUSSION—OSI has investigated the work currently being performed on “flying saucers” and found that the Air Technical Intelligence Center, DI, USAF, Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, is the only group devoting appreciable effort and study to this subject, that ATIC is concentrating on a case-by-case explanation of each report, and that this effort is not adequate to correlate, evaluate, and resolve the situation on an overall basis. The current problem is discussed in detail in TAB A.

3. CONCLUSIONS—“Flying saucers” pose two elements of danger which have national security implications. The first involves mass psychological considerations and the second concerns the vulnerability of the United States to air attack. Both factors are amplified in TAB A.

4. ACTION RECOMMENDED—(a) That the Director of Central Intelligence advise the National Security Council of the implications of the “flying saucer” problem and request that research be initiated. TAB B is a draft memorandum to the NSC, for the DCI’s signature. (b) That the DCI discuss this subject with the Psychological Strategy Board. A memorandum to the Director, Psychological Strategy Board, is attached for signature as TAB C. (c) That CIA, with the cooperation of OSS and other interested departments and agencies, develop and recommend for adoption by the NSC a
policy of public information which will minimize concern and possible panic resulting from the numerous sightings of unidentified objects.

H. Marshall Chaddell
Assistant Director
Scientific Intelligence

ATTACHES:
TAB A—Memorandum to DII, through DII, Subject: Flying Saucers.
TAB C—Memo to Director, Psychological Strategy Board with enclosure.

CONCURRENCES:
Date: ____________________________

LOTUS E. BECKER
Deputy Director/Intelligence

ACTION BY APPROVING AUTHORITY:
Date: ____________________________

Approved (disapproved):

WALTER E. SMITH
Director
MEmORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

THROUGH: Deputy Director (Intelligence)

SUBJECT: Flying Saucers

1. Recently an inquiry was conducted by the Office of Scientific Intelligence to determine whether there are national security implications in the problem of "unidentified flying objects," i.e., flying saucers; whether adequate study and research is currently being directed to this problem in its relation to such national security implications; and what further investigation and research should be instituted, by whom, and under what aegis.

2. It was found that the only unit of Government currently studying the problem is the Directorate of Intelligence, CIAF, which has charged the Air Technical Intelligence Center (ATIC) with responsibility for investigating the reports of sightings. At ATIC there is a group of three officers and two secretaries to which come, through official channels, all reports of sightings. This group conducts investigation of the reports, consulting as required with other Air Force and civilian technical personnel. A world-wide reporting system has been instituted and major Air Force Bases have been ordered to make interceptions of unidentified flying objects. The research is being conducted on a case basis and is designed to provide a satisfactory explanation of each individual sighting. ATIC has concluded an arrangement with Battelle Memorial Institute for the latter to establish a machine indexing system for official reports of sightings.

3. Since 1947, ATIC has received approximately 1500 official reports of sightings plus an enormous volume of letters, phone calls, and press reports. During July 1952 alone, official reports totaled 250. Of the 1500 reports, Air Force carries 20 percent as unexplained and of those received from January through July 1952 it carries 25 percent unexplained.

4. In its inquiry into this problem, a team from CIA's Office of Scientific Intelligence consulted with a representative of Air Force Special Studies Group; discussed the problem with those in charge of the Air Force Project at Wright-Patterson Air Force Base; reviewed a considerable volume of intelligence reports; checked the Soviet press and broadcast indices; and conferred with three CIA consultants, who have broad knowledge of the technical areas concerned.
5. It was found that the ATIC study is probably valid if the purpose is limited to a case-by-case explanation. However, that study does not solve the more fundamental aspects of the problem. These aspects are to determine definitely the nature of the various phenomena which are causing these sightings, and to discover means by which these causes, and their visual or electronic effects, may be identified immediately. The CIA consultants stated that these solutions would probably be found on the margins or just beyond the frontiers of our present knowledge in the fields of atmospheric, ionospheric, and extraterrestrial phenomena, with the added possibility that the present dispersal of nuclear waste products might also be a factor. They recommended that a study group be formed to perform three functions:

a. analyze and systematize the factors which constitute the fundamental problem;

b. determine the fields of fundamental science which must be investigated in order to reach an understanding of the phenomena involved; and

c. make recommendations for the initiation of appropriate research.

Dr. Julius A. Stratton, Vice President of the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, has indicated to CIA that such a group could be constituted at that Institute. Similarly, Project Lincoln, the Air Force's air defense project at MIT, could be charged with some of these responsibilities.

6. The flying saucer situation contains two elements of danger which, in a situation of international tension, have national security implications. These are:

a. Psychological - With world-wide sightings reported, it was found that, up to the time of the investigation, there had been in the Soviet press no report or comment, even satirical, on flying saucers, though Gromyko had made one humorous mention of the subject. With a State-controlled press, this could result only from an official policy decision. The question, therefore, arises as to whether or not these sightings:

(1) could be controlled;
(2) could be predicted, and
(3) could be used from a psychological warfare point of view, either offensively or defensively.
The public concern with the phenomena, which is reflected both in the United States press and in the pressure of inquiry upon the Air Force, indicates that a fair proportion of our population is mentally conditioned to the acceptance of the incredible. In this fact lies the potential for the touching-off of mass hysteria and panic.

b. Air Vulnerability - The United States Air Warning System will undoubtedly always depend upon a combination of radar screening and visual observation. The U.S.S.R. is credited with the present capability of delivering an air attack against the United States, yet at any given moment now, there may be current a dozen official unidentified sightings plus many unofficial ones. At any moment of attack, we are now in a position where we cannot, on an instant basis, distinguish hardware from phantom, and as tension mounts we will run the increasing risk of false alerts and the even greater danger of falsely identifying the real as phantom.

7. Both of these problems are primarily operational in nature but each contains readily apparent intelligence factors.

8. From an operational point of view, three actions are required:

   a. Immediate steps should be taken to improve identification of both visual and electronic phantom so that, in the event of an attack, instant and positive identification of enemy planes or missiles can be made.

   b. A study should be instituted to determine what, if any, utilization could be made of these phenomena by United States psychological warfare planners and what, if any, defenses should be planned in anticipation of Soviet attempts to utilize them.

   c. In order to minimize risk of panic, a rational policy should be established as to what should be told the public regarding the phenomena.

9. Other intelligence problems which require determination are:

   a. The present level of Soviet knowledge regarding these phenomena;

   b. Possible Soviet intentions and capabilities to utilize these phenomena to the detriment of United States security interests.

...

10. Additional research, differing in character and emphasis from that presently being performed by Air Force, will be required to meet the specific needs of both operations and intelligence. Intelligence responsibilities in this field as regards both collection and analysis can be discharged with maximum effectiveness only after much more is known regarding the exact nature of these phenomena.

11. I consider this problem to be of such importance that it should be brought to the attention of the National Security Council in order that a community-wide coordinated effort towards its solution may be initiated.

[Signature]

E. MARSHALL CHADWICK
Assistant Director
Scientific Intelligence
14 October 1972

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Flying Saucers Problem

1. At an informal discussion between D/S/I, AD/IC, and Acting AD/II, it was agreed that the saucer problem should be attacked by getting together the responsible individuals in the community to work out a program of research and intelligence which can then be implemented by them directly. The agreed program can then be forwarded to the OIC and possibly the Secretary of Defense and the balance of the National Security Council as an established program rather than waiting for a great deal of formal, high level paper pushing before taking action.

2. D/S/I directed Acting AD/II to contact Dr. Whisman and Gen. Savard to arrange an appropriate time at which this problem can be reviewed. Acting AD/II to call on Dr. Whisman and review the background of our study before the meeting.

3. Subsequently, Acting AD/II was advised that the most convenient days for this meeting would be Monday or Tuesday, 20 or 21 October. Those present would be:

Dr. Walter G. Whitman, Chairman, JIC
Gen. John A. Savard, Director of Intelligence, USAF
[Mr. Laslud. A. Deckert, D/S/I]
[Mr. James G. Huber, AD/IC]

plus others deemed appropriate by the principals.

Ralph L. Clark

CC: Chief, Opm/BI - 1
Chief, S&T/II - 1
Chief, Prod/1 - 1
MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Flying Saucers Problem

1. At an informal discussion between DB/I, AD/IG, and Acting AD/Sl, it was agreed that the saucer problem should be attacked by getting together the responsible individuals in the community to work out a program of research and intelligence which can then be implemented by them directly. The agreed program can then be forwarded to the DCI and possibly the Secretary of Defense and the balance of the National Security Council as an established program rather than waiting for a great deal of formal, high level paper pushing before taking action.

2. DB/I directed Acting AD/Sl to contact Dr. Whitten and Gen. Sanford to arrange an appropriate time at which this problem can be reviewed. Acting AD/Sl to call on Dr. Whitten and review the background of our study before the meeting.

3. Subsequently, Acting AD/Sl was advised that the most convenient days for this meeting would be Monday or Tuesday, 20 or 21 October. Those present would be:

Dr. Walter G. Whitten, Chairman, JIC
Gen. John A. Sanford, Director of Intelligence, USAF
Mr. Letts D. Secker, DD/Sl
Mr. James G. Reider, AD/IG

plus others deemed appropriate by the principals.

CC: Chief, Opns/SI - 1
Chief, SAD/SI - 1
Chief, Prod/SI - 1
Dear Mr. [Becker],

Confirming our brief conversation in the hall the other day, I enclose a memorandum from General Maxwell on the subject. We will advise you when we receive the report referred to in this memo.

Sincerely,

WALTER G. WHITMAN
Chairman

Enclosure (1)

[Mr. Loftus E. Becker]
Deputy Director for Intelligence
Central Intelligence Agency
2430 E Street, N.W.
Washington 25, D. C.

(This document may be declassified upon removal of Confidential Attachment.)
MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence
THRU: Deputy Director for Intelligence
SUBJECT: Unidentified Flying Objects

1. On 20 August, the DCI, after a briefing by OSI on the above subject, directed the preparation of an NSCID for submission to the Council stating the need for investigation and directing agencies concerned to cooperate in such investigations.

2. In attempting to draft such a directive and the supporting staff studies, it became apparent to DD/I, Acting AD/SI and AD/IC that the problem was largely a research and development problem, and it was decided by DD/I to attempt to initiate action through R&E/B.
A conference was held between DI/USAF, Chairman of R&D/B, DD/I, Acting AD/SI and AD/IC at which time it was decided that Dr. Whitman, Chairman of R&D/B, would investigate the possibility of undertaking research and development studies through Air Force agencies.

3. On approximately 6 November, we were advised by Chairman, R&D/B, that inquiries in the Air Staff did not disclose "undue concern" over this matter, but that it had been referred to the Air Defense Command for consideration. No further word has been received from R&D/B.

4. Recent reports reaching CIA indicated that further action was desirable and another briefing by the cognizant A-2 and AEIC personnel was held on 25 November. At this time, the reports of incidents convince us that there is something going on that must have immediate attention. The details of some of these incidents have been discussed by AD/SI with DCI. Sightings of unexplained objects at great altitudes and travelling at high speeds in the vicinity of major U.S. defense installations are of such nature that they are not attributable to natural phenomena or known types of aerial vehicles.

5. OSI is proceeding to the establishment of a consulting group of sufficient competence and stature to review this matter and convince the responsible authorities in the community that immediate research and development on this subject must be undertaken. This can be done expeditiously under the aegis of CENSIS.

Declassified by 008527
date 24 JAN 1975
6. Attached hereto is a draft memorandum to the NSC and a simple draft NSC Directive establishing this matter as a priority project throughout the intelligence and the defense research and development community.

[Signature]

E. MARSHALL CHADWELL
Assistant Director
Scientific Intelligence

Attachments:
Draft memo to NSC with draft Directive
MEMORANDUM TO: The Executive Secretary  
National Security Council  

SUBJECT: Unidentified Flying Objects (Flying Saucers)

1. The Central Intelligence Agency has reviewed the current situation concerning unidentified flying objects which have caused extensive speculation in the press and have been the subject of concern to Government organizations. The Air Force, within the limitations of manpower which could be devoted to the subject, has thus far carried the full responsibility for investigating and analyzing individual reports of sightings. Since 1947, approximately 2000 official reports of sightings have been received and, of these, about 20% are as yet unexplained.

2. It is my view that this situation has possible implications for our national security which transcend the interests of a single service. A broader, coordinated effort should be initiated to develop a firm scientific understanding of the several phenomena which apparently are involved in these reports, and to assure ourselves that the incidents will not hamper our present efforts in the Cold War or confuse our early warning system in case of an attack.

3. I therefore recommend that this Agency and the agencies of the Department of Defense be directed to formulate and carry out a program of intelligence and research activities required to solve the problem of instant positive identification of unidentified flying objects. A draft of an appropriate directive is attached.

Walter B. Smith  
Director

Enclosure

Declassified by 005287  
date 24 JAN 1973
NATIONAL SECURITY COUNCIL DIRECTIVE

SUBJECT: Unidentified flying objects

Pursuant to the provisions of Section 102 of the National Security Act of 1947 and for the purposes announced in Paragraphs 4 and 5 thereof, the National Security Council hereby authorizes and directs that:

1. The Director of Central Intelligence shall formulate and carry out a program of intelligence and research activities as required to solve the problem of instant positive identification of unidentified flying objects.

2. Upon call of the Director of Central Intelligence, Government departments and agencies shall provide assistance in this program of intelligence and research to the extent of their capacity provided, however, that the ICJ shall avoid duplication of activities presently directed toward the solution of this problem.

3. This effort shall be coordinated with the military services and the Research and Development Board of the Department of Defense, with the Psychological Strategy Board and other Governmental agencies as appropriate.

4. The Director of Central Intelligence shall disseminate information concerning the program of intelligence and research activities in this field to the various departments and agencies which have authorized interest therein.

Declassified by: 000097
Date: 24 JAN 1975
MEMORANDUM FOR: Deputy Director (Intelligence)

THROUGH: Assistant Director, Intelligence Coordination

SUBJECT: Approval in Principle—External Research Project Concerned with Unidentified Flying Objects

REFERENCE: CIA Regulation 110-5-3, A, (1), (b)
CIA Regulation 50-17, 17, C

1. It is proposed that an external research project be established so that the available evidence on Unidentified Flying Objects can be analyzed and evaluated by an Ad Hoc Panel of top-level scientists in order to recommend what further action should be taken toward solution of this problem.

2. The proposed project is an important part of the effort of this office to improve intelligence relating to Unidentified Flying Objects and would materially assist the 0/SI Task Force which has been working on this problem in cooperation with the Air Force at the request of the DCI since 20 August 1952.

3. It is anticipated that the proposed project would be established through CENTIS and would utilize their facilities to obtain the services of the personnel required for the panel. Discussions have been held on this matter with Dr. Max Millikan, Director of CENTIS, who has indicated his approval.

4. The proposed project would cost approximately $5,000 which is the amount estimated to be necessary to pay for the expenses of the Panel members (travel, per diem etc.) and the administrative overhead cost for CENTIS.

5. The proposed project would not duplicate any known CIA activity.

6. The proposed project will not duplicate any known Department of Defense efforts. U. S. Air Force personnel will participate in meetings with the Panel.

7. Classification of the proposed project would be SECRET.
8. It is requested that approval in principle be given for the proposed project so that preliminary negotiations may be undertaken. In due course, the project will be submitted for final approval.

H. MARSHALL CHADWELL
Assistant Director
Scientific Intelligence

CONCURRENCES:

Signature: ___________________________ Date: ___________________________
Assistant Director
Intelligence Coordination

ACTION BY APPROVING AUTHORITY:

Approved (disapproved) in principle.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: ___________________________
Deputy Director (Intelligence)
MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Flying Saucers

1. At 1100 yesterday morning I met with Dr. Julius A. Stratton, Executive Vice President and Provost of Massachusetts Institute of Technology and Dr. Max Millikan, Director of CEHIS. I briefed them on the various new reports of sightings including the Limestone Base Case, the Florida Scout Master, the Utah Motion Pictures, etc. I also brought Dr. Stratton up to date on developments which had occurred since our previous discussion of the subject in August. Dr. Stratton reiterated his earlier position that this is a subject which must be investigated and he said that probably the best means of getting a thoroughly competent review of the problem would be through Project LINCOLN. He said, however, that in view of the delicate position in relation to Air Force, as a result of the "Summer Study Report", any acceptance of this project by LINCOLN must be based on Air Force concurrence or on an independent proposal from one of the other services. He said that Alfred Hill would be the best man to head the group. Assuming that it might prove impractical to place the Project at LINCOLN, we explored other possibilities including Princeton and Cal Tech. Dr. Stratton felt very strongly that Cal Tech would be the better of the two in view of the presence there of Robertson, Lawrison, Spitzer (on temporary duty from Princeton), Millikan's brother and others. Dr. Stratton asked particularly that we keep him informed of the progress that we make in having this problem investigated as he is personally very interested as well as fully aware of the potential danger and implications of the situation.

2. Following the meeting with Drs. Stratton and Millikan, I had lunch at the Faculty Club with Lloyd Berkner and Jerrold Zacharias and briefed them on the recent cases and our feelings regarding their implications. Berkner, while apparently not interested in taking a personal part, felt strongly that the saucer problem should be thoroughly investigated from a scientific point of view. Zacharias did not appear to be greatly interested in the problem and made only one suggestion, i.e., that Shirley Quiby of Columbia University be brought into the picture. Quiby took his physics degree at the same time as Zacharias; is now at Columbia University, having during the war been a Navy scientist working on ASW. Zacharias suggested Quiby because the latter is probably the most expert man in the country on magic and general chicanery.

3. My conclusion from these conversations is that it will probably be necessary to secure the full backing of DCI in order that a scientific review of this problem may be laid on. Without this backing, it would probably be impossible to secure the Air Force cooperation which would be necessary, particularly in the matter of availability of reports, etc.
Office Memorandum

TO: DAD/GI
FROM: E. U. Graham
SUBJECT: FCC Monitoring and Flying Saucers.

"1. In accordance with your request, I interviewed Mr. Irving Weston of the Field Engineering and Monitoring Division of the FCC to determine whether the Commission at present has any knowledge of unexplained radio signals which might possibly be connected with unidentified flying saucers. His answer was no.

2. Unless such signals were reasonably persistent or were causing interference to established services, it is unlikely that they would be intercepted, or if intercepted, the subject of inquiry. This is because the stations are, in general, involved in special assignments and have a minimum of time for general cruising of the spectrum.

3. The Commission has operating 12 full time monitoring stations and 6 part time monitoring stations. Two (2) of the stations are in Alaska and one (1) in Hawaii. Because of the short range of frequencies above 30 mc/s, monitoring between 30 and about 200 mc/s, is confined pretty much to transmitters in the immediate vicinity. Most of the monitoring stations have equipment for higher frequencies, including the AF/AFR-4 receiver, but make little use thereof. VHF monitoring is done to some extent by traveling inspectors with automobile receivers. It seemed likely using the example of the concerted effort to identify the first mystery signals back in 1935 and the more recent efforts which preceded the explanation of the VHF "bursts", that any persistent occurrences of radio signals that might come from flying saucers, if below 30 mc/s., would soon be the object of considerable interest at the FCC and elsewhere.

4. The FCC maintains a file in the Briggs Building of all reported intercepts of all its monitoring stations by frequency and by call letters extending back three or four years. This file is particularly valuable in the recognition of new signals which may be reported. Information tabulated includes frequency, call letters, type of emission, service, monitoring station reporting, and an intercept supporting the identification.

5. Classification of the discussion was considered Secret."
2900 Quebec Street, N.W.
Apt. 1217
Washington 8, D.C.

29 December 1952

Professor J. Allen Hynek
Graduate School
The Ohio State University
Columbus 10, Ohio

Dear Professor Hynek:

Thank you very much indeed for your letter of 23 December confirming the astronomical calculations transmitted earlier by telephone. We are in agreement with your findings and appreciate your insistence in this matter.

It was a pleasure to renew our acquaintance at Dayton and to learn of your consultant work. I hope that we shall see you again in the not too distant future.

With best wishes for the coming year,

Cordially yours,

[Signature]

H. Marshall Chandwick

Distribution:
Opm/SI - 3
Ad/SI - 1
OSI: FCDurant/mtw (29Dec52)
Dr. H. M. Chadwell  
Apt. 1217  
2800 Quebec Street, N. W.  
Washington 8, D. C.

Dear Dr. Chadwell:

In pursuance of our telephone conversation of the other day, and in accordance with your request, I am confirming in writing the results I transmitted to you over the phone.

It is well known that in theodolite observations, elevations can be generally obtained more accurately than the azimuths since the former depend only on accurate leveling but the latter depend upon a determination of the true north. Since most theodolite observers are interested in relative rates and not in absolute positions, it is no surprise that they do not pay too much attention to the exact determination of their zero points. Further, it is quite easy for even an observer of some experience to make an error in reading of some multiple of whole degrees. The observation made at Limestone, therefore, can very well be assumed to have both a zero point error and an incorrect scale reading.

At the mean time of observation, calculations made at the observatory here show that Jupiter had, at Presque Isle, an azimuth of 163° and an elevation of 58.5°. This alone is sufficiently close to the mean of the readings from two stations to serve as strong evidence that the object observed was Jupiter. However, the clinching argument comes when one compares Jupiter's rate of motion in elevation and azimuth during the observation and the rates noted on the theodolite, at Presque Isle. The computed increment in elevation was 0.2 degrees (as compared to the observed 0.3 degrees) and the corresponding increment in azimuth was 1.8 degrees (as against the observed 1.9 degrees). In view of this strikingly close agreement in rates as well as general position in the sky, it would be an outrage to probability theory to consider that the object observed was anything other than the time-honored planet Jupiter. The prosecution rests its case!

Wishing you the very best greetings of the season, I remain, 

Sincerely yours,

J. Allen Hynek  
Assistant Dean and  
Professor of Astronomy

JAH/n
December 30, 1952

Mr. Frederick G. Durant
1120 Mount Vernon Memorial Boulevard
Alexandria, Virginia

Dear Mr. Durant:

Summarizing our discussion of today of the problem which you and Professor E. P. Robertson have brought to my attention, I have learned that the question at issue has no directly visualizeable connection with the work which I am doing for the Atomic Energy Commission. However, I have discovered that the question at issue is both interesting scientifically and has important defense aspects. You have asked me whether I could take part in a meeting in Washington about the subject beginning Monday, January twelfth, lasting for five days. In reply I reported that I am perfectly willing to take part in such an interesting evaluation and planning session, if this participation is considered appropriate by the Atomic Energy Commission; or, in particular, by General Kenneth Fields, head of the Division of Military Applications. My primary obligation is to carry out the program for the Commission for which I am responsible here at Princeton. I consider this sufficiently important that I would not feel it appropriate to take part in these sessions in question unless told by General Fields that he thought this was advisable.

Thanks for your very interesting briefing about a very fascinating problem.

Sincerely yours,

John A. Wheeler

cc: K. Fields
    H. P. Robertson
    R. J. Woodrow
MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Telephone Conversation with Dr. John A. Wheeler

1. At 1/30 today I called Dr. Wheeler at Princeton, New Jersey (123-456-7890) to thank him for his interest in possible affiliation as a consultant in the CIA attack on the "flying saucer" problem. I told him we regretted that the urgency of his current work for AEC (HATTERS) prevented association at this time.

2. Dr. Wheeler stated that he might not be able to actively assist even after March 1953 because of his work on "particle research". However, he would be pleased at any time to discuss the matter briefly. He mentioned two foreign nationals who were currently outstanding in related fields. One was Dr. Chapman, a British subject who is an authority on the auroras and its associated "mysterious problems" of "ion path" and "magnetic focussing". Dr. O'Day (Director of Research at Cambridge, Massachusetts USAF Research Center) might be able to suggest U.S. subjects in this field. It appears that the Air Force has been studying upper atmospheric phenomena there with considerable vigor. Dr. Chapman's believed to be currently at Cambridge University, England.

3. Another suggestion was Dr. Fames Alven, an "original thinker and outstanding researcher". He has written "Cosmological Electrodynamics", Oxford University Press. Dr. Wheeler thought some method of using one or both of these men might be devised through a "cut out", assuming the problem continued for an appreciable length of time and scientific attack was warranted.

Distribution:
Orig - Subject file
1 - Daily reader file
1 - Chrono file

F. C. DURANT

6 January 1953
MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Central Intelligence

THROUGH: Deputy Director (Intelligence)

FROM: Assistant Director, Scientific Intelligence

SUBJECT: Consultants for Advisory Panel on Unidentified Flying Objects

1. PROBLEM

To obtain the services of Dr. Luis W. Alvarez and Dr. Thornton Page as ad hoc consultants to the O/ST Advisory Panel on "Unidentified Flying Objects", scheduled to convene 14 January 1953.

2. ASSUMPTIONS

It is assumed that Dr. Alvarez and Dr. Page will accept employment as consultants.

3. FACTS BEARING ON THE PROBLEM

a. Item 3 of the Minutes of IAC Meeting, 4 December 1952, states that: "The (agency) will enlist the services of selected scientists to review and appraise the available evidence (of "unidentified flying objects")...."

b. Dr. Luis W. Alvarez is an outstanding scientist in the fields of radar operation, characteristics and anomalies.

c. Dr. Thornton U. Page is a particularly competent astronomer and astrophysicist. Moreover, he has given considerable thought to the subject of "unidentified flying objects".

4. DISCUSSION

a. Every effort has been made to consider the most competent scientists whose dispositions are suitable to this complex study. It is believed that the above men would be eminently satisfactory for the task.

b. A check of the Consultants' Registry disclosed that consultants presently employed by CIA either did not have the proper qualifications or were currently unavailable.
c. At this time it is desired to utilize the services of these men in this advisory panel only rather than process them as regular CIA consultants.

d. The rate of compensation is expected to be $50.00 per day for each consultant.

e. Approval of use of these men on matters through SECRET has been obtained from FBI.

5. CONCLUSION

It is concluded that Dr. Alvarez and Dr. Page are particularly well suited to serve on the O/ST Advisory Panel on "Unidentified Flying Objects".

6. ACTION RECOMMENDED

That permission be granted to approach Dr. Luis W. Alvarez and Dr. Thornton W. Page with regard to service as ad hoc consultants on the subject of "unidentified flying objects" and to initiate the necessary personal action.

[Signature]
Deputy Director (Intelligence)

[Signature]
Date

APPROVAL RECOMMENDED:

[Signature]
Deputy Director (Intelligence)

ACTION BY APPROVING AUTHORITY:

Approved (disapproved). Subject to security clearance.

[Signature]
Director of Deputy Director

Date

[Handwritten notes]
Ft. No. 9,000 feet

1. 25 horse power

Cable:

1. 1,050.00 for 10,000 feet. 1,050.00 for 15,000 feet. Additional travel of 1,050.00. Total cost 6,225.00.

2. 5,100.00 for three months' work on the 15,000 feet line. 5,100.00

3. 654,000.00

Total cost 6,879.00.

Copyed from Nearly Illegible Original.
January 12, 1953

Mr. Frederick G. Durant
3420 Mount Vernon Memorial Boulevard
Alexandria, Virginia

Dear Mr. Durant:

Many thanks for the loan of this interesting article. I honestly believe that Hannes Alfven, author of that book on cosmoical electrodynamics published by Oxford Press, a man whom I know and greatly respect, could do twice as much on the theory of many of the relevant phenomena as anyone else I could name. If this problem is as important as I think it is, I really believe it would be inexcusable not to find some mechanism to secure a large fraction of Alfven's time and interest.

I send best regards to you and Bob Robertson.

Sincerely yours,

John A. Wheeler

Enc.
REPORT OF THE SCIENTIFIC PANEL ON UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS
27 January 1953

1. The undersigned Panel of Scientific Consultants has met at the request of the Government to evaluate any possible threat to national security posed by Unidentified Flying Objects ("Flying Saucers"), and to make recommendations. The Panel has reviewed the evidence as presented by cognizant Governmental agencies, primarily the United States Air Force, and has reviewed a selection of the best documented incidents.

2. As a result of its considerations, the Panel concludes:

That the evidence presented on Unidentified Flying Objects shows no indication that these phenomena constitute a direct physical threat to national security.

We firmly believe that there is no residuum of cases which indicates phenomena which are attributable to foreign artifacts capable of hostile acts, and that there is no evidence that the phenomena indicate a need for the revision of current scientific concepts.

3. In the light of this conclusion, the Panel recommends:

That the national security agencies take immediate steps to strip the Unidentified Flying Objects of the special status they have been given and the aura of mystery they have unfortunately acquired.

We suggest that this aim may be achieved by an integrated program designed to reassure the public of the total lack of evidence of extraneous forces behind the phenomena.

/s/ Lloyd V. Berkner
Associated Universities, Inc.

/s/ R.P. Robertson, Chairman
California Institute of Technology

/s/ S. A. Goudsmit
Brookhaven National Laboratories

/s/ Luis W. Alvarez
University of California

/s/ Thurston Page
John Hopkins University
VIA AIR MAIL

Dr. E. P. Robertson
Norman Bridge Laboratory of Physics
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena 4, California

Dear Bob:

Many thanks for your letter of 20 January. A copy of the Panel report, lists of personnel concerned and evidence received are being forwarded to you via another channel.

The report is on its way up the ladder with our concurrence and conclusions and recommendations. I do not believe that a ramp Panel meeting will be indicated next week. However, we shall hope to see you Friday afternoon, 6 February, to bring you up to date on official action. Fred's memo has been delayed in completion but will be available at that time. Action is being taken on the requests in your telegram of 22 January.

And so, until next week.

Cordially yours,

Distribution:  

Sign: [Signature]

OSI: FCD/TV (28Jan53)
20 Jan 53

Dear Chad:

Und asseool! Perhaps that'll take care of the Forteans for a while. I'm glad that the members of the panel, with the possible exception of Thornton, were not handpicked by me—I really had no idea of the opinions of the others. Saw Gen. Sanford for a moment in the P-gon on Monday, and he seemed not too unhappy.

Expect Fred's draft—better have him send it to me at

Norman Bridge Laboratory of Physics
California Institute of Technology
Pasadena 4, California.

where I have facilities for taking care of such things. I should like him to send with it, for my retention, a copy of our Panel report.

Schedule: NSA group meeting on Thurs. 5 Feb. Could meet with pump Panel if needed on afternoon of Fri 6 Feb, or Sat, 7 Feb (which would suit Sam Goudsmit and some of the others better). Let me know what you'd like.

Memo for Mary! Greetings and thanks to Peggy for the fine spread—cum spirits she (and you, in a supporting role), put on.

Cordially

[Signature]

H. P. ROSEN}
2. After consideration of the subject of unidentified flying objects and after a full review by the Intelligence Agency, the following action was agreed to:

The Director of Central Intelligence will:

a. Select the services of selected scientists to inspect as a matter of the utmost importance, the evidentiary material available for their study and consideration.

Following the completion of this action, the Assistant Director for Intelligence Operations, in consultation with the Air Technical Intelligence Center, will furnish selected scientists with evidentiary material available for their study and consideration.

b. The Advisory Board met initially at Army Headquarters.

During each meeting, it will be held on a weekly basis through each meeting of the Board, the fruits of the Board's evaluation will be supplied to the Board and transmitted to the Joint Chiefs of Staff. The Board's evaluation will be transmitted to the Joint Chiefs of Staff.

3. In summary, the Advisory Board concluded:

a. That the reports reviewed were generally subject to official control in an effort to maintain national security. That evidence was not screened in nature and were not classified based upon official or national security considerations. Other than these factors, there was an abundance of material available for release.
b. That, for purposes to these objects be served, far
enough, in the nature of military elements of contri-
buton by the United States, in diffusely in elec-
tion, in the present years from providing army intelligence, and in the case of representaing the public to
measures, or National Military purposes.

With respect to (b) above, the local board finally that
mounted official reports on repeating of the phenomena increases
their numbers.

4. Based upon these conclusions, the Military board recommended
that appropriate agencies take immediate steps to study the subject
of "military and military officials" of special claims and to institute
policies of intelligence, tactics, and public education designed
a. To remove the doubt of total lack of evidence of
any actual danger from the objects sighted.
b. To train appropriate personnel so that they will avoid
miscalculations.
c. To establish regular channels for the evaluation of
and prompt reaction to such claims.

5. This office concurs with the conclusions and recommendations
of the Military board. Accordingly, the following recommendations
are submitted

a. That the report of the Military board be submitted to
the Intelligence Division for information
and appropriate action. (Tab 6)
b. That the ID forward the report to the Secretary of
Defense for distribution to the following National Commanders
and the Army, naval, national security agencies
and, wherever their attention to pertinent conclusions
and recommendations. (Tabs 1, 5, and 6)

7. In the / presentation of the ID, it was noted also
that no further action be taken in the matter as there exists a

in the written report of the board that this results do
not as usual. Furthermore, all apparent dangers referred to this
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### Scientific Advisory Panel on Unidentified Flying Objects

**24 – 27 January 1953**

#### Members

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Field of Concern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr. H. P. Robertson</td>
<td>California Institute of Technology</td>
<td>Physics, weapons systems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Luis W. Alvarez</td>
<td>University of California</td>
<td>Physics, radar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Lloyd V. Berkner</td>
<td>Associated Universities, Inc.</td>
<td>Geophysics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Samuel Goudsmit</td>
<td>Brookhaven National Laboratories</td>
<td>Atomic structure, statistical problems</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Thornton Page</td>
<td>Office of Research Operations, Johns Hopkins University</td>
<td>Astronomy, Astrophysics</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Associate Members

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Dr. J. Allen Hynek</td>
<td>Ohio State University</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Frederick G. Durant</td>
<td>Arthur D. Little, Inc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Honorary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Field of Concern</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brig. Gen. William M. Garland</td>
<td>Commanding General, ATIC</td>
<td>Scientific and technical intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. H. Marshall Chadwell</td>
<td>Assistant Director, O/CSI, CIA</td>
<td>Scientific and technical intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Ralph L. Clark</td>
<td>Deputy Assistant Director, O/CSI, CIA</td>
<td>Scientific and technical intelligence</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Note:** The document appears to be a page from a report or a letter discussing the Scientific Advisory Panel on Unidentified Flying Objects, including the names and fields of expertise of members and associated members. The page also notes the role of Dr. H. Marshall Chadwell and Mr. Ralph L. Clark as Associate Directors of O/CSI, CIA.
**INTEGRANTS (cont.)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organization</th>
<th>Field of Competency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chief, Operations Staff, O/SI, CIA</strong></td>
<td>Scientific and technical intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Acting Chief, Special Study Group, D/I USAF</strong></td>
<td>Scientific and technical intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chief, Aerial Phenomenon Branch, ATIC, USAF</strong></td>
<td>Scientific and technical intelligence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>The Ethyl Corporation</strong></td>
<td>Aero Eng.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>USN Photo Interpretation Laboratory, Anacostia</strong></td>
<td>Photo Interpretation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>USN Photo Interpretation Laboratory, Anacostia</strong></td>
<td>Photo Interpretation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Mr. Philip G. Strong**

**Mr. Stephen T. Fensome**

**Capt. Edward J. Rappelt, USAF**

**Mr. J. Duane Farnum, Jr.**

**Lt. R. S. Henschel, USN**

**Mr. Kerry Woe.**
EVIDENCE PRESENTED

1. Seventy-five case histories of sightings 1951 - 1952 (selected by ATIC as those best documented).

2. ATIC Status and Progress Reports of Project GRUDGE and Project BLUE BOOK (code names for ATIC study of subject).

3. Progress Reports of Project STORCH (code name for Battelle Memorial Institute contract work supporting ATIC).


7. Motion Picture Films of sightings at Tremonton, Utah, 2 July 1952 and Great Falls, Montana, August 1950.

8. Summary Report of 89 selected cases of sightings of various categories (Formations, Blinking Lights, Hovering, etc.).


11. Chart Showing Balloon Launching Sites in the United States.


15. Kodachrome Transparencies of Polyethylene Film Balloons in Bright Sunlight Showing High Reflectivity.
16. Motion picture of seagulls in bright sunlight showing high reflectivity.
19. Sample Polyethylene "Fller" Balloon (54 inches square).
21. Miscellaneous official letters and foreign intelligence reports dealing with subject.
22. Copies of popular published works dealing with subject (articles in periodicals, newspaper clippings and books).
REPORT OF THE SCIENTIFIC PANEL ON UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS

1. Pursuant to the request of the Assistant Director for Scientific Intelligence, the undersigned Panel of Scientific Consultants has had to evaluate any possible threat to national security posed by Unidentified Flying Objects ("Flying Saucers"), and to make recommendations thereon. The Panel has received the evidence as presented by cognizant intelligence agencies, primarily the Air Technical Intelligence Center, and has reviewed a selection of the best documented incidents.

2. As a result of its considerations, the Panel concludes:
   a. That the evidence presented on Unidentified Flying Objects shows no indication that these phenomena constitute a direct physical threat to national security.

We firmly believe that there is no residuum of cases which indicates phenomena which are attributable to foreign artifacts capable of hostile acts, and that there is no evidence that the phenomena indicate a need for the revision of current scientific concepts.

3. The Panel further concludes:
   a. That the continued emphasis on the reporting of these phenomena does, in these purblind times, result in a threat to the orderly functioning of the protective organs of the body politic.

We cite as examples the clogging of channels of communication by irrelevant reports, the danger of being led by continued false alarms to ignore real
indications of hostile action, and the cultivation of a sound national psychology in which skilled hostile propaganda would induce hysterical behavior and harmful distrust of duly constituted authority.

4. In order most effectively to strengthen the national facilities for the timely recognition and the appropriate handling of true indications of hostile action, and to minimize the non-existent dangers alluded to above, the Panel recommends:

a. That the national security agencies take immediate steps to study the Unidentified Flying Objects of the special status they have been given and the aura of mystery they have unfortunately acquired;

b. That the national security agencies institute policies on intelligence, training, and public education designed to prepare the national defenses and the morale of the country to recognize most promptly and to react most effectively to true indications of hostile intent or action.

We suggest that these aims may be achieved by an integrated program designed to reassure the public of the total lack of evidence of internal forces behind the phenomenon, to train personnel to recognize and reject false indications quickly and effectively, and to strengthen regular channels for the evaluation of and prompt reaction to true indications of hostile measures.

[Signature]
Lloyd V. Berkey
Associated Universities, Inc.

[Signature]
H. P. Robertson
Chairman
California Institute of Technology

[Signature]
S. A. Swintz
Brookhaven National Laboratories

[Signature]
Luis W. Alvarez
University of California

[Signature]
Thornton Foss
City College University
1. Transmitted herewith for your information and appropriate action a copy of the report of the scientific panel submitted as a result of the action taken by the I.T. and Science (E.D.-49), paragraph 3 of on this subject. Attention to the report are a list of personnel participating and a summary of evidence presented.

2. It is proposed to consider this report at an early meeting of the I.T. and, if deemed at that time appropriate, to transmit it to the government of the United Nations for the consideration of the Committee on the United Nations Security Council, for their information and such action as they may deem necessary.

3. The results of the panel's studies have noted GII to conclude that no II on this project is warranted.

(Handwritten note) B-3

Attachment:
1. List of persons
2. List of personnel
3. Summary of evidence presented
The Honorable
The Secretary of Defense
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Secretary:

I am forwarding you herewith a copy of a report prepared by a
panel of scientists on the subject of "unidentified flying objects."
This panel was convened at the direction of General Smith (by direction)
following the recommendation of the Intelligence Advisory Committee.

It seems to us that the conclusions and recommendations may be of interest to you, in that they point out certain potential dangers to national security which are related to the subject but subject only
of their elimination.

Although this agency does not consider problems arising from
sighting of "flying saucers" particularly its security, we shall be
pleased to assist in any appropriate action that you deem advisable.

I am also making a copy of this report to the Administrator,

FMA and to the Chairman, UN.

Sincerely,

[Signature]

Director

[Illegible]
DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE

SECRET

Re: Assistant Director, Scientific Intelligence

Subject: Unidentified Flying Objects

1. INTRODUCTION

To disseminate findings of the Scientific Advisory Panel on "unidentified flying objects".

2. DISCUSSION

This panel was convened by the Assistant Director, Scientific Intelligence following a request of such action by the Intelligence Advisory Committee at their meeting on 1 December 1972. Testimony was held 16-27 January. A list of personnel examined and of documentary evidence presented are attached as Tab 4 and 5, respectively. This office ensures the conclusions and recommendations contained in the final report (Tab 6, marked in Tab B).

In the absence of appropriate transmittal copies of the final report to the Secretary of Defense, the Director, Federal Civil Defense Administration, and the Chairman, National Security Resources Board after such intention has been made known to the Intelligence Advisory Committee. Letters of transmittal have been prepared and are being held in the Office of Scientific Intelligence until Intelligence Advisory Committee reaction to such dissemination has been obtained. In view of the findings of the panel, no further consideration of a National Security Council Intelligence Directive on the subject appears warranted. However, this Office will continue to watch reports of sightings as long as significant threats to national security persist. Should spectacular developments occur, it would be possible to reconstitute the panel to appraise new evidence.

3. ACTION RECOMMENDED

That a memorandum be submitted to the members of the Intelligence Advisory Committee:

a. Transmitting the Final Report,

b. Slipping the intention to transmit copies of the Final Report to the Secretary of Defense, the Director, Federal Civil Defense Administration, and the Chairman, National Security Resources Board.

c. Stating that CIA considers that no National Security Council Intelligence Directive on this subject is warranted. (Tab B).

[Signature]

[Signature]

[Signature]
Dear Mr. quadrate,

Enclosed herewith for your consideration and appropriate action is a copy of a report prepared by a panel of scientists on the subject of "unidentified flying objects." This panel of consultants was assembled by the Director of Central Intelligence following a recommendation by the Intelligence Advisory Committee on 4 December 1952.

Your attention is invited to conclusions and recommendations which point out certain potential dangers to national security which are related to the subject and suggest ways of their elimination. Although this report does not consider problems arising from sightings of flying objects primarily its concern, we shall be pleased to include in any appropriate action that you deem advisable.

I am also sending copies of this report to the Secretary of Defense and to the Chairman, National Security Resources Board.

Sincerely,

Director

Enclosure
April 21, 1953

ITEM FOR DIRECTOR'S LOG

The Administrator of the Federal Civil Defense Administra-
tion has written the Secretary of the IAC, under date of
18 April, respecting the report of a panel of scientific
consultants on the subject of unidentified flying objects.
This report was furnished FCDA under letter of 13 March pur-
suant to IAC-D-67 (18 February). Administrator Peterson
reports his interest in the subject and suggests that a con-
ference be arranged for a full discussion on a mutually satis-
factory date.

The correspondence has been referred to OSI, the Office
initiating the original report. OSI will work-out-arrange-
ments with FCDA.

Richard D. Dram
Secretary
Intelligence Advisory Committee
MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: FCDA Meeting

1. This morning, I called Ted Babbit about the meeting proposed in the Val Peterson letter. We agreed that he would explore with Mr. Peterson's office as to whether this meeting could be set for April 30th. I told him that Mr. Clark would represent OSI, and would be accompanied by Dr. H. F. Robertson, who served as Chairman of the Panel.

2. I suggested that, if Governor Peterson himself was to be present at the meeting it should be held in his office, but that if he designated somebody it might be more appropriate to have it here in OSI. Mr. Babbit will try to give me an answer on this today.

PHILIP G. STRONG
Acting Deputy Assistant Director
Scientific Intelligence

Distribution:
Ops/Sl - 1
AD/SI - 2
Exec/SI - 1
OSI (POS) mtw (23 April 1953)
24 April 1953

MEMORANDUM FOR RECORD

SUBJECT: Further to FCDA Meeting

1. Ted Babbitt telephoned this morning that any time on 30 April will be satisfactory for the FCDA meeting. We should call him back suggesting an exact time for them to come here.

2. Governor Peterson will not attend, as he has to be at the White House. His designations are:
   
   John deChant - Director, Office of Public Affairs
   Ralph E. Spear - Assistant Administrator for Plans and Policy
   Ted Babbitt
   and possibly one other, whose name [Babbitt] will phone to [D'Arcy Brent].

PHILIP G. STRONG
Acting Deputy Assistant Director
Scientific Intelligence

Distribution:
Ops/SI - 1
AD/SI - 2
Exec/SI - 1
GSI/FCS/mw (24 April 1953)

[Signatures]
Office Memorandum - UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO: Assistant Director, Scientific Intelligence
FROM: Chief, Operations Staff, O/SI

SUBJECT: Report on Book Entitled "Flying Saucers from Outer Space"

1. As requested, the subject book was reviewed by one of our consultants with particular reference to possible security violations concerning CIA's part in the flying saucer investigation. Pertinent comments of this consultant are as follows:

   a. "The book itself is highly readable, but the content is highly distorted and filled with so many half-truths and inferences that I feel certain that the author is knowingly committing a perversion. Prominent in the book is one Albert H. Chop, an Air Force (Reserve) Public Information Officer who was on duty at the Pentagon until recently returning to inactive duty. The author, Keyhoe, makes extensive use of Chop's statements and implies that the Air Force is deliberately concealing positive conclusions from the public.

   b. "CIA is mentioned several times on page 242 of the book -- nowhere else. Keyhoe states here that a friend of his, with high level 'contacts', told him about February 17, 1953, that:

      (1) CIA 'people' advised the Air Force to put out a report debunking the saucers, tell the public the project was ended and then carry it on underground, Top Secret.

      (2) Some of the 'Intelligence boys' were mad as the devil at CIA for even suggesting the above action.

   c. "CIA is supposed to have made these recommendations following a 'secret high level briefing'. There is no apparent knowledge of the CIA panel meetings although the Panel's recommendations might have been interpreted by a fanatical saucer 'believer' as 'debunking'. However, there was certainly no recommendation that suggested hiding any information from the public.
d. Therefore, Keyhoe, having built up a 'case' for saucers being interplanetary, insists that the Air Force (and CIA) know the 'truth' and are refusing to give the public the facts.

e. "I saw Dr. Stefan T. Possony (Chief, USAF FON Special Study Group) recently. He was not aware of any particular concern in the Pentagon over Keyhoe's assertions. However, it might be wise to check directly with the officer who replaced Major Dewey Fournet in Current Intelligence. I believe his name is Smith. Part of his duties are to follow all reports of sightings of U.F.O.'s."

f. "As to the possibility of a security breach, it is difficult to say. I suspect that Chop heard of CIA's being briefed but that no leak occurred regarding the O/SI Panel."

2. It is believed that no security breach is involved and any investigation of this book would only serve to focus additional attention on an obvious bit of sensational science "fiction". It is recommended, therefore, that no further action regarding the book be initiated by this office.

P. O. STRONG

Distribution:
Orig & 1 - Forward
1 - Exec
1 - P&E
1 - ASD
1 - Subject
1 - Chrono
1 - Daily Reading
Office of General Counsel
Assistant Director/Scientific Intelligence
Draft Reply to Senator Johnson's letter

Attached, in accordance with your request, is a suggested draft reply to Senator Johnson's letter of 19 April 1954.

Attached: Draft

SI/GS:ms (4 May 54)
Distribution:
1 & 1 Pmd
2 CAD/SI
1 PEB/SI
1 Opr/SI
Dear Senator Johnson:

In response to your letter of 19 April 1954, we have reviewed the problem posed by your constituent, Mr. P. D. Barton. Of course, you realize that Intelligence cannot state explicitly what it does or does not know. To reassure you, however, and not for passage further, we are not alarmed by the many reports on "sightings" of flying causers. The information available to us indicates that the largest percentage of all reported sightings have been explained satisfactorily as normal, natural phenomena. In the remaining percentage of sightings, which is very small, the information reported is so lacking in scientific detail as to make their credibility very low.

In light of the above, we suggest that you reply to your constituent without direct reference to this Agency, along the following lines:

"I am very glad to be able to reply to your letter of 12 April 1954 inquiring about flying causers.

After inquiring in the appropriate quarters in government, I find that much of the books and articles in the public press give incomplete and sometimes non-factual information. I have been assured, furthermore, that we should not become alarmed by such information.

I have been told that a number of books on this subject do indicate that the U.S. Air Force covers the subject of flying causers, and I suggest that you write USAF if you have further questions."

We hope that this information will be of use to you.
Office Memorandum - UNITED STATES GOVERNMENT

TO: Chief, Physics and Electronics Division/OSI
FROM: Assistant Director, Scientific Intelligence

DATE: MAY 27 1953
SUBJECT: Unidentified Flying Objects

1. Responsibility for maintaining current knowledge of reports of sightings of unidentified flying objects is hereby assigned to your division. By carbon copy of this memorandum, Chief of the Applied Science Division is requested to provide support from a weapons and hardware standpoint.

2. Major files on U. F. O.'s are located in Air Branch, Applied Science Division. A file of key documents and administrative papers are on file in the Operations Staff office. Mr. Jackson Hecker of IPS is presently the custodian of certain files on this subject which were transferred to IPS from O/CI. It is suggested that Physics and Electronics Division take over custody of such of these files as are necessary for it to fulfill the above responsibility. The Division should also arrange through Hecker so that material on this subject being forwarded from O/CI will be routed directly to Physics and Electronics Division.

H. MARSHALL CHADWELL

Distribution:
Orig and 1 - Address
1 - Repts/SI
1 - IPS/SI
1 - ASD/SI
2 - AD/SI
1 - Subject file
1 - Daily reading file
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UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA

(20 May 1953)
TO: Assistant Director, SI  
FROM: Chief, Physics & Electronics Division, SI  
DATE: 3 July 1953  
SUBJECT: Unidentified Flying Objects

1. Reference is made to your memorandum of 27 May 1953, subject as above, which assigned to this Division the responsibility "for maintaining current knowledge of reports of sightings of unidentified flying objects."

2. Since the referenced memorandum did not stipulate the priority of this project versus the normal responsibilities of this Division, nor indicated the depth or scope of required knowledge, the Division has reviewed the status of the project, its present method of handling and its possible timeliness or relationship to the current productive intelligence activities of the Division. The review involved informal discussions with ASD personnel, with F. Heckert, CIA, with USAF personnel and with members of the Scientific Review Board called by CIA last year.

3. The review indicated that a close follow-up of the project including a careful analysis of all incoming information and the corresponding liaison with the sources would require continuous efforts of two analysts, a file clerk and a considerable typing load.

4. In view of the findings of the Board that a close inspection of the available material does not postulate a serious, direct threat to national security, and that no information has been obtained since the Board's conclusion to necessitate their modifications, it was concluded that:

a. the project will be considered as inactive

b. the incoming material will be reviewed periodically to segregate references to recognizable and explainable phenomena from those which come under the definition of "unidentified flying objects"

c. all material on unidentified objects will be deposited in the files for future reference unless it raises an immediately recognizable problem of concern to national security.

5. With the above premise it is planned to handle the project with a part-time use of an analyst and a file clerk. To provide filing facilities, one additional filing cabinet will be requested.

[Signatures]

S. [Name]

Assistant Director

[Signature]

TODD M. CHAREIKO

Chief, Physics & Electronics Division

[Handwritten notes]
Operations Staff, 6/11
Chief, Operations Staff, 6/11

F.I.E.S. SPECIAL

In view of the fact that overall substantive responsibility on the above subject has been assigned to the Physics and Electronics Division and action responsibility within that Division assigned to F.I.E.S. Operations Staff, all further correspondence on this matter concerning F.I.E.S. and F.I.E.S. with Colonel Consul in his absence, with Colonel Koenig.

Distribution:

- Circulation to Staff
- Koenig, Chief, P.I.E.S.
- G. B. Strong
- Colonels
- Daily Ordering

F. O. STRONG
Unusual UF0B Report

1. The attached copy of a cable is a preliminary report from Pepperrell Air Force Base, Newfoundland reporting on what appears to be an unusual "unidentified flying object" sighting.

2. Essentially, the "object" was apparently simultaneously observed by a tanker aircraft (KC 97) pilot (visually) and by a ground radar (type unknown) site (electronically). While such dual (visual and electronic) sightings of UF0Bs are reported from time to time, this particular report is somewhat unique in that:

a. the "pilot of Archie 29 maintained visual contacts with object calling direction changes of object to (radar) site by radio. Direction changes correlated exactly with those painted on scope by controller."

b. In previous cases the dual (visual and electronic) sightings are mostly of a few minutes duration at most. This one was observed by radar, at least, for 49 minutes.

3. It is reasonable to believe that more information will be available on this when complete report (AF Form 112) is issued.

Attachment.

cc: ASD/SI
GD/SI
NS/SI
TO: Acting Assistant Director for Scientific Intelligence
FROM: Chief, Physics and Electronics Division, SI
SUBJECT: Responsibility for "Unidentified Flying Objects" (UFOBs)

REFERENCES:
A. Memo to Ch/P&E from AD/Sl, 27 May 53, "Unidentified Flying Objects"
B. Memo to AD/Sl from Ch/P&E, 3 July 53, "Unidentified Flying Objects"
C. Memo for the Record signed by Ch/ASD, Ch/Ops, and Ch/P&E, 14 June 54, "Intelligence Responsibilities for Non-Conventional Types of Air Vehicles"

1. By reference A, this Division was assigned "responsibility for maintaining current knowledge of sightings of unidentified flying objects."

2. By reference B, which received the concurrence of your office, this Division proposed to handle its responsibilities as follows:

   "a. the project will be considered as inactive
   b. the incoming material will be reviewed periodically to segregate references to recognizable and explainable phenomena from those which come under the definition of 'unidentified flying objects'
   c. all material on unidentified objects will be deposited in the files for future reference unless it raises an immediately recognizable problem of concern to national security."

3. Reference C clarified but did not reduce the responsibility of this Division on the subject project.

4. Since the issuance of Reference A, the responsibility delineated in par. 2b, above, has required between 10 and 25 analyst hours per month and the responsibilities under par. 2c, above, about half that much clerical time.
5. It is requested that this Division be relieved of the responsibilities assigned to it under Reference A since:
   a. No intelligence of concern to national security has been developed from the project.
   b. The project as defined by Reference C does not fall under the provision of DCID 4/4 on Critical National Intelligence Objectives.
   c. P&E's successful participation in the FY-1956 CSI Production Program requires that all non-essential activities be terminated.

6. In view of the fact that no positive intelligence of significance has been produced under the subject project, it is recommended that the project be terminated and the files thereof be placed in dead storage.

[Signature]
TODOS M. SABAREIKO
Mr. Allen W. Dulles
Director of Central Intelligence Agency
2130 "E" Street, N.W.
Washington, D.C.

Dear Mr. Dulles:

Attached is a letter I received from Thoma E. Elickhoii, with reference to flying saucers. A few weeks ago he called at my office and discussed the subject matter referred to in his letter. I suggested that he reduce his complaint to writing, and the attached letter resulted.

It will be greatly appreciated if you will advise as to what I can give Mr. Elickhoff a satisfactory reply.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]

Gordon B. Grahm

Enclosure
September 1, 1955.

Congressman Gordon Scherer,
Carew Tower,
Cincinnati 2, Ohio.

Dear Sir:

This letter is in compliance with a suggestion you gave me during a private interview with you in your office the latter part of last week.

You will recall that pursuant to our discussion, which involved the arbitrary subject known as Flying Saucers, Ufos, etc., that you suggested that I set forth in a letter to you those pertinent questions which I wished answered by the O.I.A. You instructed me that if I did such you would forward the correspondence to the O.I.A. for answers pertaining to my questions.

One of the chief complaints you will recall, was in regards to a new book written by George Adamski, entitled J-FLIES THE SPACE SHIPS and published by Abingdon Schuman of New York City. In this book Mr. Adamski states that he can produce two scientists whom classification and security measures are lifted regarding these men, that they will be able to confirm (to the press) the fact that he was definitely inside a space ship from another planet. No further states that the pictures contained in said book are authentic and the authenticity has been attested to by a photographer under the hire of Cecil B. deVolle.

When presenting this book to the man in charge of mail fraud here in the Cincinnati Division of the U.S. Postoffice, he informed me that this matter would have to be cleared by the F.B.I. or others in lieu of security measures, before he would be able to check. Since I believe I have a Constitutional Right to check the authenticity of subject matter received thru the United States Mail Service and in lieu of the fact that since I contacted the F.B.I. and the O.I.A., all of the Postoffice Building and received no cooperation from those official sources in regards to substantiating whether this book was a security risk or not, and therefore, unable to get the postal department to act on this, I consider it necessary as a Member of Congress to do so to protect the public.
1st - Is the subject of space ships from another planet regarded as of the past and present moment, a security matter?

2nd - Is the C.I.A. aware of Mr. George Adamski and the book which he has written, titled INSIDE THE SPACE SHIPS?

3rd - Does the C.I.A. refuse me the right to check this publication as to possible fraud by use of the U.S. Mail by refusing to state whether this book is security matters or not?

4th - Will the C.I.A. give me an official statement as regards the authenticity of the pictures contained in this publication?

5th - Will the C.I.A. assist me in asking Mr. Adamski to produce the two scientists who he mentions in the first part of his book, who will confirm the authenticity of his physical contact with a space ship from another planet?

6th - Has the C.I.A. checked the authenticity of Mr. Adamski's publication, INSIDE THE SPACE SHIPS?

To the first five questions I would appreciate a direct "yes" or "no" answer. As regards the sixth question, I would also appreciate as the first part of the answer, a "yes" or "no"; as the second part I would appreciate clarification of said answer.

As I informed you, Congressman Scharf, unless this matter was cleared immediately, I am prepared to use legal means to ascertain my right in this matter, even in the Federal Courts, if it is necessary. Unless I have an answer from your office within one month from the time that you receive this correspondence, I will understand that the only recourse available to me will be action in the direction named immediately above.

Thank you for your very kind attention and courtesy to me while in your office.

Sincerely yours,

[Handwritten address: Mr. Tom's Beauty Salon, 16 E. 6th St., Massena, Mass., Cincinnati 2, Ohio]
23 January 1955

SIGHTING OF UNCONVENTIONAL FLYING OBJECT
NEAR [REDacted] U.S.S.R. ON 4 OCTOBER 1955

1. Attached is a tabulation of the statements made by each member of the party relative to the unidentified flying object sighted by them on 4 October 1955 while on the train from [REDacted] to [REDacted].

2. The tabulation has been held for some time awaiting answers to questions by Source 3 which have just been received and incorporated in the chart.

3. From observation of the chart it is apparent that due to darkness and the short duration of the sighting, the observers were unable to distinguish sufficient details to properly describe the object.
4. We conclude, therefore, that it is highly improbable that a Soviet operation is responsible for the UFO reports of 20 September.

5. Considering the facts that the ADC investigation is incomplete, and that weather phenomena are increasingly likely explanations of the original reports, we recommend that no IAC meeting be called on this subject at this time.

Copies to IAC Agencies as follows:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Copies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AEC</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CNL</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBI</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JIG</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARMY</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIR FORCE</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STATE</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIA</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Honorable Gordon H. Scherer  
House of Representatives  
Washington 25, D. C.  

Dear Mr. Scherer:  

Thank you for your letter of 13 September 1955 with which you enclosed a letter to you from Thomas H. Eckhoff.  

The questions which Mr. Eckhoff has raised in his letter to you are largely outside of the jurisdiction of this Agency. Section 102(d) of the National Security Act of 1947 provides that CIA shall have no police, subpoena, law-enforcement powers, or internal-security functions. Insofar as Mr. Eckhoff appears interested in pursuing the problem of mail fraud in connection with George Adamski's book entitled 'Inside The Space Ships', it would appear to be a problem of law-enforcement, from which we are specifically barred by statute.  

CIA, as a matter of policy, does not comment on the truth or falsity of material contained in books or other published statements, and therefore it is not in a position to comment on Mr. Adamski's book or the authenticity of the pictures it contains.  

The subject matter of Mr. Adamski's book would appear to be more in the jurisdiction of the Department of Defense and the National Science Foundation, and it may be that you would wish to refer some of these questions to them for consideration.  

Mr. Eckhoff's letter is returned herewith for your files.  

With kind regards,  

Sincerely,  

[Signature]  

[Date]  

[OCC/WLP/bc (20 Sept. 55)]  
Orig. - [Add.  
2 - Signer  
2 - Legislative Counsel w/basic  
1 - CSI w/cy of basic]  

Enclosure  

[Signature]  

[Director]
Note: There is one other aspect of this whole situation which we need an OS1 jet, but we do not think it is of CIA interest because it is of interest to national security. That is:

- The mass and flying speed of a sub-satellite or
- Product mass hypersonic
- Gain over existing radar wave-packet system
- Overload communication facilities.
Chief, Liaison Division, ACT

Chief, Applied Science Division, NSI

Tabulation on Unconventional Flying Object Sighted Near Zaku

1. Attached are two copies of our summary and tabulation of subject sightings. For our recent telephone conversation the attachments are for your transmission to the intelligence components of the departments of the Air Force and Army.

2. For your information a third copy has been sent to Black Hyrel.

Attachment:
(as stated)

Distribution:
Orig and 1 - forward
2 - ASD
1 - ASD
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1st hours out of plane</th>
<th>1910</th>
<th>1910</th>
<th>1910</th>
<th>1910</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PHENOMENA</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>After Dark</strong></td>
<td>Lights were on in train; not yet completely dark; sky was clear.</td>
<td>Dark but clear</td>
<td>Enough daylight to see a form</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OBSERVATION 07</strong></td>
<td>6 - 7 seconds</td>
<td>90 seconds, about 5 seconds on ground</td>
<td>very short</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OBSERVATION 08</strong></td>
<td>1½ hours by rail 10 minutes by rail from ADJUT</td>
<td>50 - 60 miles south of Bama</td>
<td>10 minutes by rail from ADJUT</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OBSERVATION</strong></td>
<td>South of rail</td>
<td>South of rail line</td>
<td>Left of rail line</td>
<td>South of rail line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IN RAIL LINE</strong></td>
<td>Not possible to estimate distance</td>
<td>Not possible to estimate distance</td>
<td>6 miles</td>
<td>1 - 2 miles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OBSERVATION</strong></td>
<td>Searchlight</td>
<td>Searchlight, horizon</td>
<td>Large airfield, steel tower, hangars, several searchlights; Condemn Sea still visible</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IN STRANGE</strong></td>
<td>Ball</td>
<td>Round or square, may have had stub wings</td>
<td>Triangular with point to rear</td>
<td>Round like a partially inflated balloon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>OBSERVATION</strong></td>
<td>May have been small as rocket</td>
<td>1/3 size of searchlight visible to the left</td>
<td>about the size of US jet fighter</td>
<td>about the size of US jet fighter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>23 Oct</strong></td>
<td>Rotated slowly as it rose</td>
<td>Rotation not seen</td>
<td>Made three to seven spirals</td>
<td>Rotated slowly</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>23 Oct</strong></td>
<td>2 stationary lights like eyes</td>
<td>2 lights on top, one on each point of the triangle; 11 lights were red and green</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>24 Oct</strong></td>
<td>Not seen</td>
<td>Not seen</td>
<td>Launched like a missile</td>
<td>Not seen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>Location</td>
<td>Duration</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10 min</td>
<td>10 minutes after object disappeared</td>
<td>5 - 10 minutes after object disappeared</td>
<td>while still watching the object</td>
<td>after sighting object</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noted</td>
<td>No impressions of change</td>
<td>No Change</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table:**

- **Light:** Not unusually high, climbed fast, faster than a jet
- **Color:** Greenish-yellow, dark object, too dark to see color, shadow
- **Height:** High, ascending to 700 feet
- **Note:** Rose
- **Searchlights:** Did not follow the object, followed object all the way, no association of searchlights and object
- **Duration:** 10 minutes after object disappeared, 5 - 10 minutes after object disappeared, while still watching the object, after sighting object
MEMORANDUM FOR DIRECTOR OF INTELLIGENCE, HQS., U.S. AIR FORCE
Attention: AFIOI-18

SUBJECT: Transmittal of Tabulation on Unconventional Flying Object Sighted Near [Redacted]

1. Attached is one (1) copy of a tabulation of the statements made by each member of the party relative to the unidentified flying object sighted by them on 4 October 1955, while on the train from [Redacted] to [Redacted].

2. This tabulation was prepared by the Office of Scientific Intelligence, CIA, and any questions pertaining to it may be directed to Mr. Wilton E. Laxon, Code 143, Extension 683.

FOR THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, CENTRAL REFERENCE:

[Signature]

[Position]

Encl: a/s

Endorsement not attached

Distribution:
Orig & 1 - Add. w/s
1 - ADD/OSI
\[Redacted\]
GP Gallagher/ADD 6 Feb. 56

38665
9 February 1956

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT: Responsibility for "Unidentified Flying Objects"

REFERENCE: 1. AD/SI Memorandum for the Record, 9 January 1956, "Office Responsibilities for Non-Conventional Types of Air Vehicles"

2. Special Report #14, Analysis of Reports of Unidentified Aerial Objects (Project Blue Book) by ATIC, dated 5 May 1955

1. In accordance with Reference 1, this Division has assumed responsibility within OSI for Non-Conventional Types of Air Vehicles.

2. This Division proposes to handle this responsibility in the following manner:

   a. Files will be maintained in ASD on incoming raw reports where, in our judgment, the subject matter may provide information bearing on foreign weapons' system research or development.

   b. Those reports which fit under a. above and also could conceivably provide information on foreign fundamental science developments, will be forwarded to Fundamental Sciences Area for review with a request for return to ASD for filing.

   c. Those reports which do not fit a. above and which could conceivably provide information on foreign fundamental science developments will be forwarded to the Fundamental Science Area for retention or destruction.

   d. Reports which fit under none of the above will be destroyed.

   e. A chronological file of all OSI correspondence and action taken in connection with the United States U.F.O. program will be maintained in ASD.

   f. A file of finished intelligence reports published by members of the United States intelligence community on U.F.O. will be maintained in ASD.
SUBJECT: Responsibility for "Unidentified Flying Objects"

3. The procedure stated in 2 a., b., c., and d. will prevent the accumulation of reports which experience and Reference 2 have shown cannot be analyzed in a manner useful to OSI in carrying out its mission.

4. It has been recommended that the raw intelligence and the obsolete finished reports on U.F.O. now filed in Electronics Division be destroyed.

[Signature]
26 March 1956

MEMORANDUM FOR: Assistant Director/Scientific Intelligence

SUBJECT: Flying Saucers

1. At Arden House my attention was called to the 17 March 1956 issue of the French popular magazine, MATCH, which had an article on a particularly challenging "sighting" of a MOC (mysterieux objet celestiel) in the form of a radar photograph confirmed by a visual sighting by the pilot of a scheduled airline.

2. Are we keeping in touch with the Air Force center on these things? Does it concern itself with foreign "sightings" such as the above?

3. I am also informed that in the coming year Mars will reach its closest point to the Earth in a long period. Outlandish as it may seem, I do feel that CSI has the responsibility to keep its finger on this general subject if for no other purpose than to arm the front office with the refutation of the more spectacular published reports.

[Signature]
ROBERT ALBREY, JR.
Deputy Director/Intelligence
1. The article in the French magazine Inter does not give sufficient information to permit classification or identification of the U. F. O. The tone of the article gives the impression that the sighting has been considerably exaggerated in order to produce a more sensational story. In most cases of foreign sightings, it has not been possible to thoroughly interview all the people involved, so in many instances no identification has been possible. In the U. S., during the Blue Book investigation, a concentrated effort was made to track down all information on each reported sighting, and, as a result, the percentage of unknown and insufficient information cases dropped from 23 per cent to 10 per cent.

If a thorough investigation of the U. F. O. reported in Inter were made it would undoubtedly disclose additional data that would permit it to be classified in one of the Blue Book categories, and most likely an identification could be made just as has happened in a number of very similar cases.

2. We do maintain close liaison with the Air Force Technical Intelligence Center on reports of unidentified flying objects. This includes those reports originating in foreign countries as well as the United States.

3. It is true that on 17 September 1956 Mars will be 35.2 million miles from the earth, its nearest position in a long period. Thus, astronomers will have a rare opportunity to study Mars at close range with new electronic equipment now available. Personnel at the Naval Observatory, Washington, attach no important implications to this proximity other than the opportunity for routine scientific study. However, as you suggest, this unusual
SUBJECT: Flying Saucers

event may precipitate a large number of reports on "flying objects" and "little green men" from Mars. This Office will follow the general subject closely, and keep you informed on the more spectacular published reports.

4. A copy of Yatch and a rough translation of the article are attached.

[Signature]

REXON LEVLES, JR.
Assistant Director
Scientific Intelligence

Distribution:
Orig and 1 - forward
2 - AD/SI
2 - ASD/SI
1 - ASD/A

OSI/ASD [Redacted] (13 April 1956)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TO (Office designation, room number, and building)</th>
<th>DATE</th>
<th>OFFICER'S INITIALS</th>
<th>COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EXEC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. I have drafted a general reply which is attached. If it is OK, return to me for signature and mailing. Claro.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/ASD</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXEC</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2/ASD (Retention)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Subject: Report of Unidentified Flying Object

1. During the observation of test 2030 at 0126Z, 8 December 1936, a red flare was sighted high in the eastern sky. This flare was of reddish light with short tails of the same color protruding from each side of the spot to the south.

2. The flare appeared to be at 33,000 to 36,000 feet. Its track, at first sight, (launch plus about one minute), was estimated to be some 10 - 15 miles out to sea from the writer's position three miles north of 1-37 Main Gate, and its course was due north. Speed was estimated to be 750-1000 miles per hour.

3. The missile altitude at about 1 plus 30 seconds appeared to be the same as the altitude of the flare.

4. The missile made a wide turn to the east immediately after the missile "passed" to (missile track appeared to be some 3 to 4 miles to wind shore from the track of the flare), completing the turn about 8 to 10 seconds before burn-out of the missile.

5. Upon completion of the turn, the flare picked up a heading of 90 - 120 degrees and maintained this heading until out of sight of the writer at 7 plus 30 seconds.

6. As far as could be determined, the flare track did not vary in speed or altitude during the entire sighting.

7. In making the turn to the east, the two tails disappeared. Whether this was due to change in attitude with relation to the observer, or due to increased distance from the observer, or due to a change in "polar settings" (etc) is undecided.

8. Weather conditions were ceiling and visibility unlimited.

9. Attention is called to a similar sighting described to the writer by Mr. John J. Taylor. Mr. Taylor saw a flare with a similar flight path the night of the listed Jupiter Launch.
Subject: Report of Unidentified Flying Object

10. The writer is an ASA employee, and the knowledge of the approximate launch time of the missile (test vehicle) was acquired in the normal course of his official activities.

11. The sighting was reported to the 774 Communications Coordinator, CCA/FCB, about 0120Z, 6 December 1956. It was also reported to the SSO of the 774th MAS, at about 0130Z, the same date. The C.D. advised that a written report should be filed in the next working day.

12. It is suggested that close study of the optical coverage films for the test will serve to confirm this report.

13. It appears that this report falls within the concern of CINCP as described by JUNAP 1/56.

J. P. Anderson

Additional comments - 1 January 1957

See para 3 above - Missile at that time was 30 miles high, indicating that UFO was much closer to observer or was much higher and travelling at greater speed.

General comment - Altitude, speed and range statements in original report are result of reference by observer to known object; i.e., aircraft. This established in discussions with AFs, no aircraft near at time of sighting, but observer’s experience with aircraft apparently resulted in unconscious reference.

Winds at time of sighting - surface 90° varying to 210° at 10,000 feet.

Eliminated as possibilities - aircraft, weather balloons. Elimination effected by AF.

Angle above horizon at first sighting - approximately 25 degrees.

Horizontal angle traverses on northerly course - approximately 0-65 degrees westerly 10-45.

See para 9 above - Observer has talked to two other men who saw UFO, no details obtained.

See para 12 above - Study of most sensitive camera plates showed nothing.

PAFB - Patrick Air Force Base, Fla.

CGA/FCB - Cape Canaveral Auxiliary Air Force Base, Fla.

Test 3018 - launch of Viking rocket (1st on Vanguard program).
January 2, 1957

Honorable Allen W. Dulles
Director, Central Intelligence Agency
2430 E Street
Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Mr. Dulles:

The enclosed letter from Mrs. Vincent J. Perry of Mexico, Maine, is self-explanatory and for your attention.

Sincerely yours,

[Signature]
Margaret Chase Smith; U. S. S.

Enclosure
8 January 1957

Honorable Margaret Chase Smith
United States Senate
Washington 25, D. C.

Dear Senator Smith:

Thank you for your letter of 2 January 1957, enclosing a letter from Mrs. Vincent J. Perry of Mexico, Maine.

We will see to it that this letter is forwarded to the proper authorities for action.

With kindest regards.

Sincerely,

/s/ Allen W. Dulles
Director
**Central Intelligence Agency**

**Official Routing Slip**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TO</th>
<th>INITIALS</th>
<th>DATE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Mr. Branch</td>
<td>HB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Mr. Johnson (m/s)</td>
<td>3/15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Mr. Smith</td>
<td>Marked</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Remarks:**

File UFO

---

SECRET

CONFIDENTIAL

UNCLASSIFIED
MEMORANDUM FOR THE DIRECTOR OF INTELLIGENCE, HQ., U.S. AIR FORCE
Attention: AFOSI-IX (Colonel Charles E. Taylor)

SUBJECT: Transmittal of Correspondence pertaining to Reported Citing of an Unidentified Flying Object

1. The attached correspondence is forwarded for such action as deemed appropriate.

2. If an investigation is conducted as a result of this correspondence, it is requested that the Central Intelligence Agency be furnished a copy of the resulting report.

FOR THE ASSISTANT DIRECTOR, CENTRAL REFERENCE:

[Signature]
Chief, Liaison Division
Central Reference

Encl: Correspondence
Mr. John P. Anderson
Box 210
Cocoa Beach, Florida

Dear Mr. Anderson:

I wish to acknowledge receipt of your letter of 4 January 1957. It was very kind of you to send us this report of an unidentified flying object. We appreciate very much the additional comments which you appended.

Sincerely,

Herbert Scoville, Jr.
Assistant Director

Distribution:
2 - Addressee
1 - ASD
1 - Exec/chrono
2 - AD/SM

(OSI/C/Blattin, Fla. 16 Jan 57)
Furnish

M. C. Jessup - Park - U.S.

West Coast in Mexico

Return for arms care.

Physical Sci. Development Council

167 Nicholas Bldg.

Washington

Mar. 8 - 160